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## Project Owner's Certification

This Water Quality M anagement Plan (WQMP) has been prepared for Warmington Residential, by Allard Engineering. The WQM P is intended to comply with the requirements of the County of San Bernardino and the NPDES Area wide Stormwater Program requiring the preparation of a WQM P. The undersigned, while it owns the subject property, is responsible for the implementation of the provisions of this plan and will ensure that this plan is amended as appropriate to reflect up-to-date conditions on the site consistent with San Bernardino County's M unicipal Storm Water M anagement Program and the intent of the NPDES Permit for San Bernardino County and the incorporated cities of San Bernardino County within the Santa Ana Region. Once the undersigned transfers its interest in the property, its successors in interest and the city/county shall be notified of the transfer. The new owner will be informed of its responsibility under this WQM P. A copy of the approved WQM P shall be available on the subject site in perpetuity.
"I certify under a penalty of law that the provisions (implementation, operation, maintenance, and funding) of the WQMP have been accepted and that the plan will be transferred to future successors."

| Project Data |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Permit/Application Number(s): |  |  |
| Tract/Parcel M ap Number(s): |  |  |
| CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract): |  |  |
| Owner's Signature |  |  |
| Owner Name: |  |  |
| Title | President |  |
| Company | W armington Residential |  |
| Address | 3090 Pullman Street, Costa M esa, CA 92626 |  |
| Email |  |  |
| Telephone \# | (714) 557-5511 |  |
| Signature |  |  |

## Preparer's Certification

| Project Data |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Permit//Application <br> Number(s): | Grading Permit Number(s): |  |
| Tract/Parcel Map <br> Number(s): | Building Permit Number(s): |  |
| CUP, SUP, and/or APN (Specify Lot Numbers if Portions of Tract): | APN : 0143-191-59 |  |

"The selection, sizing and design of stormwater treatment and other stormwater quality and quantity control measures in this plan were prepared under my oversight and meet the requirements of Regional Water Quality Control Board Order No. R8-2010-0036."

| Engineer: RAYM OND ALLARD |  | PE Stamp Below |
| ---: | :--- | :--- |
| Title | PRESIDENT |  |
| Company | Allard Engineering |  |
| Address | 16866 Seville Avenue |  |
| Email | rallard@allardeng.com |  |
| Telephone \# | (909) 356-1815 |  |
| Sate |  |  |
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## Section 1 Discretionary Permit(s)

## Form 1-1 Project Information

| Project Name |  | Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive Residential Development |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Owner Contact Name: |  | Warmington Residential |  |  |  |  |
| M ailing Address: | 3090 Pullman Street, Costa Mesa, CA 92626 |  | E-mail Address: |  | Telephone: | 714-557-5511 |
| Permit/Application Number(s): |  |  |  | Tract/Parcel Map Number(s): | APN: 0143-191-59 |  |
| Additional Information/ Comments: |  | N/A |  |  |  |  |
| Description of Project: |  | The project which located at the northwest of W. Highland Avenue and M edical Center Drive comprises the site area of approximately 9.9 acre of the proposed 95 units of single-family residential development located in the City of San Bernardino, County of San Bernardino, State of California. <br> In current condition the site is undeveloped barren area. In the existing condition, the entire property drains via sheet flow to the southwest direction to the side street (M adison St), drain to $20^{\text {th }}$ Street and conveys to Medical Center Drive via existing curb/gutter, drains to the existing storm drain system (M uscott Storm Drain System, 60" RCP) in M edical Center Dr. and finally drains to the Lytle Creek Wash (Unlined Channel). The storm water ultimately conveyed to the Santa Ana River (Conc Lined, EHM) Channel via Warm Creek (Conc. Box Channel, EHM ). <br> This project is proposing a new development of the site proposing to build 95 single family residential units, private driveways, private streets, walkways, planters, open spaces and landscape areas. <br> The proposed drainage includes below surface Contech retention/infiltration chamber systems ( 7 units) for retention and infiltration, grate inlets with Filter Inserts for pretreatment, swales, and storm water piping. <br> The entire site (DA-1) is preliminary designed as single drainage management area: DMA-1 (9.9 acres). <br> In DMA-1 onsite drainage systems consist of swales, gutters, grated inlets, catch basin with pre-treatment devices and pipes will convey the flows to the proposed below surface Contech retention/ infiltration chamber systems ( 7 -units) to retain/ infiltrate water volume to mitigate WQ capture volume, and to mitigate the HCOC condition volume for 2 -yr and 100yr storm events. For larger storms and the system failure, the water will overflow the proposed retention/ infiltration chamber systems, flows on the surface, drains southwesterly to the side street (M adison St), drain to 20 th Street, conveys to M edical Center Drive via existing curb/ gutter, drains to the existing storm drain system (M uscott Storm Drain System, |  |  |  |  |


|  | 60" RCP) in M edical Center Dr. and finally drains to the Lytle Creek Wash (Unlined Channel). <br> The storm water ultimately conveyed to the Santa Ana River (Conc Lined, EHM ) Channel via <br> Warm Creek (Conc. Box Channel, EHM). <br> HCOC mitigation will be satisfied by retention of the difference in water volume in <br> developed and existing condition for 2-yr 24-hr and 100-yr 24-hr storm event. Water will be <br> drained out from the site at or below the existing flowrate. |
| :--- | :--- |

## Section 2 Project Description 2.1 Project Information

This section of the WQMP should provide the information listed below. The information provided for Conceptual/ Preliminary WQMP should give sufficient detail to identify the major proposed site design and LID BM Ps and other anticipated water quality features that impact site planning. Final Project WQM P must specifically identify all BM P incorporated into the final site design and provide other detailed information as described herein. The purpose of this information is to help determine the applicable development category, pollutants of concern, watershed description, and long term maintenance responsibilities for the project, and any applicable water quality credits. This information will be used in conjunction with the information in Section 3, Site Description, to establish the performance criteria and to select the LID BM P or other BMP for the project or other alternative programs that the project will participate in, which are described in Section 4.

## Form 2.1-1 Description of Proposed Project

${ }^{1}$ Development Category (Select all that apply):

${ }^{5}$ IS Project going to be phased? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ If yes, ensure that the WQMP evaluates each phase as a distinct DA, requiring LID BM Ps to address runoff at time of completion.
${ }^{6}$ Does Project include roads? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ If yes, ensure that applicable requirements for transportation projects are addressed (see Appendix A of TGD for WQM P)

The proposed roads will be a part of a private new development and the proposed development area will be non-adjoining to the existing public roads. Therefore transportation project guidance does not apply to this project.

### 2.2 Property Ownership/M anagement

Describe the ownership/management of all portions of the project and site. State whether any infrastructure will transfer to public agencies (City, County, Caltrans, etc.) after project completion. State if a homeowners or property owners association will be formed and be responsible for the long-term maintenance of project stormwater facilities. Describe any lot-level stormwater features that will be the responsibility of individual property owners.

## Form 2.2-1 Property Ownership/ M anagement

Describe property ownership/management responsible for long-term of WQM P stormwater facilities:

Warmington Residential will be responsible to build the site and the maintenance of the post-developed BM Ps.

Address:
Warmington Residential
3090 Pullman Street
Costa M esa, CA 92626

Phone Number:
714-557-5511

### 2.3 Potential Stormwater Pollutants

## Determine and describe expected stormwater pollutants of concern based on land uses and site activities (refer to Table 3-3 in the TGD for WQM P).

## Form 2.3-1 Pollutants of Concern

| Pollutant | Please check: $\mathrm{E}=$ Expected, $\mathrm{N}=\mathrm{Not}$ Expected |  | Additional Information and Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pathogens (Bacterial / Virus) | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | Bacteria and viruses are a potential pollutant for Residential tract developments. Due to the nature of the development the site will be treated using site and source and treatment control BM Ps. Bacteria and virus can also be detected in pavement runoff, therefore, the site has incorporated treatment control throughout. All paved and hardened surfaces will flow through the proposed grate inlet pretreatment units prior to discharge into the proposed Infiltration Basin as part of Low Impact Design (LID). Impacted Water Body: Lytle Creek, Santa Ana River Reach 4, 3. |
| Nutrients/Noxious Aquatic Plants | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | This residential tract site includes landscaping area which will be the potential generation of this type of pollutants. Impacted W ater Body: None |
| Sediment / Total suspended solids/ pH | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | This residential tract site includes landscaping area which will be the potential generation of this type of pollutants. |
| M etals | E | $N \square$ | Generates from residential tract site Impacted W ater Body: Santa Ana River Reach 3. |
| Oil and Grease | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | Generates from Commercial/Industrial project |
| Trash/Debris | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | Debris/trash is a potential pollutant for residential tract site . The site <br> will intercept debris into the proposed infiltration basin. Also trash/ debris from paved surfaces will be intercepted in the proposed catch basin with filtration devices as part of the source and treatment control BM Ps. <br> Impacted Water Body: None |
| Pesticides / Herbicides | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | Generates from Landscape area. Impacted Water Body: None |
| Organic Compounds | E $\downarrow$ | $N \square$ | This site includes landscaping area and the usage of solvents which will be the potential generation of this type of pollutants. Impacted Water Body: None |
| Other: Nutrients | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | Include nitrogen and phosphorus from usages of fertilizers in the proposed landscape area. <br> Impacted Water Body: None |
| Oxygen Demanding Compounds | E $\boxtimes$ | $N \square$ | This site includes landscaping area which will be the potential generation of this type of pollutants. Impacted Water Body: None |
| Other: | E $\square$ | $N \square$ |  |

### 2.4 Water Quality Credits

A water quality credit program is applicable for certain types of development projects if it is not feasible to meet the requirements for on-site LID. Proponents for eligible projects, as described below, can apply for water quality credits that would reduce project obligations for selecting and sizing other treatment BMP or participating in other alternative compliance programs. Refer to Section 6.2 in the TGD for WQM P to determine if water quality credits are applicable for the project.

## Form 2.4-1 Water Quality Credits

$\mathbf{1}_{\text {Project Types that Qualify for Water Quality Credits: Select all that apply }}$

| $\square$ Redevelopment projects that reduce the overall impervious footprint of the project site. [Credit =\% impervious reduced] | Higher density development projects $\square$ Vertical density [20\%] $\square$ 7 units/ acre [5\%] | Mixed use development, (combination of residential, commercial, industrial, office, institutional, or other land uses which incorporate design principles that demonstrate environmental benefits not realized through single use projects) [20\%] | $\square$ Brownfield redevelopment (redevelop real property complicated by presence or potential of hazardous contaminants) [25\%] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Redevelopment projects in established historic district, historic preservation area, or similar significant core city center areas [10\%] | $\square$ Transit-oriented developments (mixed use residential or commercial area designed to maximize access to public transportation) [20\%] | $\square$ In-fill projects (conversion of empty lots \& other underused spaces $<5$ acres, substantially surrounded by urban land uses, into more beneficially used spaces, such as residential or commercial areas) [10\%] | $\square$ Live-Work developments (variety of developments designed to support residential and vocational needs) [20\%] |
|  |  |  |  |
| Description of Water Quality Credit Eligibility (if applicable) | N/A |  |  |

## Section 3 Site and Watershed Description

Describe the project site conditions that will facilitate the selection of BMP through an analysis of the physical conditions and limitations of the site and its receiving waters. Identify distinct drainage areas (DA) that collect flow from a portion of the site and describe how runoff from each DA (and sub-watershed DMAs) is conveyed to the site outlet(s). Refer to Section 3.2 in the TGD for WQMP. The form below is provided as an example. Then complete Forms 3.2 and 3.3 for each DA on the project site. If the project has more than one drainage area for stormwater management, then complete additional versions of these forms for each DA / outlet.

## Form 3-1 Site Location and Hydrologic Features

| Site coordinates take GPS <br> measurement at approximate <br> center of site | Latitude: $34.13489^{\circ} \mathrm{N}$ | Longitude: $-117.32537^{\circ} \mathrm{W}$ | Thomas Bros M ap page <br> PAGE___ GRID__ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{1}$ San Bernardino County climatic region: $\boxtimes$ Valley $\square$ M ountain
$\mathbf{2}^{2}$ Does the site have more than one drainage area (DA): Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ If no, proceed to Form 3-2. If yes, then use this form to show a conceptual schematic describing DM As and hydrologic feature connecting DM As to the site outlet(s). An example is provided below that can be modified for proposed project or a drawing clearly showing DMA and flow routing may be attached


| Conveyance |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| DM A1 to | The entire site (DA-1) is preliminary designed as single drainage management area: DM A-1 (9.9 acres). <br> In DM A-1 onsite drainage systems consist of swales, gutters, grated inlets, catch basin with pre- <br> treatment devices and pipes will convey the flows to the proposed below surface Contech <br> retention/infiltration chamber systems (7-units) to retain/infiltrate water volume to mitigate WQ <br> capture volume, and to mitigate the HCOC condition volume for 2-yr and 100-yr storm events. For <br> larger storms and the system failure, the water will overflow the proposed Contech <br> retention/infiltration chamber systems (7-unit), flows on the surface, drains southwesterly to the side <br> street (M adison St), drain to 20th Street, conveys to M edical Center Drive via existing curb/gutter, <br> drainsto the existing storm drain system (M uscott Storm Drain System, 60" RCP) in M edical Center Dr. |


|  | and finally drains to the Lytle Creek Wash (Unlined Channel). The storm water ultimately conveyed to <br> the Santa Ana River (Conc Lined, EHM ) Channel via Warm Creek (Conc. Box Channel, EHM). <br> HCOC mitigation will be satisfied by retention of the difference in water volume in developed and <br> existing condition for 2-yr 24-hr and 100-yr 24-hr storm event. Water will be drained out from the site <br> at or below the existing flowrate. |
| :--- | :--- |

Form 3-2 Existing Hydrologic Characteristics for Drainage Areas




WQMP Project Report
County of San Bernardino Stormwater Program
Santa Ana River Watershed Geodatabase
Monday, September 27, 2021
Note: The information provided in this report and on the Stormwater Geodatabase for the County of San Bernardino Stormwater Program is intended to provide basic guidance in the preparation of the applicant's Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and should not be relied upon without independent verification

| Project Site Parcel Number(s): | 014319159 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Site Acreage: | 9.918 |
| HCOC Exempt Area: | No |
| Closest Receiving Waters: | System Number - 218 |
| (Applicant to verity based on local drainage facilities and topography.) | Facility Name - Muscott Storm Drain |
|  | Owner - SBCFCD |

Closest channel segment's susceptibility to Hydromodification: EHM
Highest downstream hydromodification susceptibility: High
Is this drainage segment subject to TMDLs? No
Are there downstream drainage segments subject to TMDLs? No
Is this drainage segment a 303d listed stream? No
Are there 303d listed streams downstream? Yes
Are there unlined downstream waterbodies? No
Project Site Onsite Soil Group(s):
A
Environmentally Sensitive Areas within 200': None
Groundwater Depth (FT): -298
Parcels with potential septic tanks within 1000':
Known Groundwater Contamination Plumes within 1000':
Studies and Reports Related to Project Site:

No
Yes
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Hydraulic Design Data
Preliminary Report on Proposed North SBFCP
School Site Map
Comprehensive Storm Drain Plan
SBVMWD High Groundwater / Pressure Zone Area


RBF Gis
Site Address: permitrack.sbcounty.gov/wap

## Form 3-3 Watershed Description for Drainage Area DA1/ DA2

| Receiving waters <br> Refer to Watershed M apping Tool - <br> http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP <br> See "Drainage Facilities" link at this website | M uscott Storm Drain (SBCFCD Facility\#218) <br> Lytle Creek Wash <br> Lytle-Cajon Channel (SBCFCD Flood Control Channel). <br> Warm Creek <br> Santa Ana River Reach 5 <br> Santa Ana River Reach 4 <br> Santa Ana River Reach 3 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Applicable TM DLs <br> Refer to Local Implementation Plan | Lytle Creek Wash-None <br> Lytle-Cajun Channel: Pathogens "Fical indicator bacteria" <br> Warm Creek: Chlorpyrifos "Pesticides" <br> Santa Ana River Reach 5: NONE <br> Santa Ana River Reach 4: Pathogens "Fical indicator bacteria" <br> Santa Ana River Reach 3: <br> Pathogens "Bacterial Indicator TM LDs for M iddle Santa Ana River Watershed <br> Waterbodies (Bill Rice) <br> Nitrate : Santa Ana River Reach 3 Nitrate TM DL (Hope Smythe) <br> Prado Flood Control basin <br> Pathogens "Bacterial Indicator TM LDs for M iddle Santa Ana River Watershed <br> Waterbodies (Bill Rice) <br> Santa Ana River Reach 2 NONE <br> Santa Ana River Reach 1 NONE <br> Tidal Prism, Santa Ana River NONE |
| 303(d) listed impairments <br> Refer to Local Implementation Plan and Watershed Mapping Tool - <br> http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP and State Water Resources Control Board website http://www.waterboards.ca.gov/santaana/water iss ues/programs/tmdl/index.shtml | Expected pollutants of concern include organic compounds and trash/debris. Potential pollutants of concern include bacteria vitus, nutrients, pesticides, sediments, and oxygen demanding substances. There is no evidence to suggest that any other pollutants will be produced from the project site other than these. <br> 303(d) listed impairment: <br> Lytle Creek: Patheogens <br> Santa Ana River Reach 3: Copper, Lead, Pathogens <br> Prado Flood Control Basin: Pathogens and Nutrients <br> Santa Ana River Reach 2: Pathogens <br> Santa Ana River Reach 1 and Tidal prism Santa Ana River : NONE |
| Environmentally Sensitive Areas (ESA) <br> Refer to Watershed Mapping Tool - <br> http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP | NONE |

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)

| Unlined Downstream Water Bodies <br> Refer to Watershed M apping Tool - <br> http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP | Santa Ana River |
| :---: | :---: |
| Hydrologic Conditions of Concern | Yes Complete Hydrologic Conditions of Concern (HCOC) Assessment. Include Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 and Hydromodification BM P Form 4.3-10 in submittal No |
| Watershed-based BMP included in a RWQCB approved WAP | Yes Attach verification of regional BM P evaluation criteria in WAP <br> - More Effective than On-site LID <br> - Remaining Capacity for Project DCV <br> - Upstream of any Water of the US <br> - Operational at Project Completion <br> - Long-Term M aintenance Plan <br> No |

## Section 4 Best M anagement Practices (BM P)

### 4.1 Source Control BM P

### 4.1.1 Pollution Prevention

Non-structural and structural source control BMP are required to be incorporated into all new development and significant redevelopment projects. Form 4.11and 4.12 are used to describe specific source control BMPs used in the WQMP or to explain why a certain BMP is not applicable. Table 7-3 of the TGD for WQMP provides a list of applicable source control BMP for projects with specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities. The source control BMP in this table must be implemented for projects with these specific types of potential pollutant sources or activities.

The preparers of this WQMP have reviewed the source control BMP requirements for new development and significant redevelopment projects. The preparers have also reviewed the specific BMP required for project as specified in Forms 4.11and 4.12. All applicable non-structural and structural source control BMP shall be implemented in the project.

APN \＃0143－191－59
Water Quality Management Plan（WQMP）

| Form 4．1－1 Non－Structural Source Control BM Ps |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Name | Check One |  | Describe BM P Implementation OR， |
| Identifier |  | Included | Not <br> Applicable | if not applicable，state reason |
| N1 | Education of Property Owners，Tenants and Occupants on Stormwater BMPs | 区 | $\square$ | Practical education materials will be provided to property owners and $M$ aintenance staffs covering various water quality issues that will need to be addressed on their specific site．These materials will include general practices that contribute to the protection of storm water quality and BM P＇s that eliminate or reduce pollution during property improvements．The developer will request these materials in writing at least 30 days prior to intended distribution and will then be responsible for publication and distribution． |
| N2 | Activity Restrictions | 区 |  | At minimum Pesticide applications will be performed by an applicator certified by the California Department of Pesticide Regulation．Vehicle washing will be prohibited． |
| N3 | Landscape M anagement BM Ps | 区 | $\square$ | According to the California Stormwater Quality Associations Stormwater Best M anagement Practice Handbook，landscape planning is implemented to reduce groundwater and storm water contamination．This will be accomplished through an debris basins，infiltration basins，and landscape areas． |
| N4 | BM P M aintenance | 区 | $\square$ | See section 5，Table 5.1 for details on BM P maintenance |
| N5 | Title 22 CCR Compliance <br> （How development will comply） | $\square$ | $\triangle$ | No hazardous waster onsite |
| N6 | Local W ater Quality Ordinances | 区 | $\square$ | Comply with any applicable local water quality ordinances complying through this WQMP |
| N7 | Spill Contingency Plan | 凶 | $\square$ | Applicable＂absorbent＂materials shall be kept onsite in case of oils spills in parking lot． |
| N8 | Underground Storage Tank Compliance | $\square$ | 】 | No underground storage tank on the site． |

## Form 4．1－1 Non－Structural Source Control BM Ps

N9

| Hazardous M aterials Disclosure <br> Compliance | $\square$ | $\boxed{\text { No Hazardous waste stored onsite．}}$. |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Form 4．1－1 Non－Structural Source Control BMPs

| Identifier | Name | Check One |  | Describe BM P Implementation OR， <br> if not applicable，state reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Included | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Not } \\ & \text { Applicable } \end{aligned}$ |  |
| N10 | Uniform Fire Code Implementation | 区 | $\square$ | Compliance with Article 80 of the Uniform Fire Code enforced by the fire protection agency．No fire hazardous waste is stored on site． |
| N11 | Litter／Debris Control Program | 区 | $\square$ | Owners of individual lots will implement trash management and litter control procedures． <br> At a minimum the site will be inspected weekly and trash picked up as necessary． |
| N12 | Employee Training | 区 | $\square$ | Gardenaers and other maintenance staff will have training regarding the location and maintenance of the BMP． |
| N13 | Housekeeping of Loading Docks | $\square$ | ® | No Loading docks proposed． |
| N14 | Catch Basin Inspection Program | 区 | $\square$ | Catch basins will be inspected a minimum of once every three months during the dry season and a minimum of once every two months during the rainy season． |
| N15 | Vacuum Sweeping of Private Streets and Parking Lots | 区 | $\square$ | Private driveways／private streets and onsite pavement will be vacume sweep by the owner．At a minimum all paved areas shall be swept，in late summer or early fall．Prior to the start of the rainy season or equivalent，as govern by the governing jurisdiction． |

APN \# 0143-191-59
Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)

| N16 | Other Non-structural Measures for Public <br> Agency Projects | $\square$ | $\boxed{ }$ | Not a public agency project. |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| N17 | Comply with all other applicable NPDES <br> permits | $\boxed{y}$ | $\square$ | Construction is not over an acre so no general construction permit is required however <br> this WQMP is in compliance with NPDES permit requirements. |

## Form 4．1－2 Structural Source Control BM Ps

| Identifier | Name | Check One |  | Describe BM P Implementation OR， If not applicable，state reason |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Included | Not Applicable |  |
| S1 | Provide storm drain system stencilling and signage （CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－13） | 区 | $\square$ | Signs will be placed above storm drain inlets to warn the public of prohibitions against waste disposal．The sign will be＂NO DUM PING－THIS DRAINS TO OCEAN＂． |
| S2 | Design and construct outdoor material storage areas to reduce pollution introduction（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－34） | $\square$ | 区 | No material storages areas in the project |
| S3 | Design and construct trash and waste storage areas to reduce pollution introduction（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－32） | 区 | $\square$ | －Trash storage area will be roofed \＆paved to contain leaks \＆spills to minimize direct precipitation \＆exposure according to the design requirements of CASQA source control BM P SD－32（Trash Enclosures）． |
| 54 | Use efficient irrigation systems \＆landscape design，water conservation，smart controllers，and source control（State wide M odel Landscape Ordinance；CASQA New Development BMP Handbook SD－12） | 区 | $\square$ | Rain sensors will be incorporated into the onsite sprinkler system so that no unnecessary watering of landscaped areas occurs after storm events． |
| 55 | Finish grade of landscaped areas at a minimum of 1－2 inches below top of curb，sidewalk，or pavement | 区 | $\square$ | New landscaped areas will be constructed at a minimum of 1 inch below existing paved areas |
| S6 | Protect slopes and channels and provide energy dissipation（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－10） | 区 | $\square$ | Slopes and Channel will be protected with rip－rap and vegetated swale（see plans for location）per San Bernardino County Standard． |
| S7 | Covered dock areas（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－31） | $\square$ | 区 | No dock，Not applicable |
| 58 | Covered maintenance bays with spill containment plans（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－31） | $\square$ | 区 | No Bays，Not applicable |
| 59 | Vehicle wash areas with spill containment plans （CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－33） | $\square$ | 区 | No Vehicle Wash at the site，Not applicable |
| S10 | Covered outdoor processing areas（CASQA New Development BM P Handbook SD－36） | $\square$ | 区 | No outdoor Processing，Not applicable |


| Form 4,1-2 Structural Source Control BM Ps |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |

### 4.1.2 Preventative LID Site Design Practices

Site design practices associated with new LID requirements in the MS4 Permit should be considered in the earliest phases of a project. Preventative site design practices can result in smaller DCV for LID BM P and hydromodification control BM P by reducing runoff generation. Describe site design and drainage plan including:

- A narrative of site design practices utilized or rationale for not using practices
- A narrative of how site plan incorporates preventive site design practices
- Include an attached Site Plan layout which shows how preventative site design practices are included in WQMP

Refer to Section 5.2 of the TGD for WQM P for more details.

## Form 4.1-3 Preventative LD Site Design Practices Checklist

## Site Design Practices

If yes, explain how preventative site design practice is addressed in project site plan. If no, other LID BM Ps must be selected to meet targets
Minimize impervious areas: Yes $\mathbb{N o} \square$
Explanation: We will build more landscaping, planter areas in addition to the infiltration basin for infiltration.
M aximize natural infiltration capacity: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: Runoff from a portion of impervious surfaces (Driveways, building roof etc) will first drain to the proposed landscaped areas/planters for bio-filtration and incidental infiltration before entering the proposed swale/grate inlets so that infiltration is maximized. Runoff will also be intercepted by the proposed infiltration basin for retention and infiltration.

Preserve existing drainage patterns and time of concentration: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: The site currently drains Southwest on surface and drains to Inland Center Dr and/or existing inlet structure by the Lytle-Cajon Channel. Post developed flow will also drain southwest to the Lytle/Cajon Channel via the proposed drainage system and proposed concrete channel. This is consistent with existing and flow patterns.

Disconnect impervious areas: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: Impervious areas will drain into landscaped areas and numerous planter areas..

Protect existing vegetation and sensitive areas: YesNo $\boxtimes$
Explanation: There are no environmentally sensitive areas with in the proposed sub-division and existing vegetation will be kept as much as possible in the open dirt area.

Re-vegetate disturbed areas: Yes $\boxtimes$ No
Explanation: Part of the disturbed areas will be revegeated, see landscape plan.

M inimize unnecessary compaction in stormwater retention/infiltration basin/trench areas: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: There will be no compaction in infiltration basin basin area during compaction.

Utilize vegetated drainage swales in place of underground piping or imperviously lined swales: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: Utilized graded vegetated swale. Also Runoff will also be intercepted by the proposed infiltration basin and existing landscaped areas/ planters within project site

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)
Stake off areas that will be used for landscaping to minimize compaction during construction: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Explanation: No compaction will be performed within the proposed area of infiltrationbasin and the landscape/planter areas.

### 4.2 Project Performance Criteria

The purpose of this section of the Project WQMP is to establish targets for post-development hydrology based on performance criteria specified in the MS4 Permit. These targets include runoff volume for water quality control (referred to as LID design capture volume), and runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff for protection of any downstream waterbody segments with a HCOC. If the project has more than one outlet for stormwater runoff, then complete additional versions of these forms for each DA / outlet.

Methods applied in the following forms include:

- For LID BMP Design Capture Volume (DCV), the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires use of the $\mathrm{P}_{6}$ method (MS4 Permit Section XI.D.6a.ii) - Form 4.2-1
- For HCOC pre- and post-development hydrologic calculation, the San Bernardino County Stormwater Program requires the use of the Rational Method (San Bernardino County Hydrology Manual Section D). Forms 4.2-2 through Form 4.2-5 calculate hydrologic variables including runoff volume, time of concentration, and peak runoff from the project site pre and post-development using the Hydrology Manual Rational Method approach. For projects greater than 640 acres ( $10 \mathrm{mi}^{2}$ ), the Rational Method and these forms should not be used. For such projects, the Unit Hydrograph Method (San Bemardino County Hydrology Manual Section E) shall be applied for hydrologic calculations for HCOC performance criteria.

Refer to Section 4 in the TGD for WQM P for detailed guidance and instructions.

[^0]
## Target Captured Volume

## Watershed DA 1

1) Calculate the "Watershed Imperviousness Ratio", I which is equal to the percent of impervious area in the BMP Drainage Area divided by 100

$$
\begin{array}{lcl}
\text { Imperviousness(i)= } & 0.7 & \\
\text { Total Acreage }(\mathrm{A})= & 9.90 & 431,244 \mathrm{SF}
\end{array}
$$

2) Calculate the composite Runoff Coefficient $\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{hmp}}$ for the drainage area
$R c=0.858 i^{3}-0.78 i^{2}+0.774 i+0.04$

Rc 0.49
3) Determine which Regression Coefficient to use by region the project is located in

| Valley | 1.481 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mountain | 1.909 |
| Desert | 1.237 |

Regression coefficient for this project is: 1.481
4) Determine the area averaged " 6 hour Mean Storm Rainfall" , $\mathrm{P}_{6}$

2 yr 1 Hr Rainfall Depth per NOAA Atlas 14= 0.614 inches
$P_{6}=2$ yr 1 hr Rainfall x Regression coefficient
$P_{6}=\quad 0.9093$ inches
5) Determine Regression Constant (a) for 48 hour drawdown
$a=$
1.963
6) Calculate the Maximized Detention Volume, $\mathrm{P}_{0}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P_{0}=C \times a \times P 6 \\
& \quad \text { Po(inches) }=0.8816
\end{aligned}
$$

7) Calculate the Target Capture Volume, $\mathrm{V}_{0}$, in acre feet

$$
V_{0}=\left(P_{0} * A\right) / 12
$$

$\mathrm{V}_{0}=$
$\mathrm{V}_{0}=$
0.73 acre-feet

31,682 CF

NOAA Atlas 14, Volume 6, Version 2 Location name: San Bernardino, California, USA* Latitude: $34.1349^{\circ}$, Longitude: -117.3254 ${ }^{\circ}$

Elevation: $1232.88 \mathrm{ft}^{* *}$

* source: ESRI Maps
** source: USGS
POINT PRECIPITATION FREQUENCY ESTIMATES
Sanja Perica, Sarah Dietz, Sarah Heim, Lillian Hiner, Kazungu Maitaria, Deborah Martin, Sandra Pavlovic, Ishani Roy, Carl Trypaluk, Dale Unruh, Fenglin Yan, Michael Yekta, Tan Zhao, Geoffrey Bonnin, Daniel Brewer, Li-Chuan Chen, Tye Parzybok, John Yarchoan

NOAA, National Weather Service, Silver Spring, Maryland
PF tabular I PF graphical | Maps \& aerials

## PF tabular

| PDS-based point precipitation frequency estimates with $\mathbf{9 0 \%}$ confidence intervals (in inches) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Duration | Average recurrence interval (years) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 | 2 | 5 | 10 | 25 | 50 | 100 | 200 | 500 | 1000 |
| 5-min | $\mathbf{0 . 1 2 5}$ <br> $(0.104-0.152)$ | 0.163 <br> $(0.136-0.199)$ | 0.216 <br> $(0.179-0.263)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 6 0}$ <br> $(0.213-0.319)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 3 2 1}$ <br> $(0.255-0.409)$ | 0.371 <br> $(0.288-0.482)$ | 0.422 <br> $(0.320-0.563)$ | 0.478 <br> $(0.352-0.656)$ | 0.556 <br> $(0.393-0.797)$ | 0.620 <br> $(0.423-0.920)$ |
| 10-min | $\mathbf{0 . 1 7 9}$ <br> $(0.149-0.217)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 2 3 4}$ <br> $(0.195-0.285)$ | 0.309 <br> $(0.256-0.377)$ | 0.372 <br> $(0.306-0.457)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 6 1}$ <br> $(0.366-0.586)$ | 0.531 <br> $(0.413-0.691)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 6 0 6}$ <br> $(0.459-0.807)$ | 0.685 <br> $(0.504-0.940)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.798 \\ (0.563-1.14) \end{gathered}$ | 0.889 <br> $(0.606-1.32)$ |
| 15-min | 0.216 <br> $(0.180-0.263)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.283 \\ (0.235-0.344) \end{gathered}$ | 0.374 <br> $(0.310-0.456)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 4 5 0}$ <br> $(0.370-0.553)$ | 0.557 <br> $(0.442-0.709)$ | 0.642 <br> $(0.499-0.835)$ | $\mathbf{0 . 7 3 2}$ <br> $(0.555-0.976)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 0.828 \\ (0.610-1.14) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.965 \\ (0.681-1.38) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.08 \\ (0.732-1.60) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 30-min | 0.321 <br> $(0.267-0.390)$ | 0.420 <br> $(0.349-0.511)$ | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline 0.554 \\ (0.459-0.676) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 0.667 <br> $(0.548-0.820)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.826 \\ (0.656-1.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.953 \\ (0.740-1.24) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.09 \\ (0.823-1.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 1.23 <br> $(0.905-1.69)$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.43 \\ (1.01-2.05) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.60 \\ (1.09-2.37) \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-m | 0.469 <br> $(0.390-0.569)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.614 \\ (0.510-0.747) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\mathbf{0 . 8 1 0}$ $(0.671-0.988)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.975 \\ (0.801-1.20) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.21 \\ (0.958-1.54) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.39 \\ (1.08-1.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.59 \\ (1.20-2.12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.80 \\ (1.32-2.46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.09 \\ (1.48-2.99) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.33 \\ (1.59-3.46) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2-hr | $\mathbf{0 . 6 8 1}$ <br> $(0.566-0.827)$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.875 \\ (0.727-1.06) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.14 \\ (0.940-1.38) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.35 \\ (1.11-1.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.65 \\ (1.31-2.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.88 \\ (1.46-2.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.13 \\ (1.61-2.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.38 \\ (1.76-3.27) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 . 7 4} \\ (1.93-3.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.03 \\ (2.06-4.49) \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-hr | $\begin{array}{c\|} \hline \mathbf{0 . 8 4 1} \\ (0.699-1.02) \\ \hline \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.07 \\ (0.892-1.31) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.38 \\ (1.15-1.69) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.64 \\ (1.35-2.01) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.99 \\ (1.58-2.53) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.26 \\ (1.76-2.94) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.55 \\ (1.93-3.39) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 2.84 \\ (2.09-3.90) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 3.25 \\ (2.29-4.65) \\ \hline \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.57 \\ (2.43-5.30) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 6-hr | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.19 \\ (0.991-1.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.51 \\ (1.26-1.84) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 1.94 \\ (1.61-2.36) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.29 \\ (1.88-2.81) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.76 \\ (2.19-3.51) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.13 \\ (2.43-4.06) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.50 \\ (2.65-4.66) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.89 \\ (2.86-5.33) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.41 \\ (3.12-6.32) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.83 \\ (3.29-7.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 12-hr | $\begin{gathered} \hline 1.58 \\ (1.32-1.92) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 . 0 2} \\ (1.68-2.46) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.60 \\ (2.15-3.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.06 \\ (2.52-3.77) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.69 \\ (2.93-4.70) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \hline 4.17 \\ (3.24-5.42) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.66 \\ (3.53-6.21) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.16 \\ (3.80-7.08) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.84 \\ (4.12-8.35) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.36 \\ (4.33-9.43) \end{gathered}$ |
| 24-hr | $\begin{gathered} 2.11 \\ (1.87-2.44) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.74 \\ (2.42-3.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.54 \\ (3.12-4.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.19 \\ (3.67-4.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.07 \\ (4.29-6.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.73 \\ (4.76-7.05) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.40 \\ (5.19-8.07) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.09 \\ (5.59-9.18) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.01 \\ (6.06-10.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.72 \\ (6.38-12.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 2-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 2.58 \\ (2.28-2.97) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.39 \\ (3.00-3.92) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.46 \\ (3.93-5.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 5.33 \\ (4.66-6.21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.50 \\ (5.50-7.83) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.40 \\ (6.14-9.10) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.31 \\ (6.73-10.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.25 \\ (7.29-12.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (7.96-14.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.5 \\ (8.42-16.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 3-day | $\begin{gathered} 2.75 \\ (2.44-3.17) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.68 \\ (3.25-4.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.90 \\ (4.33-5.67) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.91 \\ (5.17-6.89) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.29 \\ (6.17-8.78) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{8 . 3 6} \\ (6.94-10.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.46 \\ (7.66-11.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 10.6 \\ (8.35-13.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.2 \\ (9.20-16.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.4 \\ (9.79-18.7) \end{gathered}$ |
| 4-day | $\begin{gathered} 2.93 \\ (2.59-3.37) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 3.95 \\ (3.50-4.56) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 5.31 \\ (4.69-6.15) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.44 \\ (5.64-7.51) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 8.00 \\ (6.77-9.64) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.22 \\ (7.65-11.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.5 \\ (8.48-13.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11.8 \\ (9.29-15.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.6 \\ (10.3-18.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.0 \\ (11.0-21.0) \end{gathered}$ |


| 7-day | $\begin{gathered} 3.31 \\ (2.93-3.81) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 4.51 \\ (3.99-5.21) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 6.12 \\ (5.40-7.08) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 7.46 \\ (6.53-8.70) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 9.32 \\ (7.90-11.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.8 \\ (8.95-13.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.3 \\ (9.97-15.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.9 \\ (11.0-18.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ (12.2-21.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.9 \\ (13.1-25.0) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 10-day | $\begin{gathered} \hline 3.61 \\ (3.19-4.16) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 4.95 \\ (4.38-5.71) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 6.76 \\ (5.96-7.81) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.26 \\ (7.23-9.63) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (8.78-12.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ (9.98-14.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (11.1-17.3) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.6 \\ (12.3-20.2) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.1 \\ (13.7-24.4) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.2 \\ (14.7-28.1) \end{gathered}$ |
| 20-day | $\begin{gathered} 4.44 \\ (3.93-5.12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{6 . 1 6} \\ (5.45-7.11) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.48 \\ (7.48-9.81) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.4 \\ (9.13-12.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.2 \\ (11.2-15.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.4 \\ (12.7-18.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 17.6 \\ (14.3-22.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 20.1 \\ (15.8-26.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 23.5 \\ (17.7-31.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 26.2 \\ (19.2-36.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 30-day | $\begin{gathered} 5.24 \\ (4.64-6.04) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 7.29 \\ (6.44-8.40) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ (8.87-11.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 12.4 \\ (10.8-14.4) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 15.7 \\ (13.3-18.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 18.3 \\ (15.2-22.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.0 \\ (17.0-26.5) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 24.0 \\ (18.9-31.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathbf{2 8 . 1} \\ (21.2-37.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 31.4 \\ (23.0-43.8) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 45-day | $\begin{gathered} 6.28 \\ (5.56-7.24) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 8.70 \\ (7.70-10.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 12.0 \\ (10.6-13.9) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 14.7 \\ (12.9-17.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 18.6 \\ (15.8-22.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.7 \\ (18.0-26.7) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25.0 \\ (20.3-31.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 8 . 5} \\ (22.5-36.9) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 33.4 \\ (25.3-45.1) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 37.4 \\ (27.4-52.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| 60-day | $\begin{gathered} 7.34 \\ (6.50-8.45) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 10.1 \\ (8.92-11.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 13.8 \\ (12.2-16.0) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.9 \\ (14.8-19.8) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline 21.4 \\ (18.1-25.7) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 24.9 \\ (20.7-30.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \mathbf{2 8 . 6} \\ (23.2-36.0) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 32.6 \\ (25.7-42.2) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 38.2 \\ (28.9-51.5) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 42.7 \\ (31.2-59.6) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |

${ }^{1}$ Precipitation frequency (PF) estimates in this table are based on frequency analysis of partial duration series (PDS).
Numbers in parenthesis are PF estimates at lower and upper bounds of the $90 \%$ confidence interval. The probability that precipitation frequency estimates (for a given duration and average recurrence interval) will be greater than the upper bound (or less than the lower bound) is $5 \%$. Estimates at upper bounds are not checked against probable maximum precipitation (PMP) estimates and may be higher than currently valid PMP values
Please refer to NOAA Atlas 14 document for more information.
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## PF graphical

PDS-based depth-duration-frequency (DDF) curves
Latitude: $34.1349^{\circ}$, Longitude: $-117.3254^{\circ}$



ACTUAL IMPERVIOUS COVER

| Land Use (1) | Range-Percent |  | Recommended Value For Average Conditions-Percent (2) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Natural or Agriculture | 0 | - 0 | 0 |
| Public Park | 10 | - 25 | 15 |
| School | 30 | - 50 | 40 |
| Single Family Residential: (3) |  |  |  |
| 2.5 acre lots | 5 | - 15 | 10 |
| 1 acre lots | 10 | - 25 | 20 |
| 2 dwellings/acre | 20 | - 40 | 30 |
| 3-4 dwellings/acre | 30 | - 50 | 40 |
| 5-7 dwellings/acre | 35 | - 55 | 50 |
| 78 -10 dwellings/acre | 50 | - 70 | 60 |
| More than 10 dwellings/acre | 65 | - 90 | 80 |
| Multiple Family Residential: |  |  |  |
| Condominiums | 45 | - 70 | 65 |
| Apartments | 65 | - 90 | 80 |
| Mobile Home Park | 60 | - 85 | 75 |
| Commercial, Downtown Business or Industrial |  | - 100 | 90 |

Notes:

1. Land use should be based on ultimate development of the watershed. Long range master plans for the County and incorporated cities should be reviewed to insure reasonable land use assumptions.
2. Recommended values are based on average conditions which may not apply to a particular study area. The percentage impervious may vary greatly even on comparable sized lots due to differences in dwelling size, improvements, etc. Landscape practices should also be considered as it is common in some areas to use ornamental gravels underlain by impervious plastic materials in place of lawns and shrubs. A field investigation of a study area shall always be made, and a review of aerial photos, where available, may assist in estimating the percentage of impervious cover in developed areas.
3. For typical equestrian subdivisions increase impervious area 5 percent over the values recommended in the table above.

SAN BERNARDINO COUNTY
HYDROLOGY MANUAL

## ACTUAL IMPERVIOUS COVER

FOR
DEVELOPED AREAS

## Form 4.2-2 Summary of HCOC Assessment

Does project have the potential to cause or contribute to an HCOC in a downstream channel: Yes $\mathbb{N}$ No
Go to: http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP
If "Yes", then complete HCOC assessment of site hydrology for 2yr storm event using Forms 4.2-3 through 4.2-5 and insert results below (Forms 4.2-3 through 4.2-5 may be replaced by computer software analysis based on the San Bernardino County Hydrology M anual)
If "No," then proceed to Section 4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

| Condition | Runoff Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) | Time of Concentration (min) | Peak Runoff (cfs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pre-developed | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 1 \\ & 8,307 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \mathbf{2} \\ & 25.4 \end{aligned}$ | 3 $2.3$ |
| Post-developed | 4 <br> 57,621 | 5 <br> 15.3 | $\begin{aligned} & 6 \\ & 10.8 \end{aligned}$ |
| Difference | $7$ $49,314$ | $8$ -10.1 | 9 <br> 8.5 |
| Difference <br> (as \% of pre-developed) | 10 <br> 594\% Increase Item 7 / Item 1 | 11 -40\% Decrease Item 8 / Item 2 | 12 369\% Increase Item 9 / Item 3 |

For runoff volume calculation refer to Unit Hydrograph method analysis for 2-year 24 hour storm event for Pre-develop and Developed condition. For Time of Concentration and Peak Runoff calculation refer to the rational method hydrology analysis in pre-developed and developed condition of the site.

Per http://sbcounty.permitrack.com/WAP the project site is not located within the HCOC exempt area. Refer to the attached WQM P Project Report. The project site is proposing seven (7) underground Contech Chamber Systems to retain and infiltrate upto 50,218 cf which exceeds the difference in water volume ( $49,314 \mathrm{cf}$ ) in developed and undeveloped condition for HCOC mitigation and to satisfy required WQ water volume mitigation (DCV: 31,682 cf). Therefore the proposed Contech Systems will help mitigate the increased runoff volume due to development.

The proposed Contech retention/infiltration chamber systems will increase the time of concentration and decrease the peak runoff flowrate substantially by retaining the inflows before discharging on to the surface and ultimately drains to existing street at/below the existing flow rate.


WQMP Project Report
County of San Bernardino Stormwater Program
Santa Ana River Watershed Geodatabase
Monday, September 27, 2021
Note: The information provided in this report and on the Stormwater Geodatabase for the County of San Bernardino Stormwater Program is intended to provide basic guidance in the preparation of the applicant's Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) and should not be relied upon without independent verification.

| Project Site Parcel Number(s): | 014319159 |
| :--- | :--- |
| Project Site Acreage: | 9.918 |
| HCOC Exempt Area: | No |
| Closest Receiving Waters: | System Number - 218 |
| (Applicant to verify based on local drainage facilities and topography.) | Facility Name - Muscott Storm Drain |

Closest channel segment's susceptibility to Hydromodification: EHM
Highest downstream hydromodification susceptibility: High
Is this drainage segment subject to TMDLs? No
Are there downstream drainage segments subject to TMDLs? No
Is this drainage segment a 303d listed stream? No
Are there 303d listed streams downstream? Yes
Are there unlined downstream waterbodies? No
Project Site Onsite Soil Group(s): A
Environmentally Sensitive Areas within 200': None
Groundwater Depth (FT): -298
Parcels with potential septic tanks within 1000': No
Known Groundwater Contamination Plumes within 1000':
Studies and Reports Related to Project Site:

Yos
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Systems
CSDP No. 7 Storm Drain Hydraulic Design Data
Preliminary Report on Proposed North SBFCP
School Site Map
Comprehensive Storm Drain Plan
SBVMWD High Groundwater / Pressure Zone Area

## HCOC ASSESSMENT FOR

## -RUNOFF VOLUME <br> -TC \& PEAK RUNOFF

HCOC MITIGATION CALCULATION
THE SITE IS NOT HCOC EXEMPT FOR WATER QUALITY ASPECT.
RUNOFF VOLUME IN DEVELOPED CONDITION: 1.3228 AC-FT ~ 57,621 CF
(2-YR, 24-HR STORM EVENT)
RUNOFF VOLUME IN EXISTING CONDITION: 0.1907 AC-FT ~ 8,307 CF
(2-YR, 24-HR STORM EVENT)
REQUIRED RETENTION VOLUME FOR HCOC MITIGATION (2-YR 24-HR STORM
EVENT): 49,314 CF
RETENTION/INFILTRATION VOLUME PROVIDED WITH 7-BELOW SURFACE
CONTECH RET/INF. CHAMBER SYSTEM: (7*7,159) = 50,218 CF
(RET/INFILTRATION CAPACITY OF EACH CONTECH CHAMBER SYSTEM: 7,174 CF)
THEREFOR HCOC MITTIGATED BY FULL RETENTION/INFILTRATION PROVIDING
BY THE CONTECH CHAMBER SYSTEM 1 THROUGH 7.
SEE THE FOLLOWING PAGES FOR HYDROLIGY CALCULATION FOR 2-STORM
EVENT.

# RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY-2YR STORM EVENT DEVELOPED CONDITION 


RATIONAL METHOD HYDROLOGY COMPUTER PROGRAM PACKAGE (Reference: 1986 SAN BERNARDINO CO. HYDROLOGY CRITERION)
(c) Copyright 1983-2016 Advanced Engineering Software (aes) Ver. 23.0 Release Date: 07/01/2016 License ID 1400

Analysis prepared by:


```
    SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 10.77
    TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 9.90 PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) = 10.77
END OF STUDY SUMMARY:
    TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 9.9 TC(MIN.) = 15.29\longleftarrow
    EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 9.90 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.39
    AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.98 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 0.400
    PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 10.77 
=========================================================================================-
    END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS
```

Analysis prepared by:


```
    SUBAREA RUNOFF(CFS) = 2.32
    TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 9.90 PEAK FLOW RATE (CFS) = 2.32
END OF STUDY SUMMARY:
    TOTAL AREA(ACRES) = 9.9 TC(MIN.) = 25.40\longleftarrow
    EFFECTIVE AREA(ACRES) = 9.90 AREA-AVERAGED Fm(INCH/HR)= 0.86
    AREA-AVERAGED Fp(INCH/HR) = 0.86 AREA-AVERAGED Ap = 1.000
    PEAK FLOW RATE(CFS) = 2.32
========================================================================================-
    END OF RATIONAL METHOD ANALYSIS
```


# UNIT HYDROGRAPH METHOD-2YR, 24HR STORM EVENT DEVELOPED CONDITION 

Unit H y drograph An alysis<br>Copyright (c) CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN, 1989 - 2018, Version 9.0<br>Study date 10/05/21

| San Bernardino County Synthetic Unit Hydrology Method Manual date - August 1986 |
| :---: |
| Program License Serial Number 6484 |
| UH METHOD 2-YR 24-HR STORM EVENT DEVELOPED CONDITION |
| Storm Event Year $=2$ <br> Antecedent Moisture Condition $=2$ |
| English (in-lb) Input Units Used |
| English Rainfall Data (Inches) Input Values Used |
| English Units used in output format |



| 9.90 (Ac.) |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Catchment Lag time $=0.204$ hours |  |
| Unit interval $=5.000$ minutes |  |
| Unit interval percentage of lag time $=40.8497$ |  |
| Hydrograph baseflow = 0.00 (CFS) |  |
| Average maximum watershed loss rate (Fm) $=0.391(\mathrm{In} / \mathrm{Hr})$ |  |
| Average low loss rate fraction (Yb) $=0.450$ (decimal) |  |
| VALLEY DEVELOPED S-Graph Selected |  |
| Computed peak 5-minute rainfall $=0.291$ (In) |  |
| Computed peak 30-minute rainfall $=0.499$ (In) |  |
| Specified peak 1 -hour rainfall $=0.614(\mathrm{In})$ |  |
| Computed peak 3-hour rainfall $=1.066$ (In) |  |
| Specified peak 6-hour rainfall $=1.510$ (In) |  |
| Specified peak 24 -hour rainfall $=2.740$ (In) |  |
| Rainfall depth area reduction factors: |  |
| Using a total area of | 9.90 (Ac.) (Ref: fig. E-4) |
| 5 -minute factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=0.291(\mathrm{In})$ |
| 30 -minute factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=0.498(\mathrm{In})$ |
| 1 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=0.614(\mathrm{In})$ |
| 3 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=1.066(\mathrm{In})$ |
| 6 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=1.510$ (In) |
| 24 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=2.740$ (In) |

## U n i t H y drogr a p h



| 26 | 0.9052 | 0.0177 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 27 | 0.9225 | 0.0173 |
| 28 | 0.9395 | 0.0170 |
| 29 | 0.9562 | 0.0167 |
| 30 | 0.9727 | 0.0164 |
| 31 | 0.9888 | 0.0162 |
| 32 | 1.0047 | 0.0159 |
| 33 | 1.0204 | 0.0157 |
| 34 | 1.0358 | 0.0154 |
| 35 | 1.0510 | 0.0152 |
| 36 | 1.0660 | 0.0150 |
| 37 | 1.0808 | 0.0148 |
| 38 | 1.0954 | 0.0146 |
| 39 | 1.1098 | 0.0144 |
| 40 | 1.1240 | 0.0142 |
| 41 | 1.1380 | 0.0140 |
| 42 | 1.1518 | 0.0139 |
| 43 | 1.1655 | 0.0137 |
| 44 | 1.1791 | 0.0135 |
| 45 | 1.1925 | 0.0134 |
| 46 | 1.2057 | 0.0132 |
| 47 | 1.2188 | 0.0131 |
| 48 | 1.2317 | 0.0130 |
| 49 | 1.2446 | 0.0128 |
| 50 | 1.2573 | 0.0127 |
| 51 | 1.2698 | 0.0126 |
| 52 | 1.2823 | 0.0124 |
| 53 | 1.2946 | 0.0123 |
| 54 | 1.3068 | 0.0122 |
| 55 | 1.3189 | 0.0121 |
| 56 | 1.3309 | 0.0120 |
| 57 | 1.3428 | 0.0119 |
| 58 | 1.3546 | 0.0118 |
| 59 | 1.3662 | 0.0117 |
| 60 | 1.3778 | 0.0116 |
| 61 | 1.3893 | 0.0115 |
| 62 | 1.4007 | 0.0114 |
| 63 | 1.4120 | 0.0113 |
| 64 | 1.4232 | 0.0112 |
| 65 | 1.4343 | 0.0111 |
| 66 | 1.4454 | 0.0110 |
| 67 | 1.4563 | 0.0110 |
| 68 | 1.4672 | 0.0109 |
| 69 | 1.4780 | 0.0108 |
| 70 | 1.4887 | 0.0107 |
| 71 | 1.4994 | 0.0106 |
| 72 | 1.5100 | 0.0106 |
| 73 | 1.5189 | 0.0090 |
| 74 | 1.5278 | 0.0089 |
| 75 | 1.5367 | 0.0088 |
| 76 | 1.5455 | 0.0088 |
| 77 | 1.5542 | 0.0087 |
| 78 | 1.5628 | 0.0086 |
| 79 | 1.5714 | 0.0086 |
| 80 | 1.5799 | 0.0085 |
| 81 | 1.5884 | 0.0085 |
| 82 | 1.5968 | 0.0084 |
| 83 | 1.6051 | 0.0083 |
| 84 | 1.6134 | 0.0083 |
| 85 | 1.6216 | 0.0082 |
| 86 | 1.6298 | 0.0082 |
| 87 | 1.6379 | 0.0081 |
| 88 | 1.6460 | 0.0081 |
| 89 | 1.6540 | 0.0080 |
| 90 | 1.6620 | 0.0080 |
| 91 | 1.6699 | 0.0079 |
| 92 | 1.6777 | 0.0079 |
| 93 | 1.6855 | 0.0078 |
| 94 | 1.6933 | 0.0078 |
| 95 | 1.7010 | 0.0077 |
| 96 | 1.7087 | 0.0077 |


| 97 | 1.7163 | 0.0076 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 98 | 1.7239 | 0.0076 |
| 99 | 1.7314 | 0.0075 |
| 100 | 1.7389 | 0.0075 |
| 101 | 1.7464 | 0.0075 |
| 102 | 1.7538 | 0.0074 |
| 103 | 1.7612 | 0.0074 |
| 104 | 1.7685 | 0.0073 |
| 105 | 1.7758 | 0.0073 |
| 106 | 1.7830 | 0.0072 |
| 107 | 1.7903 | 0.0072 |
| 108 | 1.7974 | 0.0072 |
| 109 | 1.8046 | 0.0071 |
| 110 | 1.8117 | 0.0071 |
| 111 | 1.8187 | 0.0071 |
| 112 | 1.8257 | 0.0070 |
| 113 | 1.8327 | 0.0070 |
| 114 | 1.8397 | 0.0070 |
| 115 | 1.8466 | 0.0069 |
| 116 | 1.8535 | 0.0069 |
| 117 | 1.8603 | 0.0069 |
| 118 | 1.8672 | 0.0068 |
| 119 | 1.8739 | 0.0068 |
| 120 | 1.8807 | 0.0068 |
| 121 | 1.8874 | 0.0067 |
| 122 | 1.8941 | 0.0067 |
| 123 | 1.9008 | 0.0067 |
| 124 | 1.9074 | 0.0066 |
| 125 | 1.9140 | 0.0066 |
| 126 | 1.9206 | 0.0066 |
| 127 | 1.9271 | 0.0065 |
| 128 | 1.9336 | 0.0065 |
| 129 | 1.9401 | 0.0065 |
| 130 | 1.9465 | 0.0065 |
| 131 | 1.9530 | 0.0064 |
| 132 | 1.9593 | 0.0064 |
| 133 | 1.9657 | 0.0064 |
| 134 | 1.9721 | 0.0063 |
| 135 | 1.9784 | 0.0063 |
| 136 | 1.9847 | 0.0063 |
| 137 | 1.9909 | 0.0063 |
| 138 | 1.9971 | 0.0062 |
| 139 | 2.0034 | 0.0062 |
| 140 | 2.0095 | 0.0062 |
| 141 | 2.0157 | 0.0062 |
| 142 | 2.0218 | 0.0061 |
| 143 | 2.0279 | 0.0061 |
| 144 | 2.0340 | 0.0061 |
| 145 | 2.0401 | 0.0061 |
| 146 | 2.0461 | 0.0060 |
| 147 | 2.0521 | 0.0060 |
| 148 | 2.0581 | 0.0060 |
| 149 | 2.0641 | 0.0060 |
| 150 | 2.0700 | 0.0059 |
| 151 | 2.0759 | 0.0059 |
| 152 | 2.0818 | 0.0059 |
| 153 | 2.0877 | 0.0059 |
| 154 | 2.0936 | 0.0059 |
| 155 | 2.0994 | 0.0058 |
| 156 | 2.1052 | 0.0058 |
| 157 | 2.1110 | 0.0058 |
| 158 | 2.1168 | 0.0058 |
| 159 | 2.1225 | 0.0057 |
| 160 | 2.1282 | 0.0057 |
| 161 | 2.1340 | 0.0057 |
| 162 | 2.1396 | 0.0057 |
| 163 | 2.1453 | 0.0057 |
| 164 | 2.1510 | 0.0056 |
| 165 | 2.1566 | 0.0056 |
| 166 | 2.1622 | 0.0056 |
| 167 | 2.1678 | 0.0056 |


| 168 | 2.1734 | 0.0056 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 169 | 2.1789 | 0.0056 |
| 170 | 2.1844 | 0.0055 |
| 171 | 2.1899 | 0.0055 |
| 172 | 2.1954 | 0.0055 |
| 173 | 2.2009 | 0.0055 |
| 174 | 2.2064 | 0.0055 |
| 175 | 2.2118 | 0.0054 |
| 176 | 2.2172 | 0.0054 |
| 177 | 2.2227 | 0.0054 |
| 178 | 2.2280 | 0.0054 |
| 179 | 2.2334 | 0.0054 |
| 180 | 2.2388 | 0.0054 |
| 181 | 2.2441 | 0.0053 |
| 182 | 2.2494 | 0.0053 |
| 183 | 2.2547 | 0.0053 |
| 184 | 2.2600 | 0.0053 |
| 185 | 2.2653 | 0.0053 |
| 186 | 2.2705 | 0.0053 |
| 187 | 2.2758 | 0.0052 |
| 188 | 2.2810 | 0.0052 |
| 189 | 2.2862 | 0.0052 |
| 190 | 2.2914 | 0.0052 |
| 191 | 2.2966 | 0.0052 |
| 192 | 2.3017 | 0.0052 |
| 193 | 2.3069 | 0.0051 |
| 194 | 2.3120 | 0.0051 |
| 195 | 2.3171 | 0.0051 |
| 196 | 2.3222 | 0.0051 |
| 197 | 2.3273 | 0.0051 |
| 198 | 2.3324 | 0.0051 |
| 199 | 2.3374 | 0.0051 |
| 200 | 2.3425 | 0.0050 |
| 201 | 2.3475 | 0.0050 |
| 202 | 2.3525 | 0.0050 |
| 203 | 2.3575 | 0.0050 |
| 204 | 2.3625 | 0.0050 |
| 205 | 2.3675 | 0.0050 |
| 206 | 2.3724 | 0.0050 |
| 207 | 2.3774 | 0.0049 |
| 208 | 2.3823 | 0.0049 |
| 209 | 2.3872 | 0.0049 |
| 210 | 2.3921 | 0.0049 |
| 211 | 2.3970 | 0.0049 |
| 212 | 2.4019 | 0.0049 |
| 213 | 2.4068 | 0.0049 |
| 214 | 2.4116 | 0.0049 |
| 215 | 2.4164 | 0.0048 |
| 216 | 2.4213 | 0.0048 |
| 217 | 2.4261 | 0.0048 |
| 218 | 2.4309 | 0.0048 |
| 219 | 2.4357 | 0.0048 |
| 220 | 2.4404 | 0.0048 |
| 221 | 2.4452 | 0.0048 |
| 222 | 2.4500 | 0.0047 |
| 223 | 2.4547 | 0.0047 |
| 224 | 2.4594 | 0.0047 |
| 225 | 2.4641 | 0.0047 |
| 226 | 2.4688 | 0.0047 |
| 227 | 2.4735 | 0.0047 |
| 228 | 2.4782 | 0.0047 |
| 229 | 2.4829 | 0.0047 |
| 230 | 2.4875 | 0.0047 |
| 231 | 2.4922 | 0.0046 |
| 232 | 2.4968 | 0.0046 |
| 233 | 2.5014 | 0.0046 |
| 234 | 2.5060 | 0.0046 |
| 235 | 2.5106 | 0.0046 |
| 236 | 2.5152 | 0.0046 |
| 237 | 2.5198 | 0.0046 |
| 238 | 2.5243 | 0.0046 |


| 239 | 2.5289 | 0.0046 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 240 | 2.5334 | 0.0045 |  |
| 241 | 2.5380 | 0.0045 |  |
| 242 | 2.5425 | 0.0045 |  |
| 243 | 2.5470 | 0.0045 |  |
| 244 | 2.5515 | 0.0045 |  |
| 245 | 2.5560 | 0.0045 |  |
| 246 | 2.5605 | 0.0045 |  |
| 247 | 2.5649 | 0.0045 |  |
| 248 | 2.5694 | 0.0045 |  |
| 249 | 2.5738 | 0.0044 |  |
| 250 | 2.5783 | 0.0044 |  |
| 251 | 2.5827 | 0.0044 |  |
| 252 | 2.5871 | 0.0044 |  |
| 253 | 2.5915 | 0.0044 |  |
| 254 | 2.5959 | 0.0044 |  |
| 255 | 2.6003 | 0.0044 |  |
| 256 | 2.6047 | 0.0044 |  |
| 257 | 2.6091 | 0.0044 |  |
| 258 | 2.6134 | 0.0044 |  |
| 259 | 2.6178 | 0.0043 |  |
| 260 | 2.6221 | 0.0043 |  |
| 261 | 2.6265 | 0.0043 |  |
| 262 | 2.6308 | 0.0043 |  |
| 263 | 2.6351 | 0.0043 |  |
| 264 | 2.6394 | 0.0043 |  |
| 265 | 2.6437 | 0.0043 |  |
| 266 | 2.6480 | 0.0043 |  |
| 267 | 2.6522 | 0.0043 |  |
| 268 | 2.6565 | 0.0043 |  |
| 269 | 2.6608 | 0.0043 |  |
| 270 | 2.6650 | 0.0042 |  |
| 271 | 2.6692 | 0.0042 |  |
| 272 | 2.6735 | 0.0042 |  |
| 273 | 2.6777 | 0.0042 |  |
| 274 | 2.6819 | 0.0042 |  |
| 275 | 2.6861 | 0.0042 |  |
| 276 | 2.6903 | 0.0042 |  |
| 277 | 2.6945 | 0.0042 |  |
| 278 | 2.6987 | 0.0042 |  |
| 279 | 2.7028 | 0.0042 |  |
| 280 | 2.7070 | 0.0042 |  |
| 281 | 2.7111 | 0.0042 |  |
| 282 | 2.7153 | 0.0041 |  |
| 283 | 2.7194 | 0.0041 |  |
| 284 | 2.7235 | 0.0041 |  |
| 285 | 2.7277 | 0.0041 |  |
| 286 | 2.7318 | 0.0041 |  |
| 287 | 2.7359 | 0.0041 |  |
| 288 | 2.7400 | 0.0041 |  |
| Unit <br> Period <br> (number) | Unit <br> Rainfall (In) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Soil-Loss } \\ & \text { (In) } \end{aligned}$ | Effective Rainfall (In) |
| 1 | 0.0041 | 0.0018 | 0.0022 |
| 2 | 0.0041 | 0.0018 | 0.0023 |
| 3 | 0.0041 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 4 | 0.0041 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 5 | 0.0041 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 6 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 7 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 8 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 9 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 10 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 11 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 12 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 13 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 14 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 15 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 16 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0024 |


| 17 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0024 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0024 |
| 19 | 0.0043 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 20 | 0.0043 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 21 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 22 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 23 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 24 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 25 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 26 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 27 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 28 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 29 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 30 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 31 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 32 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 33 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 34 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 35 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 36 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 37 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 38 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 39 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 40 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 41 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 42 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 43 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 44 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 45 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 46 | 0.0048 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 47 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0026 |
| 48 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0026 |
| 49 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 50 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 51 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 52 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 53 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 54 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 55 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 56 | 0.0050 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 57 | 0.0050 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 58 | 0.0050 | 0.0023 | 0.0027 |
| 59 | 0.0050 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 60 | 0.0050 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 61 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 62 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 63 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 64 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 65 | 0.0052 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 66 | 0.0052 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 67 | 0.0052 | 0.0023 | 0.0029 |
| 68 | 0.0052 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 69 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 70 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 71 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 72 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 73 | 0.0054 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 74 | 0.0054 | 0.0024 | 0.0030 |
| 75 | 0.0054 | 0.0024 | 0.0030 |
| 76 | 0.0054 | 0.0024 | 0.0030 |
| 77 | 0.0055 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 78 | 0.0055 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 79 | 0.0055 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 80 | 0.0055 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 81 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 82 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 83 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 84 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 85 | 0.0057 | 0.0026 | 0.0031 |
| 86 | 0.0057 | 0.0026 | 0.0031 |
| 87 | 0.0057 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |


| 88 | 0.0058 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 89 | 0.0058 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| 90 | 0.0058 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| 91 | 0.0059 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| 92 | 0.0059 | 0.0027 | 0.0032 |
| 93 | 0.0059 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 94 | 0.0060 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 95 | 0.0060 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 96 | 0.0060 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 97 | 0.0061 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 98 | 0.0061 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 99 | 0.0062 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 100 | 0.0062 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 101 | 0.0062 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 102 | 0.0063 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 103 | 0.0063 | 0.0028 | 0.0035 |
| 104 | 0.0063 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 |
| 105 | 0.0064 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 |
| 106 | 0.0064 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 |
| 107 | 0.0065 | 0.0029 | 0.0036 |
| 108 | 0.0065 | 0.0029 | 0.0036 |
| 109 | 0.0066 | 0.0030 | 0.0036 |
| 110 | 0.0066 | 0.0030 | 0.0036 |
| 111 | 0.0067 | 0.0030 | 0.0037 |
| 112 | 0.0067 | 0.0030 | 0.0037 |
| 113 | 0.0068 | 0.0030 | 0.0037 |
| 114 | 0.0068 | 0.0031 | 0.0037 |
| 115 | 0.0069 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 |
| 116 | 0.0069 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 |
| 117 | 0.0070 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 |
| 118 | 0.0070 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 |
| 119 | 0.0071 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |
| 120 | 0.0071 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |
| 121 | 0.0072 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |
| 122 | 0.0072 | 0.0032 | 0.0040 |
| 123 | 0.0073 | 0.0033 | 0.0040 |
| 124 | 0.0073 | 0.0033 | 0.0040 |
| 125 | 0.0074 | 0.0033 | 0.0041 |
| 126 | 0.0075 | 0.0034 | 0.0041 |
| 127 | 0.0075 | 0.0034 | 0.0041 |
| 128 | 0.0076 | 0.0034 | 0.0042 |
| 129 | 0.0077 | 0.0035 | 0.0042 |
| 130 | 0.0077 | 0.0035 | 0.0042 |
| 131 | 0.0078 | 0.0035 | 0.0043 |
| 132 | 0.0079 | 0.0035 | 0.0043 |
| 133 | 0.0080 | 0.0036 | 0.0044 |
| 134 | 0.0080 | 0.0036 | 0.0044 |
| 135 | 0.0081 | 0.0037 | 0.0045 |
| 136 | 0.0082 | 0.0037 | 0.0045 |
| 137 | 0.0083 | 0.0037 | 0.0046 |
| 138 | 0.0083 | 0.0038 | 0.0046 |
| 139 | 0.0085 | 0.0038 | 0.0046 |
| 140 | 0.0085 | 0.0038 | 0.0047 |
| 141 | 0.0086 | 0.0039 | 0.0047 |
| 142 | 0.0087 | 0.0039 | 0.0048 |
| 143 | 0.0088 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 |
| 144 | 0.0089 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 |
| 145 | 0.0106 | 0.0048 | 0.0058 |
| 146 | 0.0106 | 0.0048 | 0.0058 |
| 147 | 0.0108 | 0.0049 | 0.0059 |
| 148 | 0.0109 | 0.0049 | 0.0060 |
| 149 | 0.0110 | 0.0050 | 0.0061 |
| 150 | 0.0111 | 0.0050 | 0.0061 |
| 151 | 0.0113 | 0.0051 | 0.0062 |
| 152 | 0.0114 | 0.0051 | 0.0063 |
| 153 | 0.0116 | 0.0052 | 0.0064 |
| 154 | 0.0117 | 0.0053 | 0.0064 |
| 155 | 0.0119 | 0.0054 | 0.0065 |
| 156 | 0.0120 | 0.0054 | 0.0066 |
| 157 | 0.0122 | 0.0055 | 0.0067 |
| 158 | 0.0123 | 0.0056 | 0.0068 |


| 159 | 0.0126 | 0.0057 | 0.0069 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 160 | 0.0127 | 0.0057 | 0.0070 |
| 161 | 0.0130 | 0.0058 | 0.0071 |
| 162 | 0.0131 | 0.0059 | 0.0072 |
| 163 | 0.0134 | 0.0060 | 0.0074 |
| 164 | 0.0135 | 0.0061 | 0.0074 |
| 165 | 0.0139 | 0.0062 | 0.0076 |
| 166 | 0.0140 | 0.0063 | 0.0077 |
| 167 | 0.0144 | 0.0065 | 0.0079 |
| 168 | 0.0146 | 0.0066 | 0.0080 |
| 169 | 0.0150 | 0.0068 | 0.0082 |
| 170 | 0.0152 | 0.0068 | 0.0084 |
| 171 | 0.0157 | 0.0071 | 0.0086 |
| 172 | 0.0159 | 0.0072 | 0.0087 |
| 173 | 0.0164 | 0.0074 | 0.0090 |
| 174 | 0.0167 | 0.0075 | 0.0092 |
| 175 | 0.0173 | 0.0078 | 0.0095 |
| 176 | 0.0177 | 0.0080 | 0.0097 |
| 177 | 0.0184 | 0.0083 | 0.0101 |
| 178 | 0.0188 | 0.0085 | 0.0103 |
| 179 | 0.0197 | 0.0089 | 0.0108 |
| 180 | 0.0202 | 0.0091 | 0.0111 |
| 181 | 0.0213 | 0.0096 | 0.0117 |
| 182 | 0.0219 | 0.0099 | 0.0121 |
| 183 | 0.0234 | 0.0105 | 0.0129 |
| 184 | 0.0242 | 0.0109 | 0.0133 |
| 185 | 0.0158 | 0.0071 | 0.0087 |
| 186 | 0.0169 | 0.0076 | 0.0093 |
| 187 | 0.0195 | 0.0088 | 0.0107 |
| 188 | 0.0213 | 0.0096 | 0.0117 |
| 189 | 0.0265 | 0.0120 | 0.0146 |
| 190 | 0.0306 | 0.0138 | 0.0168 |
| 191 | 0.0464 | 0.0209 | 0.0255 |
| 192 | 0.0673 | 0.0303 | 0.0370 |
| 193 | 0.2912 | 0.0326 | 0.2586 |
| 194 | 0.0365 | 0.0164 | 0.0201 |
| 195 | 0.0236 | 0.0106 | 0.0130 |
| 196 | 0.0181 | 0.0081 | 0.0099 |
| 197 | 0.0252 | 0.0113 | 0.0138 |
| 198 | 0.0226 | 0.0102 | 0.0124 |
| 199 | 0.0207 | 0.0093 | 0.0114 |
| 200 | 0.0192 | 0.0087 | 0.0106 |
| 201 | 0.0180 | 0.0081 | 0.0099 |
| 202 | 0.0170 | 0.0077 | 0.0094 |
| 203 | 0.0162 | 0.0073 | 0.0089 |
| 204 | 0.0154 | 0.0069 | 0.0085 |
| 205 | 0.0148 | 0.0067 | 0.0081 |
| 206 | 0.0142 | 0.0064 | 0.0078 |
| 207 | 0.0137 | 0.0062 | 0.0075 |
| 208 | 0.0132 | 0.0060 | 0.0073 |
| 209 | 0.0128 | 0.0058 | 0.0070 |
| 210 | 0.0124 | 0.0056 | 0.0068 |
| 211 | 0.0121 | 0.0055 | 0.0066 |
| 212 | 0.0118 | 0.0053 | 0.0065 |
| 213 | 0.0115 | 0.0052 | 0.0063 |
| 214 | 0.0112 | 0.0051 | 0.0062 |
| 215 | 0.0110 | 0.0049 | 0.0060 |
| 216 | 0.0107 | 0.0048 | 0.0059 |
| 217 | 0.0090 | 0.0040 | 0.0049 |
| 218 | 0.0088 | 0.0040 | 0.0048 |
| 219 | 0.0086 | 0.0039 | 0.0047 |
| 220 | 0.0084 | 0.0038 | 0.0046 |
| 221 | 0.0082 | 0.0037 | 0.0045 |
| 222 | 0.0081 | 0.0036 | 0.0044 |
| 223 | 0.0079 | 0.0036 | 0.0043 |
| 224 | 0.0078 | 0.0035 | 0.0043 |
| 225 | 0.0076 | 0.0034 | 0.0042 |
| 226 | 0.0075 | 0.0034 | 0.0041 |
| 227 | 0.0074 | 0.0033 | 0.0040 |
| 228 | 0.0072 | 0.0033 | 0.0040 |
| 229 | 0.0071 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |


| 230 | 0.0070 | 0.0032 | 0.0039 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 231 | 0.0069 | 0.0031 | 0.0038 |
| 232 | 0.0068 | 0.0031 | 0.0037 |
| 233 | 0.0067 | 0.0030 | 0.0037 |
| 234 | 0.0066 | 0.0030 | 0.0036 |
| 235 | 0.0065 | 0.0029 | 0.0036 |
| 236 | 0.0065 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 |
| 237 | 0.0064 | 0.0029 | 0.0035 |
| 238 | 0.0063 | 0.0028 | 0.0035 |
| 239 | 0.0062 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 240 | 0.0061 | 0.0028 | 0.0034 |
| 241 | 0.0061 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 242 | 0.0060 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 243 | 0.0059 | 0.0027 | 0.0033 |
| 244 | 0.0059 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| 245 | 0.0058 | 0.0026 | 0.0032 |
| 246 | 0.0057 | 0.0026 | 0.0031 |
| 247 | 0.0057 | 0.0026 | 0.0031 |
| 248 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 249 | 0.0056 | 0.0025 | 0.0031 |
| 250 | 0.0055 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 251 | 0.0054 | 0.0025 | 0.0030 |
| 252 | 0.0054 | 0.0024 | 0.0030 |
| 253 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 254 | 0.0053 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 255 | 0.0052 | 0.0024 | 0.0029 |
| 256 | 0.0052 | 0.0023 | 0.0029 |
| 257 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 258 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 259 | 0.0051 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 260 | 0.0050 | 0.0023 | 0.0028 |
| 261 | 0.0050 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 262 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 263 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 264 | 0.0049 | 0.0022 | 0.0027 |
| 265 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0026 |
| 266 | 0.0048 | 0.0022 | 0.0026 |
| 267 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 268 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 269 | 0.0047 | 0.0021 | 0.0026 |
| 270 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 271 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 272 | 0.0046 | 0.0021 | 0.0025 |
| 273 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 274 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 275 | 0.0045 | 0.0020 | 0.0025 |
| 276 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 277 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 278 | 0.0044 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 279 | 0.0043 | 0.0020 | 0.0024 |
| 280 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0024 |
| 281 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0024 |
| 282 | 0.0043 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 283 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 284 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 285 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 286 | 0.0042 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 287 | 0.0041 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| 288 | 0.0041 | 0.0019 | 0.0023 |
| Total soil rain loss $=$ 1.14 (In) Total effective rainfall = 1.60 (In) Peak flow rate in flood hydrograph $=$ <br> 11.86 (CFS) |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| ++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++ |  |  |  |
|  | $24$ |  |  |
| Runoff $\quad \mathrm{H} y \mathrm{y}$ dograph |  |  |  |

Hydrograph in 5 Minute intervals ((CFS))

| Time ( $\mathrm{h}+\mathrm{m}$ ) | Volume Ac.Ft | Q (CFS) | 0 | 5.0 | 10.0 | 15.0 | 20.0 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $0+5$ | 0.0001 | 0.01 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+10$ | 0.0004 | 0.05 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+15$ | 0.0014 | 0.14 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+20$ | 0.0029 | 0.21 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+25$ | 0.0046 | 0.25 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+30$ | 0.0064 | 0.26 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+35$ | 0.0082 | 0.27 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+40$ | 0.0101 | 0.27 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+45$ | 0.0120 | 0.27 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+50$ | 0.0139 | 0.27 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $0+55$ | 0.0158 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+0$ | 0.0177 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+5$ | 0.0196 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| 1+10 | 0.0215 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+15$ | 0.0234 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+20$ | 0.0253 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+25$ | 0.0273 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+30$ | 0.0292 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+35$ | 0.0312 | 0.28 | Q |  |  |  |  |
| $1+40$ | 0.0331 | 0.28 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $1+45$ | 0.0351 | 0.28 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $1+50$ | 0.0370 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $1+55$ | 0.0390 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+0$ | 0.0410 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+5$ | 0.0430 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+10$ | 0.0450 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+15$ | 0.0469 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+20$ | 0.0490 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+25$ | 0.0510 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+30$ | 0.0530 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+35$ | 0.0550 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+40$ | 0.0570 | 0.29 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+45$ | 0.0591 | 0.30 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+50$ | 0.0611 | 0.30 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $2+55$ | 0.0632 | 0.30 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $3+0$ | 0.0652 | 0.30 | QV |  |  |  |  |
| $3+5$ | 0.0673 | 0.30 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+10$ | 0.0694 | 0.30 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+15$ | 0.0715 | 0.30 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+20$ | 0.0735 | 0.30 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+25$ | 0.0756 | 0.30 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+30$ | 0.0778 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+35$ | 0.0799 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+40$ | 0.0820 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+45$ | 0.0841 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+50$ | 0.0863 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $3+55$ | 0.0884 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+0$ | 0.0906 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+5$ | 0.0927 | 0.31 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| 4+10 | 0.0949 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+15$ | 0.0971 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+20$ | 0.0993 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+25$ | 0.1015 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+30$ | 0.1037 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+35$ | 0.1059 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+40$ | 0.1081 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+45$ | 0.1103 | 0.32 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+50$ | 0.1126 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $4+55$ | 0.1148 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+0$ | 0.1171 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+5$ | 0.1194 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+10$ | 0.1216 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+15$ | 0.1239 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+20$ | 0.1262 | 0.33 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+25$ | 0.1285 | 0.34 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+30$ | 0.1309 | 0.34 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+35$ | 0.1332 | 0.34 | Q V |  |  |  |  |
| $5+40$ | 0.1355 | 0.34 | Q V |  |  |  |  |





| 23+30 | 1.3064 | 0.29 | Q |  | V |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23+35 | 1.3084 | 0.28 | Q |  | V |
| $23+40$ | 1.3103 | 0.28 | Q |  | V |
| $23+45$ | 1.3123 | 0.28 | Q |  | V |
| 23+50 | 1.3142 | 0.28 | Q |  | V |
| 23+55 | 1.3161 | 0.28 | Q |  | V |
| 24+0 | 1.3180 | 0.27 | Q |  | V |
| 24+5 | 1.3198 | 0.26 | Q |  | V |
| 24+10 | 1.3213 | 0.22 | Q |  | V |
| $24+15$ | 1.3222 | 0.13 | Q |  | V |
| 24+20 | 1.3226 | 0.06 | Q |  | V |
| 24+25 | 1.3227 | 0.02 | Q |  | V |
| $24+30$ | 1.3228 | 0.01 | Q |  | V |
| $24+35$ | 1.3228 | 0.00 | Q |  | V |
| $24+40$ | 1.3228 | 0.00 | Q |  | V |

# UNIT HYDROGRAPH METHOD-2YR, 24HR STORM EVENT EXISTING CONDITION 

Unit H y drograph An alysis<br>Copyright (c) CIVILCADD/CIVILDESIGN, 1989 - 2018, Version 9.0<br>Study date 10/05/21

| San Bernardino County Synthetic Unit Hydrology Method Manual date - August 1986 |
| :---: |
| Program License Serial Number 6484 |
| UH METHOD <br> 2YR 24HR STORM EVENT <br> EXISTING CONDITION |
| Storm Event Year $=2$ <br> Antecedent Moisture Condition $=2$ |
| English (in-lb) Input Units Used |
| English Rainfall Data (Inches) Input Values Used |
| English Units used in output format |



```
Catchment Lag time = 0.338 hours
Unit interval = 5.000 minutes
Unit interval percentage of lag time = 24.6548
Hydrograph baseflow = 0.00(CFS)
Average maximum watershed loss rate(Fm) = 0.856(In/Hr)
Average low loss rate fraction (Yb) = 0.995 (decimal)
VALLEY UNDEVELOPED S-Graph Selected
Computed peak 5-minute rainfall = 0.291(In)
Computed peak 30-minute rainfall = 0.499(In)
Specified peak 1-hour rainfall = 0.614(In)
Computed peak 3-hour rainfall = 1.066(In)
Specified peak 6-hour rainfall = 1.510(In)
Specified peak 24-hour rainfall = 2.740(In)
```

| Using a total area of | 9.90 (Ac.) (Ref: fig. | E-4) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5 -minute factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall | 0.291 (In) |
| 30 -minute factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall | 0.498 (In) |
| 1 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall $=$ | 0.614 (In) |
| 3 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall = | 1.066 (In) |
| 6 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall | 1.510 (In) |
| 24 -hour factor $=1.000$ | Adjusted rainfall = | 2.740 (In) |

## U n i t $H$ y d rog r a ph

+++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++++
Interval 'S' Graph Unit Hydrograph
Number Mean values ((CFS))


| Peak Unit <br> Number | Adjusted mass rainfall |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (In) |  | | Unit rainfall |
| :---: | :---: |
| (In) |


| 3 | 0.4049 | 0.0464 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4 | 0.4414 | 0.0365 |
| 5 | 0.4720 | 0.0306 |
| 6 | 0.4985 | 0.0265 |
| 7 | 0.5221 | 0.0236 |
| 8 | 0.5434 | 0.0213 |
| 9 | 0.5630 | 0.0195 |
| 10 | 0.5810 | 0.0181 |
| 11 | 0.5979 | 0.0169 |
| 12 | 0.6137 | 0.0158 |
| 13 | 0.6389 | 0.0252 |
| 14 | 0.6632 | 0.0242 |
| 15 | 0.6866 | 0.0234 |
| 16 | 0.7092 | 0.0226 |
| 17 | 0.7311 | 0.0219 |
| 18 | 0.7524 | 0.0213 |
| 19 | 0.7732 | 0.0207 |
| 20 | 0.7934 | 0.0202 |
| 21 | 0.8130 | 0.0197 |
| 22 | 0.8323 | 0.0192 |
| 23 | 0.8511 | 0.0188 |
| 24 | 0.8695 | 0.0184 |
| 25 | 0.8875 | 0.0180 |
| 26 | 0.9052 | 0.0177 |
| 27 | 0.9225 | 0.0173 |
| 28 | 0.9395 | 0.0170 |
| 29 | 0.9562 | 0.0167 |
| 30 | 0.9727 | 0.0164 |
| 31 | 0.9888 | 0.0162 |
| 32 | 1.0047 | 0.0159 |
| 33 | 1.0204 | 0.0157 |
| 34 | 1.0358 | 0.0154 |
| 35 | 1.0510 | 0.0152 |
| 36 | 1.0660 | 0.0150 |
| 37 | 1.0808 | 0.0148 |
| 38 | 1.0954 | 0.0146 |
| 39 | 1.1098 | 0.0144 |
| 40 | 1.1240 | 0.0142 |
| 41 | 1.1380 | 0.0140 |
| 42 | 1.1518 | 0.0139 |
| 43 | 1.1655 | 0.0137 |
| 44 | 1.1791 | 0.0135 |
| 45 | 1.1925 | 0.0134 |
| 46 | 1.2057 | 0.0132 |
| 47 | 1.2188 | 0.0131 |
| 48 | 1.2317 | 0.0130 |
| 49 | 1.2446 | 0.0128 |
| 50 | 1.2573 | 0.0127 |
| 51 | 1.2698 | 0.0126 |
| 52 | 1.2823 | 0.0124 |
| 53 | 1.2946 | 0.0123 |
| 54 | 1.3068 | 0.0122 |
| 55 | 1.3189 | 0.0121 |
| 56 | 1.3309 | 0.0120 |
| 57 | 1.3428 | 0.0119 |
| 58 | 1.3546 | 0.0118 |
| 59 | 1.3662 | 0.0117 |
| 60 | 1.3778 | 0.0116 |
| 61 | 1.3893 | 0.0115 |
| 62 | 1.4007 | 0.0114 |
| 63 | 1.4120 | 0.0113 |
| 64 | 1.4232 | 0.0112 |
| 65 | 1.4343 | 0.0111 |
| 66 | 1.4454 | 0.0110 |
| 67 | 1.4563 | 0.0110 |
| 68 | 1.4672 | 0.0109 |
| 69 | 1.4780 | 0.0108 |
| 70 | 1.4887 | 0.0107 |
| 71 | 1.4994 | 0.0106 |
| 72 | 1.5100 | 0.0106 |
| 73 | 1.5189 | 0.0090 |


| 74 | 1.5278 | 0.0089 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 75 | 1.5367 | 0.0088 |
| 76 | 1.5455 | 0.0088 |
| 77 | 1.5542 | 0.0087 |
| 78 | 1.5628 | 0.0086 |
| 79 | 1.5714 | 0.0086 |
| 80 | 1.5799 | 0.0085 |
| 81 | 1.5884 | 0.0085 |
| 82 | 1.5968 | 0.0084 |
| 83 | 1.6051 | 0.0083 |
| 84 | 1.6134 | 0.0083 |
| 85 | 1.6216 | 0.0082 |
| 86 | 1.6298 | 0.0082 |
| 87 | 1.6379 | 0.0081 |
| 88 | 1.6460 | 0.0081 |
| 89 | 1.6540 | 0.0080 |
| 90 | 1.6620 | 0.0080 |
| 91 | 1.6699 | 0.0079 |
| 92 | 1.6777 | 0.0079 |
| 93 | 1.6855 | 0.0078 |
| 94 | 1.6933 | 0.0078 |
| 95 | 1.7010 | 0.0077 |
| 96 | 1.7087 | 0.0077 |
| 97 | 1.7163 | 0.0076 |
| 98 | 1.7239 | 0.0076 |
| 99 | 1.7314 | 0.0075 |
| 100 | 1.7389 | 0.0075 |
| 101 | 1.7464 | 0.0075 |
| 102 | 1.7538 | 0.0074 |
| 103 | 1.7612 | 0.0074 |
| 104 | 1.7685 | 0.0073 |
| 105 | 1.7758 | 0.0073 |
| 106 | 1.7830 | 0.0072 |
| 107 | 1.7903 | 0.0072 |
| 108 | 1.7974 | 0.0072 |
| 109 | 1.8046 | 0.0071 |
| 110 | 1.8117 | 0.0071 |
| 111 | 1.8187 | 0.0071 |
| 112 | 1.8257 | 0.0070 |
| 113 | 1.8327 | 0.0070 |
| 114 | 1.8397 | 0.0070 |
| 115 | 1.8466 | 0.0069 |
| 116 | 1.8535 | 0.0069 |
| 117 | 1.8603 | 0.0069 |
| 118 | 1.8672 | 0.0068 |
| 119 | 1.8739 | 0.0068 |
| 120 | 1.8807 | 0.0068 |
| 121 | 1.8874 | 0.0067 |
| 122 | 1.8941 | 0.0067 |
| 123 | 1.9008 | 0.0067 |
| 124 | 1.9074 | 0.0066 |
| 125 | 1.9140 | 0.0066 |
| 126 | 1.9206 | 0.0066 |
| 127 | 1.9271 | 0.0065 |
| 128 | 1.9336 | 0.0065 |
| 129 | 1.9401 | 0.0065 |
| 130 | 1.9465 | 0.0065 |
| 131 | 1.9530 | 0.0064 |
| 132 | 1.9593 | 0.0064 |
| 133 | 1.9657 | 0.0064 |
| 134 | 1.9721 | 0.0063 |
| 135 | 1.9784 | 0.0063 |
| 136 | 1.9847 | 0.0063 |
| 137 | 1.9909 | 0.0063 |
| 138 | 1.9971 | 0.0062 |
| 139 | 2.0034 | 0.0062 |
| 140 | 2.0095 | 0.0062 |
| 141 | 2.0157 | 0.0062 |
| 142 | 2.0218 | 0.0061 |
| 143 | 2.0279 | 0.0061 |
| 144 | 2.0340 | 0.0061 |


| 145 | 2.0401 | 0.0061 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 146 | 2.0461 | 0.0060 |
| 147 | 2.0521 | 0.0060 |
| 148 | 2.0581 | 0.0060 |
| 149 | 2.0641 | 0.0060 |
| 150 | 2.0700 | 0.0059 |
| 151 | 2.0759 | 0.0059 |
| 152 | 2.0818 | 0.0059 |
| 153 | 2.0877 | 0.0059 |
| 154 | 2.0936 | 0.0059 |
| 155 | 2.0994 | 0.0058 |
| 156 | 2.1052 | 0.0058 |
| 157 | 2.1110 | 0.0058 |
| 158 | 2.1168 | 0.0058 |
| 159 | 2.1225 | 0.0057 |
| 160 | 2.1282 | 0.0057 |
| 161 | 2.1340 | 0.0057 |
| 162 | 2.1396 | 0.0057 |
| 163 | 2.1453 | 0.0057 |
| 164 | 2.1510 | 0.0056 |
| 165 | 2.1566 | 0.0056 |
| 166 | 2.1622 | 0.0056 |
| 167 | 2.1678 | 0.0056 |
| 168 | 2.1734 | 0.0056 |
| 169 | 2.1789 | 0.0056 |
| 170 | 2.1844 | 0.0055 |
| 171 | 2.1899 | 0.0055 |
| 172 | 2.1954 | 0.0055 |
| 173 | 2.2009 | 0.0055 |
| 174 | 2.2064 | 0.0055 |
| 175 | 2.2118 | 0.0054 |
| 176 | 2.2172 | 0.0054 |
| 177 | 2.2227 | 0.0054 |
| 178 | 2.2280 | 0.0054 |
| 179 | 2.2334 | 0.0054 |
| 180 | 2.2388 | 0.0054 |
| 181 | 2.2441 | 0.0053 |
| 182 | 2.2494 | 0.0053 |
| 183 | 2.2547 | 0.0053 |
| 184 | 2.2600 | 0.0053 |
| 185 | 2.2653 | 0.0053 |
| 186 | 2.2705 | 0.0053 |
| 187 | 2.2758 | 0.0052 |
| 188 | 2.2810 | 0.0052 |
| 189 | 2.2862 | 0.0052 |
| 190 | 2.2914 | 0.0052 |
| 191 | 2.2966 | 0.0052 |
| 192 | 2.3017 | 0.0052 |
| 193 | 2.3069 | 0.0051 |
| 194 | 2.3120 | 0.0051 |
| 195 | 2.3171 | 0.0051 |
| 196 | 2.3222 | 0.0051 |
| 197 | 2.3273 | 0.0051 |
| 198 | 2.3324 | 0.0051 |
| 199 | 2.3374 | 0.0051 |
| 200 | 2.3425 | 0.0050 |
| 201 | 2.3475 | 0.0050 |
| 202 | 2.3525 | 0.0050 |
| 203 | 2.3575 | 0.0050 |
| 204 | 2.3625 | 0.0050 |
| 205 | 2.3675 | 0.0050 |
| 206 | 2.3724 | 0.0050 |
| 207 | 2.3774 | 0.0049 |
| 208 | 2.3823 | 0.0049 |
| 209 | 2.3872 | 0.0049 |
| 210 | 2.3921 | 0.0049 |
| 211 | 2.3970 | 0.0049 |
| 212 | 2.4019 | 0.0049 |
| 213 | 2.4068 | 0.0049 |
| 214 | 2.4116 | 0.0049 |
| 215 | 2.4164 | 0.0048 |


| 216 | 2.4213 | 0.0048 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 217 | 2.4261 | 0.0048 |
| 218 | 2.4309 | 0.0048 |
| 219 | 2.4357 | 0.0048 |
| 220 | 2.4404 | 0.0048 |
| 221 | 2.4452 | 0.0048 |
| 222 | 2.4500 | 0.0047 |
| 223 | 2.4547 | 0.0047 |
| 224 | 2.4594 | 0.0047 |
| 225 | 2.4641 | 0.0047 |
| 226 | 2.4688 | 0.0047 |
| 227 | 2.4735 | 0.0047 |
| 228 | 2.4782 | 0.0047 |
| 229 | 2.4829 | 0.0047 |
| 230 | 2.4875 | 0.0047 |
| 231 | 2.4922 | 0.0046 |
| 232 | 2.4968 | 0.0046 |
| 233 | 2.5014 | 0.0046 |
| 234 | 2.5060 | 0.0046 |
| 235 | 2.5106 | 0.0046 |
| 236 | 2.5152 | 0.0046 |
| 237 | 2.5198 | 0.0046 |
| 238 | 2.5243 | 0.0046 |
| 239 | 2.5289 | 0.0046 |
| 240 | 2.5334 | 0.0045 |
| 241 | 2.5380 | 0.0045 |
| 242 | 2.5425 | 0.0045 |
| 243 | 2.5470 | 0.0045 |
| 244 | 2.5515 | 0.0045 |
| 245 | 2.5560 | 0.0045 |
| 246 | 2.5605 | 0.0045 |
| 247 | 2.5649 | 0.0045 |
| 248 | 2.5694 | 0.0045 |
| 249 | 2.5738 | 0.0044 |
| 250 | 2.5783 | 0.0044 |
| 251 | 2.5827 | 0.0044 |
| 252 | 2.5871 | 0.0044 |
| 253 | 2.5915 | 0.0044 |
| 254 | 2.5959 | 0.0044 |
| 255 | 2.6003 | 0.0044 |
| 256 | 2.6047 | 0.0044 |
| 257 | 2.6091 | 0.0044 |
| 258 | 2.6134 | 0.0044 |
| 259 | 2.6178 | 0.0043 |
| 260 | 2.6221 | 0.0043 |
| 261 | 2.6265 | 0.0043 |
| 262 | 2.6308 | 0.0043 |
| 263 | 2.6351 | 0.0043 |
| 264 | 2.6394 | 0.0043 |
| 265 | 2.6437 | 0.0043 |
| 266 | 2.6480 | 0.0043 |
| 267 | 2.6522 | 0.0043 |
| 268 | 2.6565 | 0.0043 |
| 269 | 2.6608 | 0.0043 |
| 270 | 2.6650 | 0.0042 |
| 271 | 2.6692 | 0.0042 |
| 272 | 2.6735 | 0.0042 |
| 273 | 2.6777 | 0.0042 |
| 274 | 2.6819 | 0.0042 |
| 275 | 2.6861 | 0.0042 |
| 276 | 2.6903 | 0.0042 |
| 277 | 2.6945 | 0.0042 |
| 278 | 2.6987 | 0.0042 |
| 279 | 2.7028 | 0.0042 |
| 280 | 2.7070 | 0.0042 |
| 281 | 2.7111 | 0.0042 |
| 282 | 2.7153 | 0.0041 |
| 283 | 2.7194 | 0.0041 |
| 284 | 2.7235 | 0.0041 |
| 285 | 2.7277 | 0.0041 |
| 286 | 2.7318 | 0.0041 |


| 287 | 2.7359 | 0.0041 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 288 | 2.7400 | 0.0041 |  |
| Unit Period (number) | Unit <br> Rainfall <br> (In) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Unit } \\ & \text { Soil-Loss } \\ & \text { (In) } \end{aligned}$ | Effective Rainfall (In) |
| 1 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 2 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 3 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 4 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 5 | 0.0041 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 6 | 0.0042 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 7 | 0.0042 | 0.0041 | 0.0000 |
| 8 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 9 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 10 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 11 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 12 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 13 | 0.0042 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 14 | 0.0043 | 0.0042 | 0.0000 |
| 15 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 16 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 17 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 18 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 19 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 20 | 0.0043 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 21 | 0.0044 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 22 | 0.0044 | 0.0043 | 0.0000 |
| 23 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 24 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 25 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 26 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 27 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 28 | 0.0045 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 29 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 30 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 31 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 32 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 33 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 34 | 0.0046 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 35 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 36 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 37 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 38 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 39 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 40 | 0.0047 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 41 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 42 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 43 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 44 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 45 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 46 | 0.0048 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 47 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 48 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 49 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 50 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 51 | 0.0049 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 52 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 53 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 54 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 55 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 56 | 0.0050 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 57 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 58 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 59 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 60 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 61 | 0.0051 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 62 | 0.0051 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |
| 63 | 0.0051 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |
| 64 | 0.0051 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |


| 65 | 0.0052 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 66 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 67 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 68 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 69 | 0.0053 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 70 | 0.0053 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 71 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 72 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 73 | 0.0054 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 74 | 0.0054 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 75 | 0.0054 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 |
| 76 | 0.0054 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 |
| 77 | 0.0055 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 |
| 78 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 79 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 80 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 81 | 0.0056 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 82 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 83 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 84 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 85 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 |
| 86 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 |
| 87 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 |
| 88 | 0.0058 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 |
| 89 | 0.0058 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 |
| 90 | 0.0058 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 |
| 91 | 0.0059 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 |
| 92 | 0.0059 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 |
| 93 | 0.0059 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 |
| 94 | 0.0060 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 |
| 95 | 0.0060 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 |
| 96 | 0.0060 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 |
| 97 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 |
| 98 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 |
| 99 | 0.0062 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 |
| 100 | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 |
| 101 | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 |
| 102 | 0.0063 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 |
| 103 | 0.0063 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 |
| 104 | 0.0063 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 |
| 105 | 0.0064 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 |
| 106 | 0.0064 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 |
| 107 | 0.0065 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 |
| 108 | 0.0065 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 |
| 109 | 0.0066 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 |
| 110 | 0.0066 | 0.0066 | 0.0000 |
| 111 | 0.0067 | 0.0066 | 0.0000 |
| 112 | 0.0067 | 0.0067 | 0.0000 |
| 113 | 0.0068 | 0.0067 | 0.0000 |
| 114 | 0.0068 | 0.0068 | 0.0000 |
| 115 | 0.0069 | 0.0068 | 0.0000 |
| 116 | 0.0069 | 0.0069 | 0.0000 |
| 117 | 0.0070 | 0.0069 | 0.0000 |
| 118 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 | 0.0000 |
| 119 | 0.0071 | 0.0070 | 0.0000 |
| 120 | 0.0071 | 0.0071 | 0.0000 |
| 121 | 0.0072 | 0.0071 | 0.0000 |
| 122 | 0.0072 | 0.0072 | 0.0000 |
| 123 | 0.0073 | 0.0073 | 0.0000 |
| 124 | 0.0073 | 0.0073 | 0.0000 |
| 125 | 0.0074 | 0.0074 | 0.0000 |
| 126 | 0.0075 | 0.0074 | 0.0000 |
| 127 | 0.0075 | 0.0075 | 0.0000 |
| 128 | 0.0076 | 0.0075 | 0.0000 |
| 129 | 0.0077 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 |
| 130 | 0.0077 | 0.0077 | 0.0000 |
| 131 | 0.0078 | 0.0078 | 0.0000 |
| 132 | 0.0079 | 0.0078 | 0.0000 |
| 133 | 0.0080 | 0.0079 | 0.0000 |
| 134 | 0.0080 | 0.0080 | 0.0000 |
| 135 | 0.0081 | 0.0081 | 0.0000 |


| 136 | 0.0082 | 0.0081 | 0.0000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 137 | 0.0083 | 0.0082 | 0.0000 |
| 138 | 0.0083 | 0.0083 | 0.0000 |
| 139 | 0.0085 | 0.0084 | 0.0000 |
| 140 | 0.0085 | 0.0085 | 0.0000 |
| 141 | 0.0086 | 0.0086 | 0.0000 |
| 142 | 0.0087 | 0.0087 | 0.0000 |
| 143 | 0.0088 | 0.0088 | 0.0000 |
| 144 | 0.0089 | 0.0089 | 0.0000 |
| 145 | 0.0106 | 0.0105 | 0.0000 |
| 146 | 0.0106 | 0.0106 | 0.0000 |
| 147 | 0.0108 | 0.0107 | 0.0000 |
| 148 | 0.0109 | 0.0108 | 0.0001 |
| 149 | 0.0110 | 0.0110 | 0.0001 |
| 150 | 0.0111 | 0.0111 | 0.0001 |
| 151 | 0.0113 | 0.0112 | 0.0001 |
| 152 | 0.0114 | 0.0113 | 0.0001 |
| 153 | 0.0116 | 0.0115 | 0.0001 |
| 154 | 0.0117 | 0.0116 | 0.0001 |
| 155 | 0.0119 | 0.0118 | 0.0001 |
| 156 | 0.0120 | 0.0119 | 0.0001 |
| 157 | 0.0122 | 0.0122 | 0.0001 |
| 158 | 0.0123 | 0.0123 | 0.0001 |
| 159 | 0.0126 | 0.0125 | 0.0001 |
| 160 | 0.0127 | 0.0126 | 0.0001 |
| 161 | 0.0130 | 0.0129 | 0.0001 |
| 162 | 0.0131 | 0.0130 | 0.0001 |
| 163 | 0.0134 | 0.0133 | 0.0001 |
| 164 | 0.0135 | 0.0135 | 0.0001 |
| 165 | 0.0139 | 0.0138 | 0.0001 |
| 166 | 0.0140 | 0.0140 | 0.0001 |
| 167 | 0.0144 | 0.0143 | 0.0001 |
| 168 | 0.0146 | 0.0145 | 0.0001 |
| 169 | 0.0150 | 0.0149 | 0.0001 |
| 170 | 0.0152 | 0.0151 | 0.0001 |
| 171 | 0.0157 | 0.0156 | 0.0001 |
| 172 | 0.0159 | 0.0158 | 0.0001 |
| 173 | 0.0164 | 0.0164 | 0.0001 |
| 174 | 0.0167 | 0.0166 | 0.0001 |
| 175 | 0.0173 | 0.0173 | 0.0001 |
| 176 | 0.0177 | 0.0176 | 0.0001 |
| 177 | 0.0184 | 0.0183 | 0.0001 |
| 178 | 0.0188 | 0.0187 | 0.0001 |
| 179 | 0.0197 | 0.0196 | 0.0001 |
| 180 | 0.0202 | 0.0201 | 0.0001 |
| 181 | 0.0213 | 0.0212 | 0.0001 |
| 182 | 0.0219 | 0.0218 | 0.0001 |
| 183 | 0.0234 | 0.0233 | 0.0001 |
| 184 | 0.0242 | 0.0241 | 0.0001 |
| 185 | 0.0158 | 0.0157 | 0.0001 |
| 186 | 0.0169 | 0.0168 | 0.0001 |
| 187 | 0.0195 | 0.0195 | 0.0001 |
| 188 | 0.0213 | 0.0212 | 0.0001 |
| 189 | 0.0265 | 0.0264 | 0.0001 |
| 190 | 0.0306 | 0.0304 | 0.0001 |
| 191 | 0.0464 | 0.0462 | 0.0002 |
| 192 | 0.0673 | 0.0670 | 0.0003 |
| 193 | 0.2912 | 0.0713 | 0.2199 |
| 194 | 0.0365 | 0.0363 | 0.0002 |
| 195 | 0.0236 | 0.0235 | 0.0001 |
| 196 | 0.0181 | 0.0180 | 0.0001 |
| 197 | 0.0252 | 0.0251 | 0.0001 |
| 198 | 0.0226 | 0.0225 | 0.0001 |
| 199 | 0.0207 | 0.0206 | 0.0001 |
| 200 | 0.0192 | 0.0191 | 0.0001 |
| 201 | 0.0180 | 0.0179 | 0.0001 |
| 202 | 0.0170 | 0.0169 | 0.0001 |
| 203 | 0.0162 | 0.0161 | 0.0001 |
| 204 | 0.0154 | 0.0154 | 0.0001 |
| 205 | 0.0148 | 0.0147 | 0.0001 |
| 206 | 0.0142 | 0.0141 | 0.0001 |


| 207 | 0.0137 | 0.0136 | 0.0001 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 208 | 0.0132 | 0.0132 | 0.0001 |
| 209 | 0.0128 | 0.0128 | 0.0001 |
| 210 | 0.0124 | 0.0124 | 0.0001 |
| 211 | 0.0121 | 0.0120 | 0.0001 |
| 212 | 0.0118 | 0.0117 | 0.0001 |
| 213 | 0.0115 | 0.0114 | 0.0001 |
| 214 | 0.0112 | 0.0112 | 0.0001 |
| 215 | 0.0110 | 0.0109 | 0.0001 |
| 216 | 0.0107 | 0.0107 | 0.0000 |
| 217 | 0.0090 | 0.0089 | 0.0000 |
| 218 | 0.0088 | 0.0087 | 0.0000 |
| 219 | 0.0086 | 0.0085 | 0.0000 |
| 220 | 0.0084 | 0.0084 | 0.0000 |
| 221 | 0.0082 | 0.0082 | 0.0000 |
| 222 | 0.0081 | 0.0080 | 0.0000 |
| 223 | 0.0079 | 0.0079 | 0.0000 |
| 224 | 0.0078 | 0.0077 | 0.0000 |
| 225 | 0.0076 | 0.0076 | 0.0000 |
| 226 | 0.0075 | 0.0075 | 0.0000 |
| 227 | 0.0074 | 0.0073 | 0.0000 |
| 228 | 0.0072 | 0.0072 | 0.0000 |
| 229 | 0.0071 | 0.0071 | 0.0000 |
| 230 | 0.0070 | 0.0070 | 0.0000 |
| 231 | 0.0069 | 0.0069 | 0.0000 |
| 232 | 0.0068 | 0.0068 | 0.0000 |
| 233 | 0.0067 | 0.0067 | 0.0000 |
| 234 | 0.0066 | 0.0066 | 0.0000 |
| 235 | 0.0065 | 0.0065 | 0.0000 |
| 236 | 0.0065 | 0.0064 | 0.0000 |
| 237 | 0.0064 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 |
| 238 | 0.0063 | 0.0063 | 0.0000 |
| 239 | 0.0062 | 0.0062 | 0.0000 |
| 240 | 0.0061 | 0.0061 | 0.0000 |
| 241 | 0.0061 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 |
| 242 | 0.0060 | 0.0060 | 0.0000 |
| 243 | 0.0059 | 0.0059 | 0.0000 |
| 244 | 0.0059 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 |
| 245 | 0.0058 | 0.0058 | 0.0000 |
| 246 | 0.0057 | 0.0057 | 0.0000 |
| 247 | 0.0057 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 248 | 0.0056 | 0.0056 | 0.0000 |
| 249 | 0.0056 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 250 | 0.0055 | 0.0055 | 0.0000 |
| 251 | 0.0054 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 |
| 252 | 0.0054 | 0.0054 | 0.0000 |
| 253 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 254 | 0.0053 | 0.0053 | 0.0000 |
| 255 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 256 | 0.0052 | 0.0052 | 0.0000 |
| 257 | 0.0051 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |
| 258 | 0.0051 | 0.0051 | 0.0000 |
| 259 | 0.0051 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 260 | 0.0050 | 0.0050 | 0.0000 |
| 261 | 0.0050 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 262 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 263 | 0.0049 | 0.0049 | 0.0000 |
| 264 | 0.0049 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 265 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 266 | 0.0048 | 0.0048 | 0.0000 |
| 267 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 268 | 0.0047 | 0.0047 | 0.0000 |
| 269 | 0.0047 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 270 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 271 | 0.0046 | 0.0046 | 0.0000 |
| 272 | 0.0046 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 273 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 274 | 0.0045 | 0.0045 | 0.0000 |
| 275 | 0.0045 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 276 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |
| 277 | 0.0044 | 0.0044 | 0.0000 |




| $9+45$ | 0.0022 | 0.00 | Q |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $9+50$ | 0.0022 | 0.00 | Q |
| $9+55$ | 0.0022 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+0$ | 0.0022 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+5$ | 0.0023 | 0.00 | Q |
| 10+10 | 0.0023 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+15$ | 0.0023 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+20$ | 0.0023 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+25$ | 0.0024 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+30$ | 0.0024 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+35$ | 0.0024 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+40$ | 0.0024 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+45$ | 0.0025 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+50$ | 0.0025 | 0.00 | Q |
| $10+55$ | 0.0025 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+0$ | 0.0026 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+5$ | 0.0026 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+10$ | 0.0026 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+15$ | 0.0026 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+20$ | 0.0027 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+25$ | 0.0027 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+30$ | 0.0027 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+35$ | 0.0028 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+40$ | 0.0028 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+45$ | 0.0028 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+50$ | 0.0029 | 0.00 | Q |
| $11+55$ | 0.0029 | 0.00 | Q |
| $12+0$ | 0.0029 | 0.00 | Q |
| $12+5$ | 0.0030 | 0.00 | Q |
| $12+10$ | 0.0030 | 0.00 | Q |
| $12+15$ | 0.0030 | 0.00 | Q |
| 12+20 | 0.0031 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+25$ | 0.0031 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+30$ | 0.0031 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+35$ | 0.0032 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+40$ | 0.0032 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+45$ | 0.0033 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+50$ | 0.0033 | 0.01 | Q |
| $12+55$ | 0.0033 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+0$ | 0.0034 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+5$ | 0.0034 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+10$ | 0.0035 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+15$ | 0.0035 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+20$ | 0.0036 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+25$ | 0.0036 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+30$ | 0.0036 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+35$ | 0.0037 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+40$ | 0.0037 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+45$ | 0.0038 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+50$ | 0.0038 | 0.01 | Q |
| $13+55$ | 0.0039 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+0$ | 0.0039 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+5$ | 0.0040 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+10$ | 0.0040 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+15$ | 0.0041 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+20$ | 0.0041 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+25$ | 0.0042 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+30$ | 0.0043 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+35$ | 0.0043 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+40$ | 0.0044 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+45$ | 0.0044 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+50$ | 0.0045 | 0.01 | Q |
| $14+55$ | 0.0046 | 0.01 | Q |
| $15+0$ | 0.0046 | 0.01 | Q |
| $15+5$ | 0.0047 | 0.01 | Q |
| $15+10$ | 0.0048 | 0.01 | Q |
| $15+15$ | 0.0048 | 0.01 | QV |
| $15+20$ | 0.0049 | 0.01 | QV |
| $15+25$ | 0.0050 | 0.01 | QV |
| $15+30$ | 0.0051 | 0.01 | QV |
| $15+35$ | 0.0051 | 0.01 | QV |




| Form 4.2-3 HCOC Assessment for Runoff Volume |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Weighted Curve Number Determination for: Pre-developed DA-1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1a Land Cover type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2a Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3a DM A Area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4a Curve Number (CN) use Items 1 and 2 to select the appropriate CN from Appendix C-2 of the TGD for WQM P. Site Pervious Area: 35,351 SF. (in DA-1: 40,000 SF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Weighted Curve Number Determination for: Post-developed DA | DMAC | DMAD | DMAE | DMAF | DMA G | DMAH |
| 1b Land Cover type |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2b Hydrologic Soil Group (HSG) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3b DM A Area, $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ sum of areas of DMA should equal area of DA |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4b Curve Number (CN) use Items 5 and 6 to select the appropriate CN from Appendix C-2 of the TGD for WQM P. Site Pervious Area: 19,847 SF. (in DA-1-40,000 SF) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 Pre-Developed area-weighted CN: | 7 Pre-developed soil storage capacity, S (in):$S=(1000 / \text { Item } 5)-10$ |  |  | 9 Initial abstraction, $l_{a}$ (in): $\mathrm{I}_{\mathrm{a}}=0.2 *$ Item 7 |  |  |
| 6 Post-Developed area-weighted CN: | 8 Post-developed soil storage capacity, $\mathrm{S}(\mathrm{in})=1.23$$\text { S =(1000 / Item 6) }-10$ |  |  | 10 Initial abstraction, $I_{a}$ (in):$I_{\mathrm{a}}=0.2 * \text { Item } 8$ |  |  |
| 11 Precipitation for $2 \mathrm{yr}, 24 \mathrm{hr}$ storm (in): <br> Go to: http://hdsc.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca_pfds.htm\| |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Pre-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ):$V_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12) *(\text { Item sum of Item 3) } *[(I t e m 11-\text { Item 9)^2 } /((\text { Item } 11-\text { Item } 9+\text { Item } 7)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 Post-developed Volume ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\text {pre }}=(1 / 12) *($ Item sum of Item 3)*[(Item $11-$ Item 10)^2 $/(($ Item 11 - Item $10+$ Item 8) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 Volume Reduction needed to meet HCOC Requirement, (ft3): Volume increased by 3,848 CF (59\% of pre-development volume). Volume reduction provided by the combination of proposed Chamber System and the proposed retention/infiltration basin for HCOC requirement.$V_{\text {Hcoc }}=(\text { Item } 13 * 0.95)-\text { Item } 12$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Form 4.2-4 HCOC Assessment for Time of Concentration

Compute time of concentration for pre and post developed conditions for each DA (For projects using the Hydrology M anual complete the form below) : Please refer to the Rational Method Hydrology Study For Predeveloped and Post-developed Drainage Area below:

| Variables | Pre-developed DA1 <br> Use additional forms if there are more than 4 DMA |  |  |  | Post-developed DA1 <br> Use additional forms if there are more than 4 DMA |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DA 1 | DMA B | DMAC | DMAD | DA 1 | DMA B | DMAC | DMAD |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Length of }}$ flowpath ( ft ) Use Form 3-2 Item 5 for pre-developed condition |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Change in elevation (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{3}$ Slope (ft/ft), $\mathrm{S}_{0}=$ Item $2 /$ Item 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Land cover |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{5}^{\text {Initial DM A Time of Concentration }}$ (min) Appendix C-1 of the TGD for WQMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{6}_{\text {Length of }}$ conveyance from DM A outlet to project site outlet (ft) May be zero if DMA outlet is at project site outlet |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Cross-sectional area of channel (ft2) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{8}$ Wetted perimeter of channel (ft) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ M anning's roughness of channel (n) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Channel flow velocity ( $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ ) $\begin{aligned} & V_{\text {fps }}=(1.49 / \text { Item } 9) *(\text { Item } 7 / \text { Item 8 })^{0.67} \\ & *(\text { Item 3 })^{0.5} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{1 1}_{\text {Travel time to outlet ( } \mathrm{min} \text { ) }}$ $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ Item 6 / (Item 10*60) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 Total time of concentration (min) <br> $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{c}}=$ Item 5 + Item 11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

13 Pre-developed time of concentration ( min ):

14 Post-developed time of concentration (min):
${ }^{15}$ Additional time of concentration needed to meet HCOC requirement (min):

## Form 4.2-5 HCOC Assessment for Peak Runoff

Compute peak runoff for pre- and post-developed conditions
Please refer to the Rational Method Hydrology Study For Pre-developed and Post-developed Drainage Area below

| Variables |  |  | Pre-developed DA to Project Outlet (Use additional forms if more than 3 DMA) |  |  | Post-developed DA to Project Outlet (Use additional forms if more than 3 DMA) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | DMAA | DMAB | DMAC | DMAA | DMA B | DMAC |
| $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Rainfall Intensity }}$ for storm duration equal to time of concentration $I_{\text {peak }}=10^{\wedge}($ LOG Form 4.2-1 Item 4-0.6 LOG Form 4.2-4 Item 5/60) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Drainage Area of each DMA (ft²) <br> For DM A with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DM A (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DM A A will include drainage from DM A C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $3^{\text {Ratio of pervious area to total area }}$ <br> For DM A with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DM A (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DM A A will include drainage from DM A C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 Pervious area infiltration rate (in/hr) <br> Use pervious area CN and antecedent moisture condition with Appendix C-3 of the TGD for WQMP |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $5^{\text {M aximum loss rate (in/hr) }}$ $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}=\text { Item } 3 * \text { Item } 4$ <br> Use area-weighted $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{m}}$ from DM A with outlet at project site outlet, include upstream DMA (Using example schematic in Form 3-1, DM A A will include drainage from DM A C) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{6}$ Peak Flow from DM A (cfs) <br> $\mathrm{Q}_{\mathrm{p}}$ =Item 2 * 0.9 * (Item 1 - Item 5) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 Time of concentration adjustment factor for other DM A to site discharge point <br> Form 4.2-4 Item 12 DM A / Other DM A upstream of site discharge point (If ratio is greater than 1.0 , then use maximum value of 1.0 ) |  | DMAA | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |  |
|  |  | DMA B |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |  |
|  |  | DMAC |  |  | n/a |  |  | n/a |
| 8 Pre-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DMA A: <br> $Q_{p}=$ Item $6_{\text {dmaA }}+\left[\right.$ Item бdma ${ }^{*}$ (Item $1_{\text {DMAA }}$ - Item <br>  [Item $6_{\text {dmac }} *$ (Item $1_{\text {dMaA }}$ - Item $5_{\text {dMac }}$ )/ (Item $1_{\text {dMaC }}-$ Item $5_{\text {dmac }}$ * Item $7_{\text {DMAA/ } 3}$ ] |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 Peak runoff from pre-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): Maximum of Item 8, 9, and 10 (including additional forms as needed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 Post-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DM A A: <br> Same as Item 8 for post-developed values | 12 Post-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DM A B: <br> Same as Item 9 for post-developed values |  |  |  | 13 Post-developed $Q_{p}$ at $T_{c}$ for DM A C: Same as Item 10 for post-developed values |  |  |  |
| 14 Peak runoff from post-developed condition confluence analysis (cfs): <br> Maximum of Item 11, 12, and 13 (including additional forms as needed) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP)

### 4.3 Project Conformance Analysis

Complete the following forms for each project site DA to document that the proposed LID BM Ps conform to the project DCV developed to meet performance criteria specified in the MS4 Permit (WQM P Template Section 4.2). For the LID DCV, the forms are ordered according to hierarchy of BM P selection as required by the M S4 Permit (see Section 5.3.1 in the TGD for WQM P). The forms compute the following for on-site LID BM P:

- Site Design and Hydrologic Source Controls (Form 4.3-2)
- Retention and Infiltration (Form 4.3-3)
- Harvested and Use (Form 4.3-4) or
- Biotreatment (Form 4.3-5).

At the end of each form, additional fields facilitate the determination of the extent of mitigation provided by the specific BMP category, allowing for use of the next category of BMP in the hierarchy, if necessary.

The first step in the analysis, using Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQM P, is to complete Forms 4.3-1 and 4.3-3) to determine if retention and infiltration BM Ps are infeasible for the project. For each feasibility criterion in Form 4.3-1, if the answer is "Yes," provide all study findings that includes relevant calculations, maps, data sources, etc. used to make the determination of infeasibility.

Next, complete Forms 4.3-2 and 4.3-4 to determine the feasibility of applicable HSC and harvest and use BM Ps, and, if their implementation is feasible, the extent of mitigation of the DCV.

If no site constraints exist that would limit the type of BMP to be implemented in a DA, evaluate the use of combinations of LID BM Ps, including all applicable HSC BM Ps to maximize on-site retention of the DCV. If no combination of BM P can mitigate the entire DCV, implement the single BM P type, or combination of $B M P$ types, that maximizes on-site retention of the DCV within the minimum effective area.

If the combination of LID HSC, retention and infiltration, and harvest and use BMPs are unable to mitigate the entire DCV, then biotreatment BM Ps may be implemented by the project proponent. If biotreatment BM Ps are used, then they must be sized to provide sufficient capacity for effective treatment of the remainder of the volume-based performance criteria that cannot be achieved with LID BM Ps (TGD for WQM P Section 5.4.4.2). Under no circumstances shall any portion of the DCV be released from the site without effective mitigation and/ or treatment.

## Form 4.3-1 Infiltration BMP Feasibility (DA 1)

Feasibility Criterion - Complete evaluation for each DA on the Project Site
$\mathbf{1}_{\text {Would infiltration BM P pose significant risk for groundwater related concerns? }}$
Yes $\qquad$ No 区 Refer to Section 5.3.2.1 of the TGD for WQM P If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
$\mathbf{2}$ Would installation of infiltration BM P significantly increase the risk of geotechnical hazards?

(Yes, if the answer to any of the following questions is yes, as established by a geotechnical expert):

- The location is less than 50 feet away from slopes steeper than 15 percent
- The location is less than eight feet from building foundations or an alternative setback.
- A study certified by a geotechnical professional or an available watershed study determines that stormwater infiltration would result in significantly increased risks of geotechnical hazards.

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
$\mathbf{3}^{\text {Would infiltration of runoff on a Project site violate downstream water rights? }}$


If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
4 Is proposed infiltration facility located on hydrologic soil group (HSG) D soils or does the site geotechnical investigation indicate presence of soil characteristics, which support categorization as D soils?
Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
 for soil amendments)? Design infiltration rate greater than the $0.3 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$

If Yes, Provide basis:
$\mathbf{6}$ Would on-site infiltration or reduction of runoff over pre-developed conditions be partially or fully inconsistent with watershed management strategies as defined in the WAP, or impair beneficial uses? Yes $\square$ No $\triangle$ See Section 3.5 of the TGD for WQM P and WAP

If Yes, Provide basis: (attach)
7 Any answer from Item 1 through Item 3 is "Yes": Yes $\square$ No $\triangle$
If yes, infiltration of any volume is not feasible onsite. Proceed to Form 4.3-4, Harvest and Use BM P. If no, then proceed to Item 9 below.
${ }^{8}$ Any answer from Item 4 through Item 6 is "Yes": Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
If yes, infiltration is permissible but is not required to be considered. Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BM P.
If no, then proceed to Item 9 , below.
${ }^{9}$ All answers to Item 1 through Item 6 are "No": Yes
Infiltration of the full DCV is potentially feasible, LID infiltration BM P must be designed to infiltrate the full DCV to the M EP. Proceed to Form 4.3-2, Hydrologic Source Control BM P.

### 4.3.1 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BM P

Section XI.E. of the Permit emphasizes the use of LID preventative measures; and the use of LID HSC BM Ps reduces the portion of the DCV that must be addressed in downstream BM Ps. Therefore, all applicable HSC shall be provided except where they are mutually exclusive with each other, or with other BM Ps. M utual exclusivity may result from overlapping BMP footprints such that either would be potentially feasible by itself, but both could not be implemented. Please note that while there are no numeric standards regarding the use of HSC, if a project cannot feasibly meet BM P sizing requirements or cannot fully address HCOCs, feasibility of all applicable HSC must be part of demonstrating that the BM P system has been designed to retain the maximum feasible portion of the DCV. Complete Form 4.32 to identify and calculate estimated retention volume from implementing site design HSC BMP. Refer to Section 5.4.1 in the TGD for more detailed guidance.

## Form 4.3-2 Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs (DA 1)

| $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Implementation of Impervious Area Dispersion BM P (i.e. }}$ routing runoff from impervious to pervious areas), excluding impervious areas planned for routing to on-lot infiltration BMP: Yes $\square$ No 区 If yes, complete Items 2-5; If no, proceed to Item 6 | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA <br> BM P Type | DA DMA BM P Type <br> (Use additional forms for more BMPs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\mathbf{2}$ Total impervious area draining to pervious area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{3}^{\text {Ratio of pervious area receiving runoff to impervious area }}$ |  |  |  |
| 4 Retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) $\mathrm{V}=$ Item2 * Item 3 * ( $0.5 / 12$ ), assuming retention of 0.5 inches of runoff |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{5}$ Sum of retention volume achieved from impervious area dispersion ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): $\quad \mathrm{V}_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 4 for all BM Ps |  |  |  |
| 6 <br> ${ }^{6}$ Implementation of Localized On-lot Infiltration BM Ps (e.g. on-lot rain gardens): Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$ If yes, complete Items $7-$ 13 for aggregate of all on-lot infiltration BMP in each DA; If no, proceed to Item 14 | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA <br> BM P Type <br> (Use additional forms for more BMPs) |
| 7 Ponding surface area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 8 Ponding depth (ft) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{9}$ Surface area of amended soil/gravel ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| 10 Average depth of amended soil/gravel (ft) |  |  |  |
| 11 Average porosity of amended soil/gravel |  |  |  |
| 12 Retention volume achieved from on-lot infiltration ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) $V_{\text {retention }}=($ Item $7 *$ Item 8$)+($ Item $9 * \operatorname{Item} 10 *$ Item 11) |  |  |  |
| 13 Runoff volume retention from on-lot infiltration (ft3): | $\mathrm{V}_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum | 12 for all BM Ps |  |

## Form 4.3-2 cont. Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMPs (DA 1)

| 14 Implementation of evapotranspiration $\mathrm{BM} P$ (green, brown, or blue roofs): Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If yes, complete Items 15-20. If no, proceed to Item 21 | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA BM P Type (Use additional forms for more BM Ps) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ${ }^{15}$ Rooftop area planned for ET BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{16}$ Average wet season ET demand (in/day) Use local values, typical ~0.1 |  |  |  |
| 17 Daily ET demand ( $\mathrm{ft} 3 /$ day) Item 15 * (Item 16 / 12) |  |  |  |
| 18 Drawdown time (hrs) Copy Item 6 in Form 4.2-1 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 19 \text { Retention Volume }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right) \\ & \left.\mathrm{V}_{\text {retention }}=\text { Item } 17 \text { * (Item } 18 / 24\right) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{20}$ Runoff volume retention from evapotranspiration BMPs ( ft 3 ): $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \quad \mathrm{~V}_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 19 for all BM Ps |  |  |  |
| $\mathbf{2 1}$ Implementation of Street Trees: Yes $\square$ No If yes, complete Items 20-2. If no, proceed to Item 24 | DA DMA BM P Type | DA DMA BM P Type |  |
| ${ }^{22}$ Number of Street Trees |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{23}$ Average canopy cover over impervious area ( $\mathrm{ft}^{2}$ ) |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{24}$ Runoff volume retention from street trees ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) <br> $\mathrm{V}_{\text {retention }}=$ Item $22 *$ Item $23 *(0.05 / 12)$ assume runoff retention of 0.05 inches |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{25} \text { Runoff volume retention from street tree BM Ps }\left(\mathrm{ft}^{3}\right) \text { : } 0 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \quad V_{\text {retention }}=\text { Sum of Item } 24 \text { for all BM Ps }$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{26}$ Implementation of residential rain barrels/cisterns: Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If yes, complete Items 27-28; If no, proceed to Item 29 | DA DMA BM P Type | $\begin{aligned} & \text { DA DMA } \\ & \text { BM P Type } \end{aligned}$ | DA DMA BM P Type (Use additional forms for more BMPs) |
| ${ }^{27}$ Number of rain barrels/cisterns |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{28}$ Runoff volume retention from rain barrels/cisterns ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ) $V_{\text {retention }}=$ Item $27 * 3$ |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{29}$ Runoff volume retention from residential rain barrels/Cisterns (ft3): 0 ft ${ }^{3} \quad V_{\text {retention }}=$ Sum of Item 28 for all BM PS |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{30}$ Total Retention Volume from Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BM Ps: $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \quad$ Sum of Items 5, 13, 20, 25 and 29 |  |  |  |

### 4.3.2 Infiltration BM Ps

Use Form 4.3-3 to compute on-site retention of runoff from proposed retention and infiltration BM Ps. Volume retention estimates are sensitive to the percolation rate used, which determines the amount of runoff that can be infiltrated within the specified drawdown time. The infiltration safety factor reduces field measured percolation to account for potential inaccuracy associated with field measurements, declining BM P performance over time, and compaction during construction. Appendix D of the TGD for WQM P provides guidance on estimating an appropriate safety factor to use in Form 4.3-3.

If site constraints limit the use of BMPs to a single type and implementation of retention and infiltration BM Ps mitigate no more than $40 \%$ of the DCV, then they are considered infeasible and the Project Proponent may evaluate the effectiveness of BM Ps lower in the LID hierarchy of use (Section 5.5.1 of the TGD for WQM P)

If implementation of infiltrations BM Ps is feasible as determined using Form 4.3-1, then LID infiltration BM Ps shall be implemented to the M EP (section 4.1 of the TGD for WQM P).

## Form 4.3-3 Infiltration LID BMP - including underground BM Ps (DMA1)




STORAGE SUMMARY
STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED $=7,050$ cf

- PIPE STORAGE VOLUME $=4,920$ cf

BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME $=2,255$
TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED $=7,174 \mathrm{cf}$.
COMBINED CAPACITY OF THE PROPOSED 7-CHAMBERS: 7 * 7,174 $=50,218$ CF TOTAL FOOT PRINT: 7 * (54'x24') = 9,072 SF

IPE DETALLS
DIAMETER 72 IN.
CORRUGATION = 5
GAGE $=16$
COATING $=$ AL

- WALL TYPE = Perforated
- BARRELL SPACING = 36 in .

AACKFILL DETALLS
WIDTH AT ENDS $=12$

- $\operatorname{ABOVE}$ PIPE $=6 I N$.

BELOW PIPE = 9 in.


NOTES

- ALL RISER AND StUb dimensions are to centerline. all ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL ELEVATIONS, DIMENSIONS, AND LOCATION SF RISERS AND PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998, - ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE $2^{2} / 3^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 2$ " CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
- RISERS TO BE FIILLD TRIMMED TO. GRADE.
- QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NOT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE OR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAANGE STRUCTURES OUR SSSTEM AS DETAEOLLED PROVIDE
NOMAMLI ILETAND/OR OUTLET PIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACLITTIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS
 - BAND TYPE TO B E ETTERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN.
-THE PROJECT SUMMARY IS REFLECTVE OF THEDYODS DESIGN,
OUANTITSS ARE APPROX AND SHOULD BE VRRIIID UPON QUANTITES AREAPPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERFIFIED U EXCAVATIONDOES NOT CONSIDER ALL VARIABLES SUCH AS SHORING AND ONLYACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE - THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO NOT RELLECT ANY LOCAL RREFERENCES OR RGEULATIONS
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## Infiltration Drawdown Time Calculation:

(Combied 7-Chambers)
Infiltration Surface Area Provided:
Infiltration Rate per Soil Report
$\begin{array}{ll}9,072 \mathrm{SF} & \text { Combined Footprint of Inf Surface Area } \\ 8.49 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr} & \text { (7-Contech Chamber System) }\end{array}$
$0.71 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr}$
Facor of Safety
Design Infiltration Rate
3
$0.236 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr}$

Volume needed to be Infiltrated
Infiltration Volume per hour
Infiltration Draw Down Time
50218 cu.ft
$2139.48 \mathrm{cu} . \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr} \quad(9072 \mathrm{sft} * 0.236 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{hr})$
23.47 Hours (50218 cu.ft / 2139.48 cu.ft/hr)
$23.5<48 \mathrm{hr}$ draw down time. OK
logged the excavations and collected soil samples for use in subsequent laboratory testing. The logs of the exploratory borings are included in Appendix A.

Relatively undisturbed soil samples were recovered at various intervals in the geotechnical borings with a California sampler. The California sampler is a 3 -inch outside diameter, 2.5 -inch inside diameter, split barrel sampler lined with brass rings. The sampler was 18 inches long. The sampler conformed to the requirements of ASTM D 3550. A 140-pound automatic trip hammer was utilized, dropping 30 inches for each blow. The relatively undisturbed samples, together with bulk samples of representative soil types, were returned to the laboratory for testing and evaluation. The California sampler test data are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

## Percolation Testing

In addition to the geotechnical exploratory borings, two percolation test borings (I-I and I-2) were excavated in the area of the proposed storm water management basin to depths of about 5 feet. Infiltration/percolation testing was conducted in these borings in general accordance with the requirements of the County of San Bernardino.

The percolation tests consisted of drilling an eight-inch diameter test hole to the desired depth and installing approximately two inches of gravel in the bottom of the hole. A three-inch diameter perforated PVC pipe, wrapped in a filter sock, was placed in the excavations and the annular space was filled with gravel to prevent caving within the boring. Water was then placed in the borings to presoak the holes and percolation testing was performed the following the pre-soak period. Following presoaking it was determined that "sandy soil" criteria was met within both percolation borings. The percolation tests were then performed which consisted of adding water to each test hole and measuring the water drop over a 10 -minute period. The water drop was recorded for eight test intervals. Water was added to the test holes after each test interval. The field percolation rates were then converted to an infiltration rate using the Porchet Method.

The results of the conversions indicate infiltration rate range from about 8.49 to 8.74 inches per hour. Copies of the percolation data sheets and the Porchet infiltration rate conversion calculations are presented in Appendix C. No factors of safety were applied to the rates provided. Over the lifetime of the infiltration areas, the infiltration rates may be affected by sediment build up and biological activities, as well as local variations in near surface soil conditions. A suitable factor of safety should be applied to the field rate in designing the infiltration system.

## Worksheet H: Factor of Safety and Design Infiltration Rate and Worksheet

| Factor Category |  | Factor Description | Assigned Weight (w) | Factor <br> Value (v) | Product (p) $p=w x v$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Suitability Assessment | Soil assessment methods | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Predominant soil texture | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Site soil variability | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Depth to groundwater / impervious layer | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Suitability Assessment Safety Factor | , $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  | 1.50 |
| B | Design | Tributary area size | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Level of pretreatment/ expected sediment loads | 0.25 | 2 | 0.50 |
|  |  | Redundancy | 0.25 | 3 | 0.75 |
|  |  | Compaction during construction | 0.25 | 1 | 0.25 |
|  |  | Design Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}=\Sigma \mathrm{p}$ |  |  | 2.00 |
| Combined Safety Factor, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }}=\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{A}} \times \mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{B}}$ |  |  |  | 3.00 |  |
| Measured Infiltration Rate, inch/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ (corrected for test-specific bias) |  |  |  | 8.49 |  |
| Design Infiltration Rate, in/hr, $\mathrm{K}_{\text {DESIGN }}=\mathrm{S}_{\text {TOT }} / \mathrm{K}_{\mathrm{M}}$ |  |  |  | 2.83 |  |

Supporting Data
Briefly describe infiltration test and provide reference to test forms:
Lowest measured Inf. Rate : $8.49 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{hr}$ from Geotechnical and Infiltration Evaluation Report, August 21, 2021.

Note: The minimum combined adjustment factor shall not be less than 2.0 and the maximum combined adjustment factor shall not exceed 9.0.

### 4.3.5 Conformance Summary

Complete Form 4.3-9 to demonstrate how on-site LID DCV is met with proposed site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, harvest and use, and/or biotreatment BM P. The bottom line of the form is used to describe the basis for infeasibility determination for on-site LID BMP to achieve full LID DCV, and provides methods for computing remaining volume to be addressed in an alternative compliance plan. If the project has more than one outlet, then complete additional versions of this form for each outlet.

## Form 4.3-9 Conformance Summary and Alternative Compliance Volume Estimate (DMA 1)


${ }^{2}$ On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control UD BM P (ft3): $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ Copy Item 30 in Form 4.3-2
${ }^{3}$ On-site retention with LID infiltration BM P (ft ${ }^{3}$ ): 50,218 ft ${ }^{3}$ Copy Item 16 in Form 4.3-3
4 On-site retention with LID harvest and use BMP (ft3): $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ Copy Item 9 in Form 4.3-4
${ }^{5}$ On-site biotreatment with volume based biotreatment BMP ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3} \quad$ Copy Item 3 in Form 4.3-5
${ }^{6}$ Flow capacity provided by flow based biotreatment BM P (cfs): $0 \mathrm{ft}^{3}$ Copy Item 6 in Form 4.3-5
7 LID BM P performance criteria are achieved if answer to any of the following is "Yes":

- Full retention of LID DCV with site design HSC, infiltration, or harvest and use BM P: Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$ If yes, sum of Items 2,3 , and 4 is greater than Item 1
- Combination of on-site retention BM Ps for a portion of the LID DCV and volume-based biotreatment BM P that address all pollutants of concern for the remaining UD DCV: YesNo $\square$ If yes, a) sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is greater than Item 1, and Items 2, 3 and 4 are maximized; or b) Item 6 is greater than Form $4.3-5$ Item 6 and Items 2,3 and 4 are maximized
- On-site retention and infiltration is determined to be infeasible and biotreatment BM P provide biotreatment for all pollutants of concern for full LID DCV: Yes $\square$ No $\square$ If yes, Form 4.3-1 Items 7 and 8 were both checked yes
$\mathbf{8}_{\text {If the }}$ LhD DCV is not achieved by any of these means, then the project may be allowed to develop an alternative compliance plan. Check box that describes the scenario which caused the need for alternative compliance:
- Combination of HSC, retention and infiltration, harvest and use, and biotreatment BM Ps provide less than full LID DCV capture:
Checked yes for Form 4.3-5 Item 7, Item 6 is zero, and sum of Items 2, 3, 4, and 5 is less than Item 1. If so, apply water quality credits and calculate volume for alternative compliance, $\mathrm{V}_{\text {alt }}=($ Item 1 - Item 2 - Item 3 - Item 4 - Item 5)* (100-Form 2.4-1 Item 2)\%
- An approved Watershed Action Plan (WAP) demonstrates that water quality and hydrologic impacts of urbanization are more effective when managed in at an off-site facility:
Attach appropriate WAP section, including technical documentation, showing effectiveness comparisons for the project site and regional watershed


### 4.3.6 Hydromodification Control BMP

Use Form 4.3-10 to compute the remaining runoff volume retention, after LID BMP are implemented, needed to address HCOC, and the increase in time of concentration and decrease in peak runoff necessary to meet targets for protection of waterbodies with a potential HCOC. Describe hydromodification control BM P that address HCOC, which may include off-site BM P and/or in-stream controls. Section 5.6 of the TGD for WQM P provides additional details on selection and evaluation of hydromodification control BM P.

## Form 4.3-10 Hydromodification Control BMPs (Not Applicable)

$\mathbf{1}_{\text {Volume reduction needed for HCOC }}$ performance criteria ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 49,314 (Form 4.2-2 Item 4 * 0.95) - Form 4.2-2 Item 1

> 2 On-site retention with site design hydrologic source control, infiltration, and harvest and use LID BM P ( $\mathrm{ft}^{3}$ ): 50,218 Sum of Form 4.3-9 Items 2, 3, and 4 Evaluate option to increase implementation of on-site retention in Forms 4.3-2, 4.3-3, and 4.3-4 in excess of LID DCV toward achieving HCOC volume reduction
$\mathbf{5}_{\text {If Item }} 4$ is less than Item 3, incorporate in-stream controls on downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification $\square$ Attach in-stream control BM P selection and evaluation to this WQM P
${ }^{6}$ Is Form 4.2-2 Item 11 less than or equal to 5\%: Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
If yes, HCOC performance criteria is achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:

- Demonstrate increase in time of concentration achieved by proposed UD site design, LID BM P, and additional on-site or off-site retention BM P 区Time of Concentration will be increased due to proposed retention of water in the ret/ inf. chamber system BMPs.
BM P upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate increased time of concentration through hydrograph attenuation (if so, show that the hydraulic residence time provided in BM P for a 2 -year storm event is equal or greater than the addition time of concentration requirement in Form 4.2-4 Item 15)
- Increase time of concentration by preserving pre-developed flow path and/or increase travel time by reducing slope and increasing cross-sectional area and roughness for proposed on-site conveyance facilities $\square$
- Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California $\square$

7 Form 4.2-2 Item 12 less than or equal to 5\%: Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
If yes, HCOC performance criteria is achieved. If no, select one or more mitigation options below:

- Demonstrate reduction in peak runoff achieved by proposed LID site design, UD BM Ps, and additional on-site or off-site retention BM Ps $\triangle$ Pick flow will be decreased due to retention of water in the proposed ret/ inf chamber system BM Ps. Onsite ret basin is designed to retain volume of water up to $100-\mathrm{yr}$ storm and will substantially reduce the peak outflow.
BM Ps upstream of a waterbody segment with a potential HCOC may be used to demonstrate additional peak runoff reduction through hydrograph attenuation (if so, attach to this WQM P, a hydrograph analysis showing how the peak runoff would be reduced during a 2 -yr storm event)
- Incorporate appropriate in-stream controls for downstream waterbody segment to prevent impacts due to hydromodification, in a plan approved and signed by a licensed engineer in the State of California


### 4.4 Alternative Compliance Plan (if applicable)

Describe an alternative compliance plan (if applicable) for projects not fully able to infiltrate, harvest and use, or biotreat the DCV via on-site LID practices. A project proponent must develop an alternative compliance plan to address the remainder of the LID DCV. Depending on project type some projects may qualify for water quality credits that can be applied to reduce the DCV that must be treated prior to development of an alternative compliance plan (see Form 2.4-1, Water Quality Credits). Form 4.3-9 Item 8 includes instructions on how to apply water quality credits when computing the DCV that must be met through alternative compliance. Alternative compliance plans may include one or more of the following elements:

- On-site structural treatment control BMP - All treatment control BM P should be located as close to possible to the pollutant sources and should not be located within receiving waters;
- Off-site structural treatment control BM P - Pollutant removal should occur prior to discharge of runoff to receiving waters;
- Urban runoff fund or In-lieu program, if available

Depending upon the proposed alternative compliance plan, approval by the executive officer may or may not be required (see Section 6 of the TGD for WQMP).

## Section 5 Inspection and M aintenance Responsibility for Post Construction BM P

All BM P included as part of the project WQMP are required to be maintained through regular scheduled inspection and maintenance (refer to Section 8, Post Construction BM P Requirements, in the TGD for WQM P). Fully complete Form 5-1 summarizing all BM P included in the WQMP. Attach additional forms as needed. The WQM P shall also include a detailed Operation and M aintenance Plan for all BMP and may require a M aintenance Agreement (consult the jurisdiction's LIP). If a M aintenance Agreement is required, it must also be attached to the WQM P.

| Form 5-1 BM P Inspection and M aintenance (use additional forms as necessary) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| BM P | Reponsible Party(s) | Inspection/ M aintenance Activities Required | M inimum Frequency of Activities |
| Contech Chamber System | Warmington Homes | Step 1) Inspect isolator row for sediment <br> a. inspection ports (if present) <br> a.1. remove/open lid on nyloplast inline drain <br> a.2. remove and clean flexstorm filter if installed <br> a.3. using a flashlight and stadia rod, measure depth of sediment and record on maintenance log <br> a.4. Iower a camera into isolator row for visual inspection of sediment levels (optional) <br> a.5. if sediment is at, or above, $3^{\prime \prime}(80 \mathrm{~mm})$ proceed to step <br> 2. If not, proceed to step 3. <br> b. all isolator rows <br> b.1. remove cover from structure at upstream end of isolator row <br> b.2. using a flashlight, inspect down the isolator row through outlet pipe <br> i) mirrors on poles or cameras may be used to avoid a confined space entry <br> ii) follow osha regulations for confined space entry if entering manhole <br> b.3. if sediment is at, or above, $3^{\prime \prime}(80 \mathrm{~mm})$ proceed to step <br> 2. if not, proceed to step 3. <br> step 2) clean out isolator row using the jetvac process <br> a. a fixed culvert cleaning nozzle with rear facing spread of 45 " ( 1.1 m ) or more is preferred <br> b. apply multiple passes of jetvac until backflush water is clean <br> c. vacuum structure sump as required <br> step 3) replace all covers, grates, filters, and lids; record observations and actions. <br> step 4) inspect and clean basins and manholes upstream of the stormtech system. | 1. Inspect every 6 months during the first year of operation. adjust the inspection interval based on previous observations of sediment accumulation and high water elevations. <br> 2. Conduct jetting and vactoring annually or when inspection shows that maintenance is necessary. |


| Education of Property Owners, Tenants and Occupants on Stormwater BM Ps | Warmington Homes | Practical education materials will be provided to property owners covering various water quality issues that will need to be addressed on their specific site. These materials will include general good house keeping practices that contribute to the protection of storm water quality and BM P's that eliminate or reduce pollution during property improvements. | Ongoing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Landscape maintenance | Warmington Homes | Landscape planning is implemented to reduce groundwater and storm water contamination. This will be accomplished through an infiltration basin, and landscape areas. | M onthly |
| Litter debris control program | Warmington Homes | Litter debris control program and site clean will be developed by the Owner | Ongoing with every visit |
| Employee training | Warmington Homes | Employee training may be developed by City of San Bernardino | Annually and upon new hires by the owner |
| Catch basin inspection program | Warmington Homes | Catch basins and the filter devices will be inspected/clean a minimum of once every three months during the dry season and a minimum of once every two months during the rainy season. | As stated |
| Provide storm drain system stencilling and signage | Warmington Homes | Signs will be placed above storm drain inlets to warn the public of prohibitions against waste disposal | Inspect once a year and replaceed if degradation occurs |


| Use efficient <br> irrigation <br>  <br> landscape <br> design, water <br> conservation, <br> smart <br> controllers, <br> and source <br> control | Warmington Homes | Rain sensors will be incorporated into the onsite sprinkler <br> system so that no unnecessary watering of landscaped <br> areas occurs after storm events. |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Finish grade <br> of landscaped <br> areas at a <br> minimum of <br> 1-2 inches <br> below top of <br> curb, <br> sidewalk, or <br> pavement | Warmington Homes | New landscaped areas will be constructed at a minimum | Once a year or |
| according to |  |  |  |
| Manufacturer |  |  |  |

APN\# 0143-191-59
Water Quality Management Plan (WQM P)

|  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

## Section 6 WQM P Attachments

### 6.1. Site Plan and Drainage Plan

Include a site plan and drainage plan sheet set containing the following minimum information:

- Project location
- Site boundary
- Land uses and land covers, as applicable
- Suitability/feasibility constraints
- Structural Source Control BMP locations
- Site Design Hydrologic Source Control BMP locations
- LID BMP details
- Drainage delineations and flow information
- Drainage connections


### 6.2 Electronic Data Submittal

M inimum requirements include submittal of PDF exhibits in addition to hard copies. Format must not require specialized software to open. If the local jurisdiction requires specialized electronic document formats (as described in their local Local Implementation Plan), this section will describe the contents (e.g., layering, nomenclature, geo-referencing, etc.) of these documents so that they may be interpreted efficiently and accurately.

### 6.3 Post Construction

Attach all O\&M Plans and M aintenance Agreements for BM P to the WQMP.

### 6.4 Other Supporting Documentation

- BMP Educational Materials
- Activity Restriction - C, C\&R's \& Lease Agreements


# Covenant and Agreement Regarding Water Quality Management Plan and Stormwater Best Management Practices <br> Transfer, Access and Maintenance 

OWNER NAME: Warmington Residential
PROPERTY ADDRESS: :Highland Ave and Medical Center Dr, San Bernardino, California

## APN: 0143-191-59

$\qquad$

THIS AGREEMENT is made and entered into in
$\qquad$ ,California, this $\qquad$ day of
by and between
$\qquad$ , hereinafter
referred to as Owner, and the COUNTY OF SAN BERNARDINO, a political subdivision of the State of California, hereinafter referred to as "the County";

WHEREAS, the Owner owns real property ("Property") in the County of San Bernardino, State of California, more specifically described in Exhibit "A" and depicted in Exhibit "B", each of which exhibits is attached hereto and incorporated herein by this reference; and

WHEREAS, at the time of initial approval of development project known as within the Property described herein, the County required the project to employ Best Management Practices, hereinafter referred to as "BMPs," to minimize pollutants in urban runoff; and

WHEREAS, the Owner has chosen to install and/or implement BMPs as described in the Water Quality Management Plan, dated $\qquad$ , on file with the County and incorporated herein by this reference, hereinafter referred to as "WQMP", to minimize pollutants in urban runoff and to minimize other adverse impacts of urban runoff; and

WHEREAS, said WQMP has been certified by the Owner and reviewed and approved by the County; and

WHEREAS, the Owner is aware that periodic and continuous maintenance, including, but not necessarily limited to, filter material replacement and sediment removal, is required to assure peak performance of all BMPs in the WQMP and that, furthermore, such maintenance activity will require compliance with all Local, State, or Federal laws and regulations, including those pertaining to confined space and waste disposal methods, in effect at the time such maintenance occurs.

NOW THEREFORE, it is mutually stipulated and agreed as follows:

1. Owner shall comply with the WQMP
2. All maintenance or replacement of BMPs proposed as part of the WQMP are the sole responsibility of the Owner in accordance with the terms of this Agreement.
3. Owner hereby provides the County's designee complete access, of any duration, to the BMPs and their immediate vicinity at any time, upon reasonable notice, or in the event of emergency, as determined by the County Director of Public Works, no advance notice, for the purpose of inspection, sampling, testing of the BMPs, and in case of emergency, to undertake all necessary repairs or other preventative measures at owner's expense as provided in paragraph 5 below. The County shall make every effort at all times to minimize or avoid interference with Owner's use of the Property. Denial of access to any premises or facility that contains WQMP features is a breach of this Agreement and may also be a violation of the County's Pollutant Discharge Elimination System regulations, which on the effective date of this Agreement are found in County Code Sections 35.0101 et seq. If there is reasonable cause to believe that an illicit discharge or breach of this Agreement is occurring on the premises then the authorized enforcement agency may seek issuance of a search warrant from any court of competent jurisdiction in addition to other enforcement actions. Owner recognizes that the County may perform routine and regular inspections, as well as emergency inspections, of the BMPs. Owner or Owner's successors or assigns shall pay County for all costs incurred by County in the inspection, sampling, testing of the BMPs within thirty (30) calendar days of County invoice.
4. Owner shall use its best efforts diligently to maintain all BMPs in a manner assuring peak performance at all times. All reasonable precautions shall be exercised by Owner and Owner's representative or contractor in the removal and extraction of any material(s) from the BMPs and the ultimate disposal of the material(s) in a manner consistent with all relevant laws and regulations in effect at the time. As may be requested from time to time by the County, the Owner shall provide the County with documentation identifying the material(s) removed, the quantity, and disposal destination), testing construction or reconstruction.
5. In the event Owner, or its successors or assigns, fails to accomplish the necessary maintenance contemplated by this Agreement, within five (5) business days of being given written notice by the County, the County is hereby authorized to cause any maintenance necessary to be done and charge the entire cost and expense against the Property and/or to the Owner or Owner's successors or assigns, including administrative costs, attorneys fees and interest thereon at the maximum rate authorized by the County Code from the date of the notice of expense until paid in full. Owner or Owner's successors or assigns shall pay County within thirty (30) calendar days of County invoice.
6. The County may require the owner to post security in form and for a time period satisfactory to the County to guarantee the performance of the obligations stated herein. Should the Owner fail to perform the obligations under the Agreement, the County may, in the case of a cash bond, act for the Owner using the proceeds from it, or in the case of a surety bond, require the surety(ies) to perform the obligations of this Agreement.
7. The County agrees, from time to time, within ten (10) business days after request of Owner, to execute and deliver to Owner, or Owner's designee, an estoppel certificate requested by Owner, stating that this Agreement is in full force and effect, and that Owner is not in default hereunder with regard to any maintenance or payment obligations (or specifying in detail the nature of Owner's default). Owner shall pay all costs and expenses incurred by the County in its investigation of whether to issue an estoppel certificate within thirty (30) calendar days after receipt of a County invoice and prior to the County's issuance of such certificate. Where the County cannot issue an estoppel certificate, Owner shall pay the County within thirty (30) calendar days of receipt of a County invoice.
8. Owner shall not change any BMPs identified in the WQMP without an amendment to this Agreement approved by authorized representatives of both the County and the Owner.
9. County and Owner shall comply with all applicable laws, ordinances, rules, regulations, court orders and government agency orders now or hereinafter in effect in carrying out the terms of this Agreement. If a provision of this Agreement is terminated or held to be invalid, illegal or unenforceable, the validity, legality and enforceability of the remaining provisions shall remain in full effect.
10. In addition to any remedy available to County under this Agreement, if Owner violates any term of this Agreement and does not cure the violation within the time already provided in this Agreement, or, if not provided, within thirty (30) calendar days, or within such time authorized by the County if said cure reasonably requires more than the subject time, the County may bring an action at law or in equity in a court of competent jurisdiction to enforce compliance by the Owner with the terms of this Agreement. In such action, the County may recover any damages to which the County may be entitled for the violation, enjoin the violation by temporary or permanent injunction without the necessity of proving actual damages or the inadequacy of otherwise available legal remedies, or obtain other equitable relief, including, but not limited to, the restoration of the Property and/or the BMPs identified in the WQMP to the condition in which it/they existed prior to any such violation or injury.
11. This Agreement shall be recorded in the Office of the Recorder of San Bernardino County, California, at the expense of the Owner and shall constitute notice to all successors and assigns of the title to said Property of the obligation herein set forth, and also a lien in such amount as will fully reimburse the County, including interest as herein above set forth, subject to foreclosure in event of default in payment.
12. In event of legal action occasioned by any default or action of the Owner, or its successors or assigns, then the Owner and its successors or assigns agree(s) to hold the County harmless and pay all costs incurred by the County in enforcing the terms of this Agreement, including reasonable attorney's fees and costs, and that the same shall become a part of the lien against said Property.
13. It is the intent of the parties hereto that burdens and benefits herein undertaken shall constitute covenants that run with said Property and constitute a lien there against.
14. The obligations herein undertaken shall be binding upon the heirs, successors, executors, administrators and assigns of the parties hereto. The term "Owner" shall include not only the present Owner, but also its heirs, successors, executors, administrators, and assigns. Owner shall notify any successor to title of all or part of the Property about the existence of
this Agreement. Owner shall provide such notice prior to such successor obtaining an interest in all or part of the Property. Owner shall provide a copy of such notice to the County at the same time such notice is provided to the successor.
15. Time is of the essence in the performance of this Agreement.
16. Any notice to a party required or called for in this Agreement shall be served in person, or by deposit in the U.S. Mail, first class postage prepaid, to the address set forth below. Notice(s) shall be deemed effective upon receipt, or seventy-two (72) hours after deposit in the U.S. Mail, whichever is earlier. A party may change a notice address only by providing written notice thereof to the other party.
17. Owner agrees to indemnify, defend (with counsel reasonably approved by the County) and hold harmless the County and its authorized officers, employees, agents and volunteers from any and all claims, actions, losses, damages, and/or liability arising out of this Agreement from any cause whatsoever, including the acts, errors or omissions of any person and for any costs or expenses incurred by the County on account of any claim except where such indemnification is prohibited by law. This indemnification provision shall apply regardless of the existence or degree of fault of indemnitees. The Owner's indemnification obligation applies to the County's "active" as well as "passive" negligence but does not apply to the County's "sole negligence" or "willful misconduct" within the meaning of Civil Code Section 2782, or to any claims, actions, losses, damages, and/or liabilities, to the extent caused by the acts or omissions of any third party contractors undertaking any work (other than field inspections) or other maintenance on the Property on behalf of the County under this Agreement..

## [REMAINDER OF THIS PAGE INTENTIONALLY LEFT BLANK]

## IF TO COUNTY :

Director of Public Works
825 E. Third Street, Room 117
San Bernardino, CA 92415-0835

IF TO OWNER:
$\qquad$
$\qquad$
$\qquad$

IN WITNESS THEREOF, the parties hereto have affixed their signatures as of the date first written above.

## OWNER:

Signature: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$

OWNER:
Signature: $\qquad$
Name: $\qquad$
Title: $\qquad$
Date: $\qquad$

## NOTARIES ON FOLLOWING PAGE

A notary acknowledgement is required for recordation.
ACCEPTED BY:
$\overline{\text { KEVIN BLAKESLEE, P.E., Director of Public Works }}$

Date: $\qquad$

## ATTACHMENT 1

Notary Acknowledgement)

# EXHIBIT A <br> (Legal Description) 

## EASEMENTS:

A AN EASEMENT FOR TEMPORARY EASEMENT FOR WATER PIPELINES AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES ACROSS AND INCIDENTAL PURPOSES IN THE DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 13, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0032185 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

B AN EASEMENT FOR WATER PIPELINES AND APPURTENANT FACILITIES ACROSS AND INCIDENTIAL PURPOSES IN THE DOCUMENT RECORDED JANUARY 13, 2005 AS INSTRUMENT NO. 2005-0032186 OF OFFICIAL RECORDS.

## EXHIBIT B

(Map/illustration)



## PROJECT SUMMARY

CALCULATION DETALS
LOADING $=$ HS20 \& HS25
APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE $=174 \mathrm{II}$
STORAGE SUMMARY
STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED $=7,050 \mathrm{cf}$
PIPE STORAGE VOLUME $=4,920 \mathrm{c}$
TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED = 7,174 cf.
PIPE DETALLS

- DIAMETER $=72$ IN.

CORRUGATION $=5 x$
GAGE $=16$
COATNG $=A$
WALL TYPE = Perforate

- BARRELL SPACING $=36 \mathrm{iN}$

BACKFILL DETALLS
WIDTH AT ENDS $=121 \mathrm{IN}$
ABOVE PIPE $=6 / \mathbb{N}$.
BELOW PIPE = 9 in.


NOTES

- ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. AL
 PRLIR TO RELEANIN FOR FABRICATON
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Dear Mr. Ilich:

GeoTek, Inc. (GeoTek) is pleased to provide the results of this geotechnical and infiltration evaluation for the proposed project located in San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California. This report presents the results of GeoTek's evaluation, discussion of findings, and provides geotechnical recommendations for foundation design and construction.

Based upon review and evaluation, site development appears feasible from a geotechnical viewpoint provided that the recommendations included in this report are incorporated into the design and construction phases of the project.

Geotechnical and Infiltration Evaluation

The opportunity to be of service is sincerely appreciated. If you should have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact GeoTek.



Bruce A. Hick
GE 2244, Exp. 12/3I/22
Geotechnical Engineer
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CEG 1892, Exp. 07/3I/22
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## I. PURPOSE AND SCOPE OF SERVICES

The purpose of this study was to evaluate the geotechnical engineering and geologic conditions at the project site, as outlined in GeoTek's proposal P-060432I-CR, dated June 15, 2021. Services provided for this study included the following:

- Research and review of available geologic data and general information pertinent to the site,
- Site exploration consisting of the excavation, logging, and sampling of five (5) exploratory test borings extending to depths ranging from 16.5 to 51.5 feet below grade,
- Excavation of two (2) additional borings to a depth of about five (5) feet below grade and performing an infiltration test in each boring,
- Laboratory testing of soil samples collected during the field investigation,
- Review and evaluation of site seismicity, and
- Preparation of this geotechnical report which presents GeoTek's findings, conclusions, and recommendations for this site.


## 2. SITE DESCRIPTION AND PROPOSED DEVELOPMENT

## 2.I SITE DESCRIPTION

The approximate 10 -acre "L"-shaped project site is located on the south side of West Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive, approximately 500 feet west of Medical Center Drive, in the City of San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California (See Figure I). Access to the site is available from West Highland Avenue, a paved improved street located adjacent to the northern boundary of the site. A dirt trail (extention of North Gardena Street) extending to West Highlnad Drive is present along the northwestern boundary of the site. The site is bordered to the east by a mobile home park, to the south by single-family residences, and to the west by vacant land and a commercial development.

Topographically, the site slopes gently downward to the east at an approximate two (2) percent gradient. Elevation of the western portion of the the site is approximately I,230 feet with approximately 10 feet of elevation differential across the site.

The site was vacant land at the time of the field exploration. The site was vegetated with a light covering of weeds and grasses with a line of domestic tress trending east-west in the central portion of the site.

### 2.2 PROJECT DESCRIPTION

Based upon review of the Conceptual Design Plan prepared by KTGY Architecture and Planning, Inc. dated June 10, 202I (see Figure 2), GeoTek understands the subject property is to be developed with about 72 single-family residential lots and associated infrastructure improvements. Stormwater disposal is to be by means of a stormwater detention basin.

The proposed residential structures are anticipated to be of wood-frame construction, one- to two-stories in height, and incorporate conventional shallow foundations and concrete slab-ongrade floors. It is our understanding that sewage disposal will be by a public sewer. For the purposes of this report, it is assumed maximum column and wall loads will be about 50 kips and 2.5 kips per foot, respectively. Specific site development plans were not provided as of the date of this report. Once actual loads are known that information should be provided to GeoTek to determine if modifications to the recommendations presented in this report are warranted.

If site development differs from the assumptions made herein, the recommendations included in this report should be subject to further review and evaluation. Site development plans should be reviewed by GeoTek when they become available.

## 3. FIELD EXPLORATION AND LABORATORY TESTING

## 3.I FIELD EXPLORATION

The field exploration for this report was conducted on July 26, 2021 and consisted of excavating five (5) geotechnical exploratory borings with a hollow-stem drill rig to depths ranging from about 16.5 to 51.5 feet below grade. The approximate locations of the GeoTek excavations are shown on the Boring Location Map (Figure 2). A geologist from GeoTek
logged the excavations and collected soil samples for use in subsequent laboratory testing. The logs of the exploratory borings are included in Appendix A.

Relatively undisturbed soil samples were recovered at various intervals in the geotechnical borings with a California sampler. The California sampler is a 3 -inch outside diameter, 2.5 -inch inside diameter, split barrel sampler lined with brass rings. The sampler was 18 inches long. The sampler conformed to the requirements of ASTM D 3550. A 140-pound automatic trip hammer was utilized, dropping 30 inches for each blow. The relatively undisturbed samples, together with bulk samples of representative soil types, were returned to the laboratory for testing and evaluation. The California sampler test data are presented on the boring logs in Appendix A.

## Percolation Testing

In addition to the geotechnical exploratory borings, two percolation test borings (I-I and I-2) were excavated in the area of the proposed storm water management basin to depths of about 5 feet. Infiltration/percolation testing was conducted in these borings in general accordance with the requirements of the County of San Bernardino.

The percolation tests consisted of drilling an eight-inch diameter test hole to the desired depth and installing approximately two inches of gravel in the bottom of the hole. A three-inch diameter perforated PVC pipe, wrapped in a filter sock, was placed in the excavations and the annular space was filled with gravel to prevent caving within the boring. Water was then placed in the borings to presoak the holes and percolation testing was performed the following the pre-soak period. Following presoaking it was determined that "sandy soil" criteria was met within both percolation borings. The percolation tests were then performed which consisted of adding water to each test hole and measuring the water drop over a 10 -minute period. The water drop was recorded for eight test intervals. Water was added to the test holes after each test interval. The field percolation rates were then converted to an infiltration rate using the Porchet Method.

The results of the conversions indicate infiltration rate range from about 8.49 to 8.74 inches per hour. Copies of the percolation data sheets and the Porchet infiltration rate conversion calculations are presented in Appendix C. No factors of safety were applied to the rates provided. Over the lifetime of the infiltration areas, the infiltration rates may be affected by sediment build up and biological activities, as well as local variations in near surface soil conditions. A suitable factor of safety should be applied to the field rate in designing the infiltration system.

It should be noted that the infiltration rates provided above were performed in relatively undisturbed on-site soils. Infiltration rates will vary and are mostly dependent on the underlying consistency of the site soils and relative density. Infiltration rates may be impacted by weight of equipment travelling over the soils, placement of engineered fill and other various factors. GeoTek assumes no responsibility or liability for the ultimate design or performance of the storm water facility.

### 3.2 LABORATORY TESTING

Laboratory testing was performed on selected relatively undisturbed ring and bulk samples collected during the field exploration. The purpose of the laboratory testing was to confirm the field classification of the materials encountered and to evaluate their physical properties for use in the engineering design and analysis. Results of the laboratory testing program along with a brief description and relevant information regarding testing procedures are included on the exploratory borings logs included in Appendix A and in Appendix B.

## 4. GEOLOGIC AND SOILS CONDITIONS

## 4.I REGIONAL SETTING

The subject property is situated in the Peninsular Ranges geomorphic province. The Peninsular Ranges province is one of the largest geomorphic units in western North America. It extends approximately 975 miles south of the Transverse Ranges geomorphic province to the tip of Baja California. This province varies in width from about 30 to 100 miles. It is bounded on the west by the Pacific Ocean, on the south by the Gulf of California and on the east by the Colorado Desert Province.

The Peninsular Ranges are essentially a series of northwest-southeast oriented fault blocks. Several major fault zones are found in this province. The Elsinore Fault zone and the San Jacinto Fault zone trend northwest-southeast and are found near the middle of the province. The San Andreas Fault zone borders the northeasterly margin of the province.

More specific to the subject property, the site is located in an area geologically mapped to be underlain by alluvium (Dibblee, T.W. and Minch, J.A., 2004). No active faults are shown in the immediate site vicinity on the maps reviewed for the area.

### 4.2 GENERAL SOIL CONDITIONS

A brief description of the earth materials encountered is presented in the following section. Based on the site reconnaissance, the exploratory excavations and review of published geologic maps, the area investigated is locally underlain by fill that is over younger alluvium.

### 4.2.1 Fill

Fill was encountered in most of the exploratory borings to depths ranging from approximately 2.5 to 4.5 feet below existing grade. This (undocumented) fill is likely a result of the historical use of the site for agriculture purposes and the subsequent ground disturbance/tilling that has occurred after. The fill encountered in the exploratory borings generally consisted of silty fine sand and relatively clean sands (SM and SP soil types based upon the Unified Soil Classification System). Greater depths of fill may be present within unexplored areas of the site.

### 4.2.2 Younger Alluvium

Younger alluvial soils were encountered in the borings beneath the fill soils and extended to the maximum depths explored ( 51.5 feet). As encountered in the borings, the alluvium consisted of interbedded layers of silty sands and relatively clean sands with variable amounts of gravel (SM and SP soil types based upon the Unified Soil Classification System).

Based on the laboratory test results, the near surface soils have a "very low" expansion potential (ASTM D 4829). Based on the laboratory test results, the near surface soils have a soluble sulfate content of less than 0.1 percent (ASTM D 4327). The test results are provided in Appendix B.

### 4.3 SURFACE WATER AND GROUNDWATER

### 4.3.I Surface Water

If encountered during earthwork operations, surface water on this site is the result of precipitation or possibly some minor surface run-off from the surrounding areas. Overall site area drainage varies due to the site topography and existing improvements. Provisions for surface drainage will need to be accounted for by the project civil engineer.

### 4.3.2 Groundwater

Groundwater was not encountered within any of the exploratory borings drilled at the site to the maximum depth drilled of 51.5 below the existing ground surface. Based on a review of groundwater depths noted on the State Department of Water Resources Water Data Library website, it is estimated the historic high groundwater depth is in excess of 50 feet below
existing grade at the site. Based on the results of the field exploration, review of site area geomorphology and geology, groundwater is not anticipated to adversely affect the proposed improvements.

### 4.4 FAULTING AND SEISMICITY

### 4.4.I Faulting

The geologic structure of the entire California area is dominated mainly by northwest-trending faults associated with the San Andreas system. The site is in a seismically active region. However, the site is not situated within a State of California designated "Alquist-Priolo" Earthquake Fault Zone. The nearest known active fault is the San Andreas fault located about 4 miles to the northeast.

### 4.4.2 Seismic Design Parameters

The site is located at approximately 34.1347 degrees West Latitude and -II7.3256 degrees North Longitude. Site spectral accelerations ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{a}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{I}}$ ) for 0.2 and I .0 second periods for a Class "D" site, was determined from the SEAOC/OSHPD web interface that utilizes the USGS web services and retrieves the seismic design data and presents that information in a report format. Using the ASCE 7-16 option on the SEAOC/OSHPD website results in the values for $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MI}}$ and $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{DI}}$ reported as "null-See Section II.4.8" (of ASCE 7-I6). As noted in ASCE 7-I6, Section II.4.8, a site-specific ground motion procedure is recommended for Site Class D when the value $S_{\text {I }}$ exceeds 0.2 . The value $S_{\text {I }}$ for the subject site exceeds 0.2 .

For a site Class $D$, an exception to performing a site-specific ground motion analysis is allowed in ASCE 7-16 where $S_{\text {। }}$ exceeds 0.2 provided the value of the seismic response coefficient, Cs, is conservatively calculated by Eq 12.8-2 of ASCE 7-16 for values of $\mathrm{T} \leq 1.5 \mathrm{~T}$ s and taken as equal to 1.5 times the value computed in accordance with either Eq. $12.8-3$ for $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{L}} \geq \mathrm{T}>1.5 \mathrm{Ts}$ or Eq. 12.8-4 for $T>T_{L}$.

The results, based on the 2015 NEHRP and the 2019 CBC, are presented in the following table assuming that the exception as allowed in ASCE 7-16 is applicable. If the exception is deemed not appropriate, a site-specific ground motion analysis will be required.

| SITE SEISMIC PARAMETERS |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mapped 0.2 sec Period Spectral Acceleration, Ss | 2.298 g |
| Mapped 1.0 sec Period Spectral Acceleration, $\mathrm{S}_{\text {I }}$ | 0.919g |
| Site Coefficient for Site Class "D", $\mathrm{F}_{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1 |
| Site Coefficient for Site Class "D", Fv | 1.7 |
| Maximum Considered Earthquake Spectral Response Acceleration for 0.2 Second, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{Ms}}$ | 2.298g |
| Maximum Considered Earthquake Spectral Response Acceleration for 1.0 Second, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{m}}$ | 1.561 g |
| 5\% Damped Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter at 0.2 Second, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{os}}$ | 1.532 g |
| 5\% Damped Design Spectral Response Acceleration Parameter at I second, $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{o}}$ | 1.04 Ig |
| Peak Ground Acceleration (PGAM) | 1.065g |
| Seismic Design Category | E |

Final selection of the appropriate seismic design coefficients should be made by the project structural engineer based upon the local practices and ordinances, expected building response and desired level of conservatism.

### 4.5 LIQUEFACTION

Liquefaction describes a phenomenon in which cyclic stresses, produced by earthquakeinduced ground motion, create excess pore pressures in relatively cohesionless soils. These soils may thereby acquire a high degree of mobility, which can lead to lateral movement, sliding, consolidation and settlement of loose sediments, sand boils and other damaging deformations. This phenomenon occurs only below the water table, but, after liquefaction has developed, the effects can propagate upward into overlying non-saturated soil as excess pore water dissipates.

The factors known to influence liquefaction potential include soil type and grain size, relative density, groundwater level, confining pressures, and both intensity and duration of ground shaking. In general, materials that are susceptible to liquefaction are loose, saturated granular soils having low fines content under low confining pressures.

The project site is not located within an area mapped by the State of California for liquefaction potential. The County of San Bernardino Land Use Services (Geologic Hazard Maps) has designated the site as not having a potential for liquefaction. Based on the current mapping and the depth to groundwater, it is GeoTek's opinion that the liquefaction potential at the site is very low.

### 4.6 OTHER SEISMIC HAZARDS

An assessment of the potential "dry" settlement (i.e., settlement above the water table) resulting from seismic shaking of the site was evaluated. For this analysis we used a groundwater depth of 100 feet, a ground acceleration (PGAM) of 1.07 g and a mean earthquake magnitude of 7.3. The ground acceleration and earthquake magnitude were obtained from the USGS websites. The computer software program LiquefyPro and the soil profiled from Boring B-I were used in the analysis. Based on the recommendations provided in this report, engineered fill will be incorporated within the upper six feet of pad grade; this change has been incorporated into the settlement analysis. The results of this analysis indicate a potential ground surface settlement of about 2 inches is possible. A differential seismic settlement of about I inch over a 40 foot span is estimated. Based on these estimated magnitudes, ground modification or special foundation design is not deemed necessary. The results of the seismic dry settlement analysis are presented in Appendix E. However, the estimated seismic settlements should be considered in structural design.

Due to the general flat terrain, the potential for seismic induced landslides or lateral spreading is considered nil. The potential for secondary seismic hazards such as a seiche and tsunami is considered negligible due to site elevation and distance from an open body of water.

## 5. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

## 5.I GENERAL

Development of the site appears feasible from a geotechnical engineering viewpoint. The following recommendations should be incorporated into the design and construction phases of development.

### 5.2 EARTHWORK CONSIDERATIONS

### 5.2. General

Earthwork and grading should be performed in accordance with the applicable grading ordinances of the County of San Bernardino, City of San Bernardino and the 2019 California Building Code (CBC), and recommendations contained in this report. The Grading Guidelines included in Appendix D outline general procedures and do not anticipate all site-specific
situations. In the event of conflict, the recommendations presented in the text of this report should supersede those contained in Appendix E.

### 5.2.2 Site Clearing

Initial site preparation should commence with removal of debris, deleterious materials and vegetation within the limits of the planned improvements. These materials should be properly disposed of off-site. Voids resulting from removing any materials should be replaced with engineered fill materials with expansion characteristics similar to the onsite materials.

### 5.2.3 Site Preparation

Due to the non-uniform nature and thickness of the near-surface undocumented fill and loose condition of the upper younger alluvium, it is recommended that the soils be removed beneath the planned building footprint of the proposed structure to a depth of at least 5 feet below existing natural (below existing fill) grade, or three (3) feet beneath the base of the proposed foundations, whichever is greater. Removal bottoms should be relatively uniform in soil type which is not visibly porous and having an in-place density of at least 85 percent of the soil's maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557 test procedures. A representative of this firm should observe and approve the bottom of all remedial excavations. The lateral extent of this recommended over-excavation should extend at least 5 feet beyond the building or foundation limits.

Following site clearing operations, over-excavation and lowering of site grades, where necessary, it is recommended that the exposed subgrade soils beneath all surface improvements be proof rolled with a heavy rubber-tired piece of construction equipment approved by and in the presence of the geotechnical engineering representative. The proof rolling equipment should possess a minimum weight of 15 tons and proof rolling should include at least 4 passes, two in each perpendicular direction. All soil that ruts or excessively deflects during proof rolling should be removed as recommended by the GeoTek representative. Following proof rolling and removal of any unsuitable bearing soil, the exposed subgrade should be scarified to a depth of about 12 inches, be moisture conditioned to slightly above the soil's optimum moisture content and then be compacted to at least 90 percent of the soil's maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D-I557 test procedures.

### 5.2.4 Engineered Fill

The on-site soils are generally considered suitable for reuse as engineered fill provided they are free from vegetation, debris, oversized materials ( 6 inch diameter or greater) and other deleterious material. All areas should be brought to final subgrade elevations with fill materials that are placed and compacted in general accordance with minimum project standards. Engineered fill should be placed in 6-to-8-inch loose lifts, moisture conditioned to slightly above
the optimum moisture content and compacted to a minimum relative compaction of 90 percent as determined by ASTM D-I557 test procedures.

If wet soils are encountered during remedial grading, methods for drying soils such as stockpiling or mixing with dry soils may be required to bring the soils to the required moisture content for placement as engineered fill. Placement of engineered fill should be observed and tested on a full-time basis by a GeoTek representative during grading activities.

### 5.2.5 Transition Lot Condition

Building pads graded with a cut/fill transition should be undercut to reduce the potential for differential settlement. The cut portion of the cut/fill transition should be undercut to a depth of at least 3 feet or one (I) foot below the deepest proposed footing, whichever is deeper, and be backfilled with a properly compacted engineered fill. The bottom of the undercut should be sloped at a minimum of I percent toward the adjacent street/parking lot area.

### 5.2.6 Oversized Rock Disposal

Although unlikely, oversized cobbles, boulders and rock fragments may be encountered during rough grading and utility trench operations. If encountered, on-site disposal of oversized materials is possible, provided the oversized materials are placed as recommended on Plate 4 within Appendix E. Alternatively, over-sized materials can be exported from the site.

### 5.2.7 Excavation Characteristics

Excavations in the on-site younger alluvium should be readily accomplished with heavy-duty earthmoving or excavating equipment in good operating condition. All excavations should be formed in accordance with current Cal-OSHA requirements.

### 5.2.8 Trench Excavations and Backfill

Temporary trench excavations within the on-site materials should be stable at a I:I inclination for short durations during construction and where cuts do not exceed 15 feet in height. Deeper temporary excavations should be reviewed by GeoTek prior to their planned excavation to determine if supplemental recommendations or analysis are warranted. It is anticipated that temporary cuts to a maximum height of 4 feet can be excavated vertically.

Trench excavations should conform to Cal-OSHA regulations. The contractor should have a competent person, per OSHA requirements, on site during construction to observe conditions and to make the appropriate recommendations.

Utility trench backfill should be compacted to at least 90 percent relative compaction (as determined by ASTM D-I557 test procedures). Under-slab trenches should also be
compacted to project specifications. Where applicable, based on jurisdictional requirements, the top 12 inches of backfill below subgrade for road pavements should be compacted to at least 95 percent relative compaction. On-site materials may not be suitable for use as bedding material but should be suitable as backfill provided particles larger than 6 inches are removed.

Compaction should be achieved with a mechanical compaction device. Ponding or jetting of trench backfill is not recommended. If backfill soils have dried out, they should be properly moisture conditioned prior to placement in trenches.

### 5.2.9 Shrinkage and Bulking

For planning purposes, a shrinkage loss of about 10 to 15 percent is anticipated for excavations within the undocumented fill/younger alluvium at the site. Several factors will impact earthwork balancing on the site, including shrinkage, trench spoil from utilities and footing excavations, as well as the accuracy of topography. Shrinkage and bulking are primarily dependent upon the degree of compactive effort achieved during construction, depth of fill and underlying site conditions.

A subsidence loss of up to about 0.2 foot is estimated for the site.

Site balance areas should be available in order to adjust project grades, depending on actual field conditions at the conclusion of earthwork construction.

### 5.2.10 Grading Plan Review

Upon completion of the site grading plans, it is recommended that those plans be provided to GeoTek for review. Based on that review, some modifications to the recommendations provided in this report may be necessary.

### 5.3 DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

### 5.3.I Foundation Design Criteria

The soils are classified as having a "very low" expansion potential in accordance with ASTM D 4829. GeoTek understands that post-tensioned foundations may be used for this site. Since the CBC indicates Post Tensioning Institute (PTI) design methodology is intended for expansive soils conditions, which do not apply to this project, no $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{m}}$ or $\mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{m}}$ parameters as used in the PTI methodology are provided. The foundation elements for the proposed structures should bear entirely in engineered fill soils and should be designed in accordance with the 2019 California Building Code (CBC). The following design recommendations for post-tensioned foundations for this project are provided:

MINIMUM DESIGN REQUIREMENTS FOR POST-TENSIONED FOUNDATIONS

| Design Parameter | "Very Low" Expansion Potential |
| :---: | :---: |
| $0 \leq$ El $\leq 20$ |  | \left\lvert\, | Foundation Depth or Minimum Perimeter Beam |
| :---: | :---: |
| Depth (inches below the lowest adjacent grade) |$\quad\right.$ One- to Two-Stories - 12

*Greater depths and widths may be required per the structural design. Interior footing depths should be at least 12 inches below interior finished grade for I-2 story buildings. Interior pad footings should possess a minimum width of 24 inches.

An allowable bearing capacity of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used for design of building wall footings. This value may be increased by 400 psf for each additional 12 inches of embedment depth and by 200 psf for each additional 12 inches in width to a maximum of 3,000 psf. The allowable bearing capacity may be increased by one-third when considering short-term wind and/or seismic loads.

Based upon review, a modulus of subgrade reaction $\left(E_{1}\right)$ of 250 pci may be used in the design of the post-tensioned slab foundation. It should be noted that this value is based upon standard one foot plate load tests. Depending upon the design methodology and foundation geometry this value may need to be modified by the following:

$$
E_{s}=E_{1}((B+I) / 2 B)^{2}
$$

where: Es = design modulus
$B=$ footing width

Based on the expansion index testing performed for this report and visual examination of the site soils, site soils possess a "very low" (0-20) expansion potential (ASTM D4829). Therefore, it is GeoTek's opinion that conventional foundations supported by engineered fill may be used for this site. Foundation design criteria for a conventional foundation system, in general conformance with the 2019 CBC, are presented herein. These are typical design criteria and are not intended to supersede the design by the structural engineer. A summary of GeoTek's preliminary foundation design recommendations for conventional foundations is presented in the table below:

| Design Parameter | "Very Low" Expansion Potential (0<EIธ20) |
| :---: | :---: |
| Foundation Depth or Minimum Perimeter Beam <br> Depth (inches below lowest adjacent grade) | I2 - One- and -two Stories |
| Minimum Foundation Width (Inches)* | 12 |
| Minimum Slab Thickness (actual) | $6 " \times 6$ " - W2.9/W2.9 welded wire fabric placed in <br> middle of slab or No. 3 bars at I8-inch centers. |
| Minimum Slab Reinforcing | Two No. 4 Reinforcing Bars, one top and one bottom |
| Minimum Footing Reinforcement | Minimum I00\% to a depth of I2 inches prior to |
| placement of concrete |  |

*Code minimums per Table 1809.7 of the 2019 CBC.

It should be noted that the criteria provided are based on soil support characteristics only. The structural engineer should design the slab and beam reinforcement based on actual loading conditions.

The following criteria for design of foundations are preliminary and should be re-evaluated based on the results additional laboratory testing of samples obtained at/near finish pad grade.
5.3.I.I An allowable bearing capacity of 2,000 pounds per square foot (psf) may be used for design of continuous and perimeter footings 12 inches deep and 12 inches wide, and pad footings 24 inches square and 12 inches deep. This allowable soil bearing capacity may be increased by 300 psf for each additional foot of footing depth and 300 psf for each additional foot of footing width to a maximum value of $4,000 \mathrm{psf}$. An increase of one-third may be applied when considering short-term live loads (e.g., seismic and wind loads).
5.3.1.2 Structural foundations should be designed in accordance with the 2019 CBC, and to withstand a total static settlement of I inch and maximum differential static settlement of one-half of the total settlement over a horizontal distance of 40 feet.
5.3.I. 3 The passive earth pressure may be computed as an equivalent fluid having a density of 300 psf per foot of depth, to a maximum earth pressure of 2,500 psf for footings founded on engineered fill or competent native soil. A coefficient of friction between soil and concrete of 0.35 may be used with dead load forces. When combining passive pressure and frictional resistance, the passive pressure component should be reduced by one-third. The upper one foot of soil should be ignored in the passive pressure calculations unless the surface is covered with pavements.
5.3.I.4 A grade beam, a minimum of 12 inches wide and 12 inches deep, should be utilized across large entrances. The base of the grade beam should be at the same elevation as the bottom of the adjoining footings.
5.3.I. 5 A moisture and vapor retarding system should be placed below slabs-on-grade where moisture migration through the slab is undesirable. Guidelines for these are provided in the 2019 California Green Building Standards Code (CALGreen) Section 4.505.2, the 2019 CBC Section 1907.I and ACI 360R-IO. The vapor retarder design and construction should also meet the requirements of ASTM E 1643. A portion of the vapor retarder design should be the implementation of a moisture vapor retardant membrane.

It should be realized that the effectiveness of the vapor retarding membrane can be adversely impacted as a result of construction related punctures (e.g., stake penetrations, tears, punctures from walking on the vapor retarder placed atop the underlying aggregate layer, etc.). These occurrences should be limited as much as possible during construction. Thicker membranes are generally more resistant to accidental puncture than thinner ones. Products specifically designed for use as moisture/vapor retarders may also be more puncture resistant. Although the CBC specifies a 6-mil vapor retarder membrane, it is GeoTek's opinion that a minimum 10 mil thick membrane with joints properly overlapped and sealed should be considered, unless otherwise specified by the slab design professional. The membrane should consist of Stego wrap or the equivalent.

Moisture and vapor retarding systems are intended to provide a certain level of resistance to vapor and moisture transmission through the concrete, but do not eliminate it. The acceptable level of moisture transmission through the slab is to a large extent based on the type of flooring used and environmental conditions. Ultimately, the vapor retarding system should be comprised of suitable elements to limited migration of water and reduce transmission of water vapor through the slab to acceptable levels. The selected elements should have suitable properties (i.e., thickness, composition, strength, and permeability) to achieve the desired performance level.

Moisture retarders can reduce, but not eliminate, moisture vapor rise from the underlying soils up through the slab. Moisture retarder systems should be designed and constructed in accordance with applicable American Concrete Institute, Portland Cement Association, Post-Tensioning Concrete Institute, ASTM and California Building Code requirements and guidelines.

GeoTek recommends that a qualified person, such as the flooring contractor, structural engineer, architect, and/or other experts specializing in moisture control within the building be consulted to evaluate the general and specific moisture and vapor transmission paths and associated potential impact on the proposed construction. That person (or persons) should provide recommendations relative to the slab moisture and vapor retarder systems and for migration of potential adverse impact of moisture vapor transmission on various components of the structures, as deemed appropriate.

In addition, the recommendations in this report and GeoTek's services in general are not intended to address mold prevention; since GeoTek, along with geotechnical consultants in general, do not practice in the area of mold prevention. If specific recommendations addressing potential mold issues are desired, then a professional mold prevention consultant should be contacted.
5.3.1.6 It is recommended that control joints be placed in two directions spaced approximately 24 to 36 times the thickness of the slab in inches. These joints are a widely accepted means to control cracks and should be reviewed by the project structural engineer.

### 5.3.2 Miscellaneous Foundation Recommendations

5.3.2. I To reduce moisture penetration beneath the slab on grade areas, utility trench excavations should be backfilled with engineered fill, lean concrete or concrete slurry where they intercept the perimeter footing or thickened slab edge.
5.3.2.2 Soils from the footing excavations should not be placed in the slab-on-grade areas unless properly compacted and tested. The excavations should be free of loose/sloughed materials and be neatly trimmed at the time of concrete placement.

### 5.3.3 Foundation Setbacks

Minimum setbacks for all foundations should comply with the 2019 CBC or City of San Bernardino requirements, whichever is more stringent. Improvements not conforming to these setbacks are subject to the increased likelihood of excessive lateral movements and/or differential settlements. If large enough, these movements can compromise the integrity of the improvements. The top outside edge of all footings should be set back a minimum of $\mathrm{H} / 3$ (where H is the slope height) from the face of any descending slope. The setback should be at least five feet and need not exceed 40 feet.

### 5.3.4 Soil Corrosivity

The soil resistivity at this site was tested in the laboratory on a sample collected during the field investigation. The results of the testing indicate that the on-site soils are considered "mildly corrosive" ( 14,070 ohm-cm) (Roberge, 2000) to buried ferrous metal in accordance with current standards used by corrosion engineers. Recommendations for protection of buried ferrous metal should be provided by a corrosion engineer. Additional corrosion testing should be performed at the time of site grading to assess the corrosion of potential of the as-graded soils.

### 5.3.5 Soil Sulfate Content

The sulfate content was determined in the laboratory on a sample collected during the field investigation. The results indicate that the water-soluble sulfate result is less than 0.1 percent by weight, which is considered "negligible" as per Table 4.2.I of ACI 3I8. Based on the test results and Table 4.3.I of ACl 318 , no special recommendations for concrete are required for this project due to soil sulfate exposure.

### 5.4 RETAINING AND GARDEN WALL DESIGN AND CONSTRUCTION

### 5.4.I.I General Design Criteria

Recommendations presented in this report apply to typical masonry or concrete vertical retaining walls to a maximum height of up to six (6) feet. Additional review and recommendations should be requested for higher walls. These are typical design criteria and are not intended to supersede the design by the structural engineer.

Retaining wall foundations should be embedded a minimum of 18 inches into engineered fill. Retaining wall foundations should be designed in accordance with Section 5.3 of this report. Structural needs may govern and should be evaluated by the project structural engineer.

All earth retention structure plans, as applicable, should be reviewed by this office prior to finalization.

Earthwork considerations, site clearing and remedial earthwork for all earth retention structures should meet the requirements of this report, unless specifically provided otherwise, or more stringent requirements or recommendations are made by the designer. The backfill material placement for all earth retention structures should meet the requirement of Section 5.2.4 in this report.

In general, cantilever earth retention structures, which are designed to yield at least 0.001 H , where H is equal to the height of the earth retention structure, may be designed using the "active" condition. Rigid earth retention structures (including but not limited to rigid walls, and walls braced at top, such as typical basement walls) should be designed using the "at-rest" condition.

In addition to the design lateral forces due to retained earth, surcharges due to improvements, such as an adjacent building or traffic loading, should be considered in the design of the earth retention structures. Loads applied within a I:I (horizontal:vertical) projection from the surcharge on the stem of the earth retention structure should be considered in the design.

Final selection of the appropriate design parameters should be made by the designer of the earth retention structures.

### 5.4.I. 2 Cantilevered Walls

The recommendations presented below are for cantilevered retaining walls up to six (6) feet high. Active earth pressure may be used for retaining wall design, provided the top of the wall is not restrained from minor deflections. An equivalent fluid pressure approach may be used to compute the horizontal pressure against the wall. Appropriate fluid unit weights are given below for specific slope gradients of the retained material. These do not include other superimposed loading conditions such as traffic, structures, seismic events, or adverse geologic conditions.

| ACTIVE EARTH PRESSURES |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| Surface Slope of Retained <br> Materials <br> (horizontal:vertical) | Equivalent Fluid Pressure <br> (pcf) <br> Select Backfill* and Native Soils |
| Level | 35 |
| 2:1 | 60 |

*The design pressures assume the backfill material has an expansion index less than or equal to 20. Backfill zone includes area between back of the wall to a plane (I:I horizontal : vertical) up from bottom of the wall foundation (on the backside of the wall) to the ground surface.

For walls with a retained height greater than 6 feet, an incremental seismic pressure should be included into the wall design. Where needed, it is recommended that an equivalent fluid
pressure of 16 pcf be included into the wall design to account for seismic loading conditions. This pressure may be applied as an inverted triangular distribution.

### 5.4.1.3 Retaining Wall Backfill and Drainage

The wall backfill should also include a minimum one (I) foot wide section of $3 / 4$ - to I -inch clean crushed rock (or an approved equivalent). The rock should be placed immediately adjacent to the back of the wall and extend up from a back drain to within approximately 24 inches of the finish grade. The upper 24 inches should consist of compacted on-site materials. The rock should be separated from the earth with filter fabric. The presence of other materials might necessitate revision to the parameters provided and modification of the wall designs. The backfill materials should be placed in lifts no greater than eight (8) inches in thickness and compacted to a minimum of $90 \%$ relative compaction as determined by ASTM D 1557 test procedures. Proper surface drainage needs to be provided and maintained.

As an alternative to the drain, rock and fabric, a pre-manufactured wall drainage product (example: Mira Drain 6000 or approved equivalent) may be used behind the retaining wall. The wall drainage product should extend from the base of the wall to within two (2) feet of the ground surface. The subdrain should be placed in direct contact with the wall drainage product.

Retaining walls should be provided with an adequate pipe and gravel back drain system to help prevent buildup of hydrostatic pressures. Backdrains should consist of a four (4)-inch diameter perforated collector pipe (Schedule 40, SDR 35, or approved equivalent) embedded in a minimum of one (I) cubic foot per linear foot of $3 / 4$ - to 1 -inch clean crushed rock or an approved equivalent, wrapped in filter fabric (Mirafi 140 N or an approved equivalent). The drain system should be connected to a suitable outlet. Waterproofing of site walls should be performed where moisture migration through the walls is undesirable.

### 5.4.I.4 Restrained Retaining Walls

Retaining walls that will be restrained at the top that support level backfill or that have reentrant or male corners, should be designed for an equivalent at-rest fluid pressure of 55 pcf, plus any applicable surcharge loading. For areas of male or reentrant corners, the restrained wall design should extend a minimum distance of twice the height of the wall laterally from the corner, or a distance otherwise determined by the project structural engineer.

### 5.4.I.5 Other Design Considerations

- Wall design should consider the additional surcharge loads from superjacent slopes and/or footings, where appropriate.
- No backfill should be placed against concrete until minimum design strengths are evident by compression tests of cylinders.
- The retaining wall footing excavations, backcuts, and backfill materials should be approved by the project geotechnical engineer or their authorized representative.
- Positive separations should be provided in garden walls at horizontal distances not exceeding 20 feet.


### 5.5 PRELIMINARY PAVEMENT DESIGN RECOMMENDATIONS

Although planned final grades beneath the street improvements within the site are not yet known, the following preliminary pavement design recommendations are based on Traffic Indexes of 5.5 for interior streets and 10.0 for Highland Avenue as designated by the City of San Bernardino. Preliminary pavement thickness design is based on the CalTrans Highway Design Manual (2018). An R-value of 50 has been assumed for the preliminary design of the project pavement sections. Once the traffic loading information becomes more defined, revision to the pavement design recommendations may be warranted. It is recommended that the final pavement design be based on R-value testing of the as-graded subgrade soils within the pavement areas.

Based on the assumptions noted above the following preliminary pavement recommendations are provided for the site:

| PRELIMINARY MINIMUM PAVEMENT SECTION |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Traffic Index | Thickness of Asphalt <br> Concrete (inches) | Thickness of Aggregate Base <br> (inches) |
| 5.5 | 3.0 | 4 |
| (Interior Streets) | 4.0 | 11 |
| 10.0 | 5.0 | 9.5 |

Traffic Indices (Tls) used in the pavement design should provide a pavement life of approximately 20 years with a normal amount of flexible pavement maintenance. Irrigation adjacent to pavements, without a deep curb or other cutoff to separate landscaping from the paving may result in premature pavement failure. Traffic parameters used for design were
selected based upon engineering judgment and not upon information furnished to us such as an equivalent wheel load analysis or a traffic study.

All base material and the upper 12 inches of subgrade should be compacted to at least 95 percent of the material's maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557 test procedures. All materials and methods of construction should conform to the requirements of the City of San Bernardino.

### 5.6 CONCRETE CONSTRUCTION

### 5.6.I General

Concrete construction should follow the 2019 CBC and ACl guidelines regarding design, mix placement and curing of the concrete. If desired, GeoTek could provide quality control testing of the concrete during construction.

### 5.6.2 Concrete Mix Design

As discussed in Section 5.3.5, no special recommendations for concrete are required for this project due to soil sulfate exposure. Additional testing should be performed during grading so that specific recommendations can be formulated based on the as-graded conditions.

### 5.6.3 Concrete Flatwork

Exterior concrete flatwork is often one of the most visible aspects of site development. They are typically given the least level of quality control, being considered "non-structural" components. Cracking of these features is common due to various factors. While cracking usually does not affect the structural performance of the concrete, it is unsightly. It is recommended that the same standards of care be applied to these features as to the structure itself.

Flatwork should consist of a minimum four-inch (actual) thick concrete and the use of temperature and shrinkage control reinforcement is suggested. The project structural engineer should provide final design recommendations.

### 5.6.4 Concrete Performance

Concrete cracks should be expected. These cracks can vary from sizes that are hairline to more than I/8 inch in width. Most cracks in concrete while unsightly do not significantly impact long-term performance. While it is possible to take measures (proper concrete mix, placement, curing, control joints, etc.) to reduce the extent and size of cracks that occur, some cracking will occur despite the best efforts to minimize it. Concrete undergoes chemical
processes that are dependent on a wide range of variables, which are difficult, at best, to control. Concrete, while seemingly a stable material, is subject to internal expansion and contraction due to external changes over time.

One of the simplest means to control cracking is to provide weakened control joints for cracking to occur along. These do not prevent cracks from developing; they simply provide a relief point for the stresses that develop. These joints are a widely accepted means to control cracks but are not always effective. Control joints are more effective the more closely spaced they are. GeoTek suggests that control joints be placed in two orthogonal directions and located a distance apart approximately equal to 24 to 36 times the slab thickness.

### 5.7 PLAN REVIEW AND CONSTRUCTION OBSERVATIONS

It is recommended that site grading, specifications, and foundation plans be reviewed by this office prior to construction to check for conformance with the recommendations of this report. It is also recommended that GeoTek representatives be present during site grading and foundation construction to observe and document for proper implementation of the geotechnical recommendations. The owner/developer should have GeoTek perform at least the following duties:

- Observe site clearing and grubbing operations for proper removal of all unsuitable materials.
- Observe and test bottom of removals prior to fill placement.
- Evaluate the suitability of on-site and import materials for fill placement and collect soil samples for laboratory testing where necessary.
- Observe the fill for uniformity during placement, including utility trench excavation backfill. Also, test the fill for density, relative compaction and moisture content.
- Observe and probe foundation excavations to confirm suitability of bearing materials with respect to density.

If requested, a construction observation and compaction report can be provided by GeoTek which can comply with the requirements of the governmental agencies having jurisdiction over the project. It is recommended that these agencies be notified prior to commencement of construction so that necessary grading permits can be obtained.

## 6. INTENT

It is the intent of this report to aid in the design and construction of the proposed development. Implementation of the advice presented in this report is intended to reduce risk associated with construction projects. The professional opinions and geotechnical advice contained in this report are not intended to imply total performance of the project or guarantee that unusual or variable conditions will not be discovered during or after construction.

The scope of GeoTek's evaluation is limited to the area explored that is shown on the Boring Location Map (Figure 2). This evaluation does not and should in no way be construed to encompass any areas beyond the specific area of the proposed construction as indicated to GeoTek by the client. Further, no evaluation of any existing site improvements is included. The scope is based on GeoTek's understanding of the project and the client's needs, GeoTek's proposal (Proposal No. P-060432I-CR) dated June 15, 2021 and geotechnical engineering standards normally used on similar projects in this region.

## 7. LIMITATIONS

GeoTek's findings are based on site conditions observed and the stated sources. Thus, GeoTek's comments are professional opinions that are limited to the extent of the available data.

GeoTek has prepared this report in a manner consistent with that level of care and skill ordinarily exercised by members of the engineering at this time and location and science professions currently practicing under similar conditions in the jurisdiction in which the services are provided, subject to the time limits and physical constraints applicable to this report.

Since GeoTek's recommendations are based on the site conditions observed and encountered at the stated times and laboratory testing. Thus, GeoTek's conclusions and recommendations are professional opinions that are limited to the extent of the available data. Observations during construction are important to allow for any change in recommendations found to be warranted. These opinions have been derived in accordance with current standards of practice and no warranty of any kind is expressed or implied. Standards of care/practice are subject to change with time.
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## APPENDIX A

## LOG OF EXPLORATORY BORINGS

## Proposed Single-Family Residential Development Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive <br> 

 Project No. 2849-CR
## A - FIELD TESTING AND SAMPLING PROCEDURES

## The Modified Split-Barrel Sampler (Ring)

The Ring sampler is driven into the ground at various depths in accordance with ASTM D 3550 test procedures. The sampler, with an external diameter of 3.0 inches, is lined with I-inch long, thin brass rings with inside diameters of approximately 2.4 inches. The sampler is typically driven into the ground 12 or 18 inches with a 140 -pound hammer free falling from a height of 30 inches. Blow counts are recorded for every 6 inches of penetration as indicated on the log of boring. The samples are removed from the sample barrel in the brass rings, sealed, and transported to the laboratory for testing.

## Bulk Samples (Large)

These samples are normally large bags of earth materials over 20 pounds in weight collected from the field by means of hand digging or exploratory cuttings.

## Bulk Samples (Small)

These are plastic bag samples which are normally airtight and contain less than 5 pounds in weight of earth materials collected from the field by means of hand digging or exploratory cuttings. These samples are primarily used for determining natural moisture content and classification indices.

## B - BORING LOG LEGEND

The following abbreviations and symbols often appear in the classification and description of soil and rock on the log of borings:

## SOILS

USCS Unified Soil Classification System
f-c Fine to coarse
$\mathrm{f}-\mathrm{m} \quad$ Fine to medium
GEOLOGIC
B: Attitudes Bedding: strike/dip
J: Attitudes Joint: strike/dip
C: Contact line
........... Dashed line denotes USCS material change

- Solid Line denotes unit / formational change
- Thick solid line denotes end of boring
(Additional denotations and symbols are provided on the boring logs)










## APPENDIX B

## RESULTS OF LABORATORY TESTING

# Proposed Single-Family Residential Development Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive <br> San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California Project No. 2849-CR 

## SUMMARY OF LABORATORY TESTING

## Classification

Soils were classified visually in general accordance with the Unified Soil Classification System (ASTM Test Method D 2487). The soil classifications are shown on the logs of borings in Appendix A.

## Collapse Test

Collapse tests were performed on selected samples of the site soils in general accordance with ASTM D 5333 test procedures. The results of this test are presented graphically in Appendix B.

## Direct Shear

Shear testing was performed in a direct shear machine of the strain-control type in general accordance with ASTM D 3080 test procedures. The rate of deformation was approximately 0.035 inch per minute. The sample was sheared under varying confining loads in order to determine the coulomb shear strength parameters, angle of internal friction and cohesion. The tests were performed on soil samples remolded to approximately 90 percent of maximum dry density as determined by ASTM D 1557 test procedures. The shear test results are presented in Appendix B.

## Expansion Index

Expansion Index testing was performed one soil samples. Testing was performed in general accordance with ASTM Test Method D 4829. The results of the testing are provided below.

| Boring No. | Depth (ft.) | Description | Expansion Index | Classification |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-5 | $0-5$ | Silty Sand | 0 | Very Low |

## In-Situ Moisture and Density

The natural water content of sampled soils was determined in general accordance with ASTM D 2216 test procedures on samples of the materials recovered from the subsurface exploration. In addition, inplace dry density of the sampled soils was determined in general accordance with ASTM D 2937 test procedures on relatively undisturbed samples to measure the unit weight of the subsurface soils. Results of these tests are shown on the boring logs at the appropriate sample depths in Appendix A.

## Moisture-Density Relationship

Laboratory testing was performed on two samples collected during the subsurface exploration. The laboratory maximum dry density and optimum moisture content for the soil type was determined in general accordance with test method ASTM Test Procedure D 1557. The results are presented in Appendix B.

## Sulfate Content, Resistivity and Chloride Content

Testing to determine the water-soluble sulfate content was performed in general accordance with ASTM D4327 test procedures. Resistivity testing was completed in general accordance with ASTM GI87 test procedures. Testing to determine the chloride content was performed in general accordance with ASTM D4327 test procedures. The results of the testing are provided in Appendix B.

| Boring \#\# | Depth <br> (ft.) | pH <br> ASTM D4972 | Chloride <br> ASTM D4327 <br> $(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})$ | Sulfate <br> ASTM D4327 $(\%$ by <br> weight) | Resistivity <br> ASTM G I87 <br> $(\mathrm{ohm}-\mathrm{cm})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| B-5 | $0-5$ | 8.4 | 6.0 | 0.0021 | 14,070 |




## DIRECT SHEAR TEST

## GEOTEK



Notes: I - The soil specimen used in the shear box was a ring sample remolded to approximately $90 \%$ relative compaction from a bulk sample collected during the field investigation.
2 - The above reflect direct shear strength at saturated conditions.
3 - The tests were run at a shear rate of $0.035 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{min}$.

## DIRECT SHEAR TEST

## G EOTEK

| Project Name: | Warmington Residential | Sample Location: | BI @ 0-5' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Number: | 2849-CR | Date Tested: | 8/I0/2021 |


Shear Strength: $\quad \Phi=\quad 27^{\circ}: C=\quad 158$ psf

Notes: I - The soil specimen used in the shear box was a ring sample remolded to approximately $90 \%$ relative compaction from a bulk sample collected during the field investigation.
2 - The above reflect direct shear strength at saturated conditions.
3 - The tests were run at a shear rate of $0.035 \mathrm{in} / \mathrm{min}$.
(ASTM D4829)

$\square$

## Project Number: Project Location:

Ring \#:___ Ring Dia. : 4.01" Ring Ht.1"

EXPANSION INDEX =

## GEOTEK

## MOISTURE/DENSITY RELATIONSHIP

Client: Warmington Residential
Project: APN 0143-191-59
Location: San Bernardino
Material Type: Sand Trace Silt/ F-M Sand
Material Supplier:
Material Source:
Sample Location: B1 @ 0-5'
Sampled By: 0 $\qquad$
Received By: RJ
Tested By: RL
Reviewed By: RJ

Job No.: 2849-CR
Lab No.: Corona

Date Sampled: 7/27/2021
Date Received: 7/27/2021
Date Tested:
Date Reviewed:
8/1012021

Test Procedure: ASTM D1557
Method: A


MOISTURE DENSITY RELATIONSHIP VALUES


## MATERIAL DESCRIPTION

Grain Size Distribution:

\% Gravel (retained on No. 4)
\% Sand (Passing No. 4, Retained on No. 200)
\% Silt and Clay (Passing No. 200)
Classification:

Unified Soils Classification: AASHTO Soils Classification:

Atterberg Limits:


# Results Only Soil Testing for 

## SWC Highland Ave Medical <br> Center Or, San Bernardino

August 2, 2021

## Prepared for:

Anna Scott
GeoTek, Inc.
1548 North Maple Street
Corona, CA 92280
ascott@geotekusa.com

## Project X Job\#: S210729D

Client Job or PO\#: 2849-CR Warmington Residential

Respectfully Submitted,


Eduardo Hernandez, M.Sc., P.E.
Sr. Corrosion Consultant
NACE Corrosion Technologist \#16592
Professional Engineer
California No. M37102

ehernandez@projectxcorrosion.com
Corrosion Control - Soil, Water, Metallurgy Testing Lab

Cations and Anions, except Sulfide and Bicarbonate, tested with Ion Chromatography
$\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}=$ milligrams per kilogram (parts per million) of dry soil weight
$\mathrm{ND}=0=$ Not Detected $\mid \mathrm{NT}=$ Not Tested | Unk $=$ Unknown
ND $=0=$ Not Detected $\mid \mathrm{NT}=$ Not Tested $\mid$ Unk $=$ Unknown
Chemical Analysis performed on 1:3 Soil-To-Water extract
Analysis performed on 1:3 Soil-To-Water extract
PPM $=\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg}$ (soil) $=\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{L}$ (Liquid)

## Soil Analysis Lab Results

| Client: GeoTek, Inc. <br> Job Name: SWC Highland Ave Medical Center Or, San Bernardino <br> Client Job Number: 2849-CR Warmington Residential <br> Project X Job Number: S210729D <br> August 2, 2021 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Meltod | ${ }_{\substack{\text { AsTM } \\ \text { D4327 }}}^{\text {a }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { AstM } \\ \text { D4327 }}}^{\text {at }}$ |  | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ASTM } \\ \text { G187 }}}$ |  | ${ }_{\text {Astm }}^{\text {da972 }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ASTMM } \end{aligned}$ |  | Astm | Astm | Astm | ${ }^{\text {ASTM }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { AstM }}}^{\text {D6919 }}$ | ASTM |  | $\mathrm{ASTM}^{\text {ATM }}$ | ${ }_{\substack{\text { ASTM } \\ \text { D432 }}}^{\text {at }}$ |
| Bore\# / Description | Depth | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Sulfates } \\ & \text { Sulfate } \end{aligned}$ |  | Chlorides |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Resesistivity } \\ \text { As Recid Mininum } \end{gathered}$ |  | pH | $\begin{array}{\|c} \hline \text { Redox } \\ \hline \text { (mv) } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  | Ammonium <br> $\underset{(\mathrm{mg} / \mathrm{kg})}{\mathrm{NH}_{4}}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Fluoride (mente | Phosphate (mg/kg) (mg/kg) |
|  | (t) | (mg/k) | (m\%) | (mmkg) | (w*) | (Ohm-cm) | (Oommem) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $2849-C R$ B5 @ | 0-5 | 20.6 | 0.0021 | 6.0 | 0.0006 | 241,200 | 14,070 | 8.4 | 132 | $<0.01$ | 0.2 | 3.0 | 0.03 | 16.3 | 4.9 | 33.7 | 210.3 | 3.1 | 2.7 |



## APPENDIX C

## PERCOLATION DATA SHEETS \& PORCHET CALCULATIONS

Proposed Single-Family Residential Development<br>Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive<br>San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California

Project No. 2849-CR

| Client: | Warmington Residential |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project: | APN 0143-191-59 |
| Project No: | 2849-CR |
| Date: | 7/27/202I |

## Boring No.

I-I

Infiltration Rate (Porchet Method)

| Time Interval, $\Delta \mathrm{t}=$ | 10 |
| :---: | :---: |
| Final Depth to Water, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{F}}=$ | 51.75 |
| Test Hole Radius, $\mathrm{r}=$ | 4 |
| Initial Depth to Water, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}}=$ | 40 |
| Total Test Hole Depth, $\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}=$ | 60 |
| Equation - | $\Delta \mathrm{H}(60 \mathrm{r})$ |
|  | $\Delta t\left(r+2 \mathrm{H}_{\text {avg }}\right)$ |
| $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{T}}-\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{O}}=$ | 20 |
| $H_{F}=D_{T}-D_{F}=$ | 8.25 |
| $\Delta H=\Delta D=H_{0}-H_{F}=$ | 11.75 |
| $\operatorname{Havg}=\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{F}}\right) / 2=$ | 14.125 |
| $I_{t}=$ |  |


| Client: | Warmington Residential |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project: | APN 0143-191-59 |
| Project No: | 2849-CR |
| Date: | 7/27/202I |

## Boring No.

 I-2
## Infiltration Rate (Porchet Method)



PERCOLATION DATA SHEET

Project:
Test Hole No.: $\qquad$ Tested By: $\qquad$
Depth of Hole As Drilled: $\qquad$ $60^{\circ}$ Before Test: $\qquad$ $60^{\circ}$

Job No.: $2849-c R$ Date: $\qquad$ After Test: $\qquad$ $60^{\circ}$



Project:
Test Hole No.: $\qquad$ Tested By:

Depth of Hole As Drilled: $\qquad$ $60^{\circ}$ Before Test:

Job No.: 2849 -cR.
Date: $1 / 26,27 / 2021$.
After Test: $\qquad$


## APPENDIX D

## LIQUEFACTION ANALYSIS

# Proposed Single-Family Residential Development Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California 

 Project No. 2849-CR
# Copyright by CivilTech Software www. civiltech.com 

## *******************

Font: Courier New, Regular, Size 8 is recommended for this report. Licensed to , 8/11/2021 1:44:25 PM

Input File Name: UNTITLED
Title: APN 0143-191-59
Subtitle: Seismic Settlement
Input Data:
Surface Elev.=1235
Hole No.=B-
Depth of Hole=50.00 ft
Water Table during Earthquake $=100.00 \mathrm{ft}$
Water Table during In-Situ Testing= 100.00 ft
Max. Acceleration $=1.07 \mathrm{~g}$
Earthquake Magnitude=7.30
No-Liquefiable Soils: CL, OL are Non-Liq. Soil

1. SPT or BPT Calculation.
2. Settlement Analysis Method: Ishihara / Yoshimine
3. Fines Correction for Liquefaction: Idriss/Seed
4. Fine Correction for Settlement: During Liquefaction*
5. Settlement Calculation in: All zones*
6. Hammer Energy Ratio, $\quad \mathrm{Ce}=1.25$
7. Borehole Diameter,
$\mathrm{Cb}=1$
8. Sampling Method,
9. User request factor of safety (apply to CSR), User= 1 Plot one CSR curve (fs1=User)
10. Average two input data between two Depths: Yes*

* Recommended Options
\(\left.$$
\begin{array}{llll}\begin{array}{l}\text { In-Situ } \\
\text { Depth } \\
\mathrm{ft}\end{array} & \mathrm{SPT} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Test } \\
\text { ft }\end{array} & \begin{array}{l}\text { Gatama } \\
\text { pcf }\end{array}\end{array}
$$ \begin{array}{l}Fines <br>

\%\end{array}\right]\)| 1.00 | 50.00 | 115.00 | 20.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 3.00 | 50.00 | 115.00 | 20.00 |
| 5.00 | 50.00 | 115.00 | 20.00 |
| 7.50 | 35.00 | 106.00 | 10.00 |
| 10.00 | 29.00 | 113.00 | 10.00 |
| 15.00 | 25.00 | 113.00 | 20.00 |
| 20.00 | 35.00 | 116.00 | 20.00 |


| 25.00 | 37.00 | 116.00 | 25.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 30.00 | 54.00 | 116.00 | 12.00 |
| 35.00 | 42.00 | 116.00 | 20.00 |
| 40.00 | 44.00 | 116.00 | 20.00 |
| 45.00 | 54.00 | 116.00 | 20.00 |
| 50.00 | 48.00 | 116.00 | 20.00 |

## Output Results:

Calculation segment, $\mathrm{dz}=0.050 \mathrm{ft}$
User defined Print Interval, $d p=1.00 \mathrm{ft}$
Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA), a_max $=1.07 \mathrm{~g}$
CSR Calculation:
Depth gamma sigma gamma' sigma' rd mZ a(z) CSR $x$ fs1 =CSRfs
ft pcf atm pcf atm g g

| - | 1.00 | 115.00 | 0.054 | 115.00 | 0.054 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.69 | 2.00 | 115.00 | 0.109 | 115.00 | 0.109 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| 0.69 | 3.00 | 115.00 | 0.163 | 115.00 | 0.163 | 0.99 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| 0.69 | 4.00 | 115.00 | 0.217 | 115.00 | 0.217 | 0.99 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| 0.69 | 5.00 | 115.00 | 0.272 | 115.00 | 0.272 | 0.99 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| 0.69 | 6.00 | 111.40 | 0.325 | 111.40 | 0.325 | 0.99 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.69 | 1.00 |
| 0.69 | 7.00 | 107.80 | 0.377 | 107.80 | 0.377 | 0.98 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 8.00 | 107.40 | 0.428 | 107.40 | 0.428 | 0.98 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 9.00 | 110.20 | 0.479 | 110.20 | 0.479 | 0.98 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 10.00 | 113.00 | 0.532 | 113.00 | 0.532 | 0.98 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 11.00 | 113.00 | 0.585 | 113.00 | 0.585 | 0.97 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 12.00 | 113.00 | 0.638 | 113.00 | 0.638 | 0.97 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.68 | 1.00 |
| 0.68 | 13.00 | 113.00 | 0.692 | 113.00 | 0.692 | 0.97 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| 0.67 | 14.00 | 113.00 | 0.745 | 113.00 | 0.745 | 0.97 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| 0.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

$\begin{array}{llllllllll}15.00 & 113.00 & 0.799 & 113.00 & 0.799 & 0.97 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.67 & 1.00\end{array}$
0.67
0.67
0.67
0.67
0.66
0.66
0.66
0.66
0.66
0.66
0.65
0.65
0.65
0.65
0.65
0.65
0.64
0.64
0.63
0.62
0.62
0.61
0.61
0.60
0.60

| 16.00 | 113.60 | 0.852 | 113.60 | 0.852 | 0.96 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 17.00 | 114.20 | 0.906 | 114.20 | 0.906 | 0.96 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| 18.00 | 114.80 | 0.960 | 114.80 | 0.960 | 0.96 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.67 | 1.00 |
| 19.00 | 115.40 | 1.014 | 115.40 | 1.014 | 0.96 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| 20.00 | 116.00 | 1.069 | 116.00 | 1.069 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| .21 .00 | 116.00 | 1.124 | 116.00 | 1.124 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |


| 22.00 | 116.00 | 1.179 | 116.00 | 1.179 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 23.00 | 116.00 | 1.234 | 116.00 | 1.234 | 0.95 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| 24.00 | 116.00 | 1.288 | 116.00 | 1.288 | 0.94 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.66 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$25.00 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.343 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.343$
$\begin{array}{lllll}0.94 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.65 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}26.00 & 116.00 & 1.398 & 116.00 & 1.398 & 0.94 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.65 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}27.00 & 116.00 & 1.453 & 116.00 & 1.453 & 0.94 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.65 & 1.00\end{array}$
$29.00 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.562 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.56$
0.93
0.000
1.070
0.65
1.00
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}30.00 & 116.00 & 1.617 & 116.00 & 1.617 & 0.93 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.65 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}31.00 & 116.00 & 1.672 & 116.00 & 1.672 & 0.92 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.64 & 1.00\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}32.00 & 116.00 & 1.727 & 116.00 & 1.727 & 0.91 & 0.000 & 1.070 & 0.64 & 1.00\end{array}$ 33.0
$116.00 \quad 1.782$
0.91
0.000
1.070
0.63
1.00
34.0
$1.837 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.83$
0.90
$0.000 \quad 1.070$
0.62
1.00
$35.00 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.891 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.891$
0.89
$0.000 \quad 1.070$
0.62
1.00
$36.00 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.946 \quad 116.00 \quad 1.946$
0.88
.000
1.070
0.61
1.00
37.0
$16.00 \quad 2.001$
0.000
1.070
0.61
1.00
38.0
116.002 .056
$116.00 \quad 2.056$
0.86
0.00
1.070
0.60
1.00
$39.00 \quad 116.00 \quad 2.111 \quad 116.00 \quad 2.11$
0.86
$0.000 \quad 1.070$
0.60
1.00

|  | 40.00 | 116.00 | 2.165 | 116.00 | 2.165 | 0.85 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.59 | 1.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.59 | 41.00 | 116.00 | 2.220 | 116.00 | 2.220 | 0.84 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.58 | 1.00 |
| 0.58 | 42.00 | 116.00 | 2.275 | 116.00 | 2.275 | 0.83 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.58 | 1.00 |
| 0.58 | 43.00 | 116.00 | 2.330 | 116.00 | 2.330 | 0.82 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| 0.57 | 44.00 | 116.00 | 2.385 | 116.00 | 2.385 | 0.82 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.57 | 1.00 |
| 0.57 | 45.00 | 116.00 | 2.440 | 116.00 | 2.440 | 0.81 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.56 | 1.00 |
| 0.56 | 46.00 | 116.00 | 2.494 | 116.00 | 2.494 | 0.80 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.56 | 1.00 |
| 0.56 | 47.00 | 116.00 | 2.549 | 116.00 | 2.549 | 0.79 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.55 | 1.00 |
| 0.55 | 48.00 | 116.00 | 2.604 | 116.00 | 2.604 | 0.78 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.54 | 1.00 |
| 0.54 | 49.00 | 116.00 | 2.659 | 116.00 | 2.659 | 0.78 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.54 | 1.00 |
| 0.54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0.53 | 50.00 | 116.00 | 2.714 | 116.00 | 2.714 | 0.77 | 0.000 | 1.070 | 0.53 | 1.00 |

CSR is based on water table at 100.00 during earthquake
CRR Calculation from SPT or BPT data:
Depth SPT Cebs Cr sigma' Cn (N1)60 Fines d(N1)60
(N1)60f CRR7. 5
ft
atm \%

| - | 1.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.054 | 1.70 | 79.69 | 20.00 | 9.95 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 89.63 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.109 | 1.70 | 79.69 | 20.00 | 9.95 |
| 89.63 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.163 | 1.70 | 79.69 | 20.00 | 9.95 |
| 89.63 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.217 | 1.70 | 79.69 | 20.00 | 9.95 |
| 89.63 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.272 | 1.70 | 79.69 | 20.00 | 9.95 |
| 89.63 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.00 | 44.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.325 | 1.70 | 70.12 | 16.00 | 6.55 |
| 76.68 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.00 | 38.00 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.377 | 1.63 | 58.01 | 12.00 | 3.39 |
| 61.40 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.00 | 33.80 | 1.25 | 0.75 | 0.428 | 1.53 | 48.46 | 10.00 | 1.92 |
| 50.38 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 9.00 | 31.40 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.479 | 1.44 | 48.21 | 10.00 | 1.91 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 50.12 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.00 | 29.00 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.532 | 1.37 | 42.26 | 10.00 | 1.78 |
| 44.04 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.00 | 28.20 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.585 | 1.31 | 39.17 | 12.00 | 2.79 |
| 41.96 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.00 | 27.40 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.638 | 1.25 | 36.44 | 14.00 | 3.75 |
| 40.18 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.00 | 26.60 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.692 | 1.20 | 33.98 | 16.00 | 4.60 |
| 38.58 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.00 | 25.80 | 1.25 | 0.85 | 0.745 | 1.16 | 31.75 | 18.00 | 5.34 |
| 37.10 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.00 | 25.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.799 | 1.12 | 33.22 | 20.00 | 6.25 |
| 39.47 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.00 | 27.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.852 | 1.08 | 34.73 | 20.00 | 6.37 |
| 41.11 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.00 | 29.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.906 | 1.05 | 36.18 | 20.00 | 6.49 |
| 42.67 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.00 | 31.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 0.960 | 1.02 | 37.57 | 20.00 | 6.60 |
| 44.17 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 19.00 | 33.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.014 | 0.99 | 38.91 | 20.00 | 6.71 |
| 45.61 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.00 | 35.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.069 | 0.97 | 40.20 | 20.00 | 6.81 |
| 47.00 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.00 | 35.40 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.124 | 0.94 | 39.65 | 21.00 | 7.20 |
| 46.85 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22.00 | 35.80 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.179 | 0.92 | 39.16 | 22.00 | 7.57 |
| 46.73 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.00 | 36.20 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.234 | 0.90 | 38.70 | 23.00 | 7.94 |
| 46.65 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.00 | 36.60 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.288 | 0.88 | 38.29 | 24.00 | 8.30 |
| 46.59 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.00 | 37.00 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.343 | 0.86 | 37.91 | 25.00 | 8.65 |
| 46.56 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.00 | 40.40 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.398 | 0.85 | 40.57 | 22.40 | 7.88 |
| 48.45 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.00 | 43.80 | 1.25 | 0.95 | 1.453 | 0.83 | 43.15 | 19.80 | 6.95 |
| 50.10 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28.00 | 47.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.508 | 0.81 | 48.05 | 17.20 | 6.01 |
| 54.06 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.00 | 50.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.562 | 0.80 | 50.60 | 14.60 | 4.70 |
| 55.30 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.00 | 54.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.617 | 0.79 | 53.08 | 12.00 | 3.23 |
| 56.31 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.00 | 51.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.672 | 0.77 | 49.88 | 13.60 | 4.08 |
| 53.96 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.00 | 49.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.727 | 0.76 | 46.80 | 15.20 | 4.86 |
| 51.66 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33.00 | 46.80 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.782 | 0.75 | 43.83 | 16.80 | 5.54 |
| 49.37 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 34.00 | 44.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.837 | 0.74 | 40.95 | 18.40 | 6.14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 47.09 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35.00 | 42.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.891 | 0.73 | 38.18 | 20.00 | 6.65 |
| 44.82 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36.00 | 42.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 1.946 | 0.72 | 37.99 | 20.00 | 6.63 |
| 44.62 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.00 | 42.80 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.001 | 0.71 | 37.82 | 20.00 | 6.62 |
| 44.44 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 38.00 | 43.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.056 | 0.70 | 37.66 | 20.00 | 6.61 |
| 44.27 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39.00 | 43.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.111 | 0.69 | 37.51 | 20.00 | 6.59 |
| 44.11 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.00 | 44.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.165 | 0.68 | 37.38 | 20.00 | 6.58 |
| 43.96 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41.00 | 46.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.220 | 0.67 | 38.59 | 20.00 | 6.68 |
| 45.27 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42.00 | 48.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.275 | 0.66 | 39.78 | 20.00 | 6.77 |
| 46.55 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43.00 | 50.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.330 | 0.66 | 40.95 | 20.00 | 6.87 |
| 47.81 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 44.00 | 52.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.385 | 0.65 | 42.09 | 20.00 | 6.96 |
| 49.05 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45.00 | 54.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.440 | 0.64 | 43.22 | 20.00 | 7.05 |
| 50.26 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46.00 | 52.80 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.494 | 0.63 | 41.79 | 20.00 | 6.93 |
| 48.72 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 47.00 | 51.60 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.549 | 0.63 | 40.40 | 20.00 | 6.82 |
| 47.22 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48.00 | 50.40 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.604 | 0.62 | 39.04 | 20.00 | 6.72 |
| 45.76 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49.00 | 49.20 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.659 | 0.61 | 37.72 | 20.00 | 6.61 |
| 44.33 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 50.00 | 48.00 | 1.25 | 1.00 | 2.714 | 0.61 | 36.42 | 20.00 | 6.51 |
| 42.93 | 0.50 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- CRR is based on water table at 100.00 during In-Situ Testing

Factor of Safety, - Earthquake Magnitude= 7.30:
Depth sigC' CRR7.5 x Ksig =CRRv x MSF =CRRm CSRfs F.S. $=$ CRRm $/$ CSRfs

| ft | atm |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.00 | 0.04 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |
| 2.00 | 0.07 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |
| 3.00 | 0.11 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |
| 4.00 | 0.14 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |
| 5.00 | 0.18 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |
| 6.00 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.69 | 5.00 |


| 7.00 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8.00 | 0.28 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| 9.00 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| 10.00 | 0.35 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| 11.00 | 0.38 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| 12.00 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.68 | 5.00 |
| 13.00 | 0.45 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 14.00 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 15.00 | 0.52 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 16.00 | 0.55 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 17.00 | 0.59 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 18.00 | 0.62 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.67 | 5.00 |
| 19.00 | 0.66 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 20.00 | 0.69 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 21.00 | 0.73 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 22.00 | 0.77 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 23.00 | 0.80 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 24.00 | 0.84 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.66 | 5.00 |
| 25.00 | 0.87 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 26.00 | 0.91 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 27.00 | 0.94 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 28.00 | 0.98 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 29.00 | 1.02 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.54 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 30.00 | 1.05 | 0.50 | 1.00 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.65 | 5.00 |
| 31.00 | 1.09 | 0.50 | 0.99 | 0.50 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 5.00 |
| 32.00 | 1.12 | 0.50 | 0.99 | 0.49 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.64 | 5.00 |
| 33.00 | 1.16 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.49 | 1.07 | 0.53 | 0.63 | 5.00 |
| 34.00 | 1.19 | 0.50 | 0.98 | 0.49 | 1.07 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 5.00 |
| 35.00 | 1.23 | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0.49 | 1.07 | 0.52 | 0.62 | 5.00 |
| 36.00 | 1.27 | 0.50 | 0.97 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.52 | 0.61 | 5.00 |
| 37.00 | 1.30 | 0.50 | 0.96 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 0.61 | 5.00 |
| 38.00 | 1.34 | 0.50 | 0.96 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 5.00 |
| 39.00 | 1.37 | 0.50 | 0.95 | 0.48 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 0.60 | 5.00 |
| 40.00 | 1.41 | 0.50 | 0.95 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 0.51 | 0.59 | 5.00 |
| 41.00 | 1.44 | 0.50 | 0.94 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 5.00 |
| 42.00 | 1.48 | 0.50 | 0.94 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.58 | 5.00 |
| 43.00 | 1.51 | 0.50 | 0.93 | 0.47 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 5.00 |
| 44.00 | 1.55 | 0.50 | 0.93 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 0.50 | 0.57 | 5.00 |
| 45.00 | 1.59 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 5.00 |
| 46.00 | 1.62 | 0.50 | 0.92 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 0.49 | 0.56 | 5.00 |
| 47.00 | 1.66 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.46 | 1.07 | 0.49 | 0.55 | 5.00 |
| 48.00 | 1.69 | 0.50 | 0.91 | 0.45 | 1.07 | 0.49 | 0.54 | 5.00 |
| 49.00 | 1.73 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 0.45 | 1.07 | 0.48 | 0.54 | 5.00 |
| 50.00 | 1.76 | 0.50 | 0.90 | 0.45 | 1.07 | 0.48 | 0.53 | 5.00 |

* F.S.<1: Liquefaction Potential Zone. (If above water table: F.S.=5) $\wedge$ No-liquefiable Soils or above Water Table. (F.S. is limited to 5, CRR is limited to 2, CSR is limited to 2)

CPT convert to SPT for Settlement Analysis:
Fines Correction for Settlement Analysis:

| Depth <br> ft | Ic | qc/N60 | qc1 |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |  | (N1) 60 | Fines <br> $\%$ | d(N1) 60 (N1) 60 s |  |
| 1.00 | - | - | - | 89.63 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 89.63 |
| 2.00 | - | - | - | 89.63 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 89.63 |
| 3.00 | - | - | - | 89.63 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 89.63 |
| 4.00 | - | - | - | 89.63 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 89.63 |
| 5.00 | - | - | - | 89.63 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 89.63 |
| 6.00 | - | - | - | 76.68 | 16.00 | 0.00 | 76.68 |
| 7.00 | - | - | - | 61.40 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 61.40 |
| 8.00 | - | - | - | 50.38 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 50.38 |
| 9.00 | - | - | - | 50.12 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 50.12 |
| 10.00 | - | - | - | 44.04 | 10.00 | 0.00 | 44.04 |
| 11.00 | - | - | - | 41.96 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 41.96 |
| 12.00 | - | - | - | 40.18 | 14.00 | 0.00 | 40.18 |
| 13.00 | - | - | - | 38.58 | 16.00 | 0.00 | 38.58 |
| 14.00 | - | - | - | 37.10 | 18.00 | 0.00 | 37.10 |
| 15.00 | - | - | - | 39.47 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 39.47 |
| 16.00 | - | - | - | 41.11 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 41.11 |
| 17.00 | - | - | - | 42.67 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 42.67 |
| 18.00 | - | - | - | 44.17 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.17 |
| 19.00 | - | - | - | 45.61 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 45.61 |
| 20.00 | - | - | - | 47.00 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 47.00 |
| 21.00 | - | - | - | 46.85 | 21.00 | 0.00 | 46.85 |
| 22.00 | - | - | - | 46.73 | 22.00 | 0.00 | 46.73 |
| 23.00 | - | - | - | 46.65 | 23.00 | 0.00 | 46.65 |
| 24.00 | - | - | - | 46.59 | 24.00 | 0.00 | 46.59 |
| 25.00 | - | - | - | 46.56 | 25.00 | 0.00 | 46.56 |
| 26.00 | - | - | - | 48.45 | 22.40 | 0.00 | 48.45 |
| 27.00 | - | - | - | 50.10 | 19.80 | 0.00 | 50.10 |
| 28.00 | - | - | - | 54.06 | 17.20 | 0.00 | 54.06 |
| 29.00 | - | - | - | 55.30 | 14.60 | 0.00 | 55.30 |
| 30.00 | - | - | - | 56.31 | 12.00 | 0.00 | 56.31 |
| 31.00 | - | - | - | 53.96 | 13.60 | 0.00 | 53.96 |
| 32.00 | - | - | - | 51.66 | 15.20 | 0.00 | 51.66 |
| 33.00 | - | - | - | 49.37 | 16.80 | 0.00 | 49.37 |
| 34.00 | - | - | - | 47.09 | 18.40 | 0.00 | 47.09 |
| 35.00 | - | - | - | 44.82 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.82 |
| 36.00 | - | - | - | 44.62 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.62 |
| 37.00 | - | - | - | 44.44 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.44 |
| 38.00 | - | - | - | 44.27 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.27 |
| 39.00 | - | - | - | 44.11 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 44.11 |
| 40.00 | - | - | - | 43.96 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 43.96 |
| 41.00 | - | - | - | 45.27 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 45.27 |
| 42.00 | - | - | - | 46.55 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 46.55 |
| 43.00 | - | - | - | 47.81 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 47.81 |
| 44.00 | - | - | - | 49.05 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 49.05 |
| 45.00 | - | - | - | 50.26 | 20.00 | 0.00 | 50.26 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


| 46.00 | - | - | - | 48.72 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 48.72 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 47.00 | - | - | - | 47.22 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 47.22 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 48.00 | - | - | - | 45.76 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 49.00 | - | - | - | 44.33 | 20.00 | 0.00 |
| 50.00 | - | - | - | 42.93 | 20.00 | 0.00 |

(N1)60s has been fines corrected in liquefaction analysis, therefore $\mathrm{d}(\mathrm{N} 1) 60=0$.

Fines=NoLiq means the soils are not liquefiable.

Settlement of Saturated Sands:
Settlement Analysis Method: Ishihara / Yoshimine
Depth CSRsf / MSF* =CSRm F.S. Fines (N1)60s Dr ec dsz
dsp $\quad$ S
ft $\%$ \% $\%$ in.
in. in.

No Settlement of Saturated Sands

Settlement of Saturated Sands=0.000 in.
qc1 and (N1)60 is after fines correction in liquefaction analysis
dsz is per each segment, $d z=0.05 \mathrm{ft}$
dsp is per each print interval, $d p=1.00 \mathrm{ft}$
$S$ is cumulated settlement at this depth
Settlement of Unsaturated Sands:
Depth sigma' sigC' (N1)60s CSRsf Gmax g*Ge/Gm g_eff ec7.5 Cec ec dsz dsp s
ft atm atm
\% in. in. in.

|  | 49.95 | 2.71 | 1.76 | 43.00 | 0.53 | 2077.18 | $7.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.3220 | 0.1018 | 1.01 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 0.1027 | $1.23 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.001 | 0.001 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 49.00 | 2.66 | 1.73 | 44.33 | 0.54 | 2078.06 | $6.9 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.3122 | 0.0987 | 1.01 |
| 0.0996 | $1.19 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.023 | 0.024 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 48.00 | 2.60 | 1.69 | 45.76 | 0.54 | 2078.38 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.3020 | 0.0955 | 1.01 |
| 0.0963 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.023 | 0.048 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 47.00 | 2.55 | 1.66 | 47.22 | 0.55 | 2078.07 | $6.8 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.2921 | 0.0924 | 1.01 |
| 0.0932 | $1.12 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.023 | 0.070 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 46.00 | 2.49 | 1.62 | 48.72 | 0.56 | 2077.15 | $6.7 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.2823 | 0.0893 | 1.01 |
| 0.0900 | $1.08 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.022 | 0.092 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 45.00 | 2.44 | 1.59 | 50.26 | 0.56 | 2075.59 | $6.6 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.2727 | 0.0862 | 1.01 |
| 0.0870 | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.021 | 0.114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 44.00 | 2.38 | 1.55 | 49.05 | 0.57 | 2035.49 | 6.6E-4 | 0.2784 | 0.0881 | 1.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.0888 | 1.07E-3 | 0.021 | 0.135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 43.00 | 2.33 | 1.51 | 47.81 | 0.57 | 1994.93 | 6.7E-4 | 0.2843 | 0.0899 | 1.01 |
| 0.0907 | 1.09E-3 | 0.022 | 0.156 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 42.00 | 2.28 | 1.48 | 46.55 | 0.58 | 1953.87 | 6.7E-4 | 0.8230 | 0.2603 | 1.0 |
| 0.2625 | 3.15E-3 | 0.046 | 0.202 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 41.00 | 2.22 | 1.44 | 45.27 | 0.58 | 1912.29 | 6.8E-4 | 0.8563 | 0.2708 | 1.0 |
| 0.2731 | 3.28E-3 | 0.064 | 0.267 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 40.00 | 2.17 | 1.41 | 43.96 | 0.59 | 1870.17 | 6.8E-4 | 0.8916 | 0.2820 | 1.01 |
| 0.2844 | 3.41E-3 | 0.067 | 0.334 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 39.00 | 2.11 | 1.37 | 44.11 | 0.60 | 1848.43 | 6.8E-4 | 0.8689 | 0.2748 | 1.0 |
| 0.2771 | 3.33E-3 | 0.067 | 0.401 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 38.00 | 2.06 | 1.34 | 44.27 | 0.60 | 1826.46 | 6.8E-4 | 0.8444 | 0.2670 | 1.01 |
| 0.2693 | 3.23E-3 | 0.066 | 0.467 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 37.00 | 2.00 | 1.30 | 44.44 | 0.61 | 1804.27 | 6.7E-4 | 0.8184 | 0.2588 | 1.01 |
| 0.2610 | 3.13E-3 | 0.064 | 0.530 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 36.00 | 1.95 | 1.27 | 44.62 | 0.61 | 1781.84 | 6.7E-4 | 0.7910 | 0.2501 | 1.01 |
| 0.2523 | 3.03E-3 | 0.062 | 0.592 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 35.00 | 1.89 | 1.23 | 44.82 | 0.62 | 1759.16 | 6.6E-4 | 0.7623 | 0.2411 | 1.01 |
| 0.2431 | 2.92E-3 | 0.059 | 0.651 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 34.00 | 1.84 | 1.19 | 47.09 | 0.62 | 1762.24 | 6.5E-4 | 0.6757 | 0.2137 | 1.01 |
| 0.2155 | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.055 | 0.706 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 33.00 | 1.78 | 1.16 | 49.37 | 0.63 | 1763.27 | 6.4E-4 | 0.6026 | 0.1906 | 1.01 |
| 0.1922 | $2.31 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.049 | 0.755 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 32.00 | 1.73 | 1.12 | 51.66 | 0.64 | 1762.31 | 6.2E-4 | 0.5401 | 0.1708 | 1.01 |
| 0.1722 | $2.07 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.044 | 0.798 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 31.00 | 1.67 | 1.09 | 53.96 | 0.64 | 1759.51 | 6.1E-4 | 0.4861 | 0.1537 | 1.01 |
| 0.1550 | $1.86 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.039 | 0.837 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 30.00 | 1.62 | 1.05 | 56.31 | 0.65 | 1755.09 | 6.0E-4 | 0.4392 | 0.1389 | 1.01 |
| 0.1401 | 1.68E-3 | 0.035 | 0.873 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 29.00 | 1.56 | 1.02 | 55.30 | 0.65 | 1714.77 | 5.9E-4 | 0.4222 | 0.1335 | 1.01 |
| 0.1347 | 1.62E-3 | 0.033 | 0.905 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 28.00 | 1.51 | 0.98 | 54.06 | 0.65 | 1671.70 | 5.9E-4 | 0.4079 | 0.1290 | 1.01 |
| 0.1301 | $1.56 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.032 | 0.937 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 27.00 | 1.45 | 0.94 | 50.10 | 0.65 | 1600.04 | 5.9E-4 | 0.4251 | 0.1344 | 1.01 |
| 0.1356 | $1.63 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.033 | 0.970 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 26.00 | 1.40 | 0.91 | 48.45 | 0.65 | 1552.15 | 5.9E-4 | 0.4146 | 0.1311 | 1.01 |
| 0.1322 | 1.59E-3 | 0.032 | 1.002 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 25.00 | 1.34 | 0.87 | 46.56 | 0.65 | 1501.37 | 5.9E-4 | 0.4068 | 0.1287 | 1.01 |
| 0.1297 | 1.56E-3 | 0.031 | 1.033 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 24.00 | 1.29 | 0.84 | 46.59 | 0.66 | 1470.73 | 5.8E-4 | 0.3753 | 0.1187 | 1.01 |
| 0.1197 | 1.44E-3 | 0.030 | 1.063 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 23.00 | 1.23 | 0.80 | 46.65 | 0.66 | 1439.68 | 5.6E-4 | 0.3454 | 0.1092 | 1.01 |
| 0.1102 | $1.32 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.028 | 1.091 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 22.00 | 1.18 | 0.77 | 46.73 | 0.66 | 1408.19 | 5.5E-4 | 0.3173 | 0.1003 | 1.01 |
| 0.1012 | $1.21 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.025 | 1.116 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 21.00 | 1.12 | 0.73 | 46.85 | 0.66 | 1376.22 | 5.4E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.053 | 1.169 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 20.00 | 1.07 | 0.69 | 47.00 | 0.66 | 1343.72 | 5.3E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | $3.83 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.077 | 1.245 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |


|  | 19.00 | 1.01 | 0.66 | 45.61 | 0.66 | 1295.88 | 5.2E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 1.322 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 18.00 | 0.96 | 0.62 | 44.17 | 0.67 | 1247.24 | 5.1E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.0 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 1.399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 17.00 | 0.91 | 0.59 | 42.67 | 0.67 | 1197.73 | 5.1E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.0 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 1.475 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 16.00 | 0.85 | 0.55 | 41.11 | 0.67 | 1147.27 | 5.0E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 1.552 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 15.00 | 0.80 | 0.52 | 39.47 | 0.67 | 1095.76 | 4.9E-4 | 0.8965 | 0.2936 | 1.0 |
| 0.2961 | 3.55E-3 | 0.073 | 1.624 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 14.00 | 0.75 | 0.48 | 37.10 | 0.67 | 1036.81 | 4.8E-4 | 0.8316 | 0.3194 | 1.0 |
| 0.3221 | 3.87E-3 | 0.085 | 1.709 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 13.00 | 0.69 | 0.45 | 38.58 | 0.67 | 1012.12 | 4.6E-4 | 0.6157 | 0.2146 | 1.01 |
| 0.2164 | 2.60E-3 | 0.063 | 1.773 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 12.00 | 0.64 | 0.41 | 40.18 | 0.68 | 985.55 | 4.4E-4 | 0.4556 | 0.1441 | 1.01 |
| 0.1453 | $1.74 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.042 | 1.815 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 11.00 | 0.59 | 0.38 | 41.96 | 0.68 | 957.15 | 4.1E-4 | 0.3364 | 0.1064 | 1.01 |
| 0.1073 | $1.29 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.030 | 1.845 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10.00 | 0.53 | 0.35 | 44.04 | 0.68 | 927.23 | 3.9E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.031 | 1.875 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9.00 | 0.48 | 0.31 | 50.12 | 0.68 | 918.78 | 3.5E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 1.952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8.00 | 0.43 | 0.28 | 50.38 | 0.68 | 869.59 | 3.4E-4 | 1.0000 | 0.3162 | 1.01 |
| 0.3189 | 3.83E-3 | 0.077 | 2.028 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7.00 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 61.40 | 0.68 | 872.26 | $3.0 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.2973 | 0.0940 | 1.01 |
| 0.0948 | $1.14 \mathrm{E}-3$ | 0.056 | 2.084 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6.00 | 0.33 | 0.21 | 76.68 | 0.69 | 872.32 | 2.6E-4 | 0.0851 | 0.0269 | 1.01 |
| 0.0271 | 3.26E-4 | 0.011 | 2.096 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5.00 | 0.27 | 0.18 | 89.63 | 0.69 | 839.84 | 2. $2 \mathrm{E}-4$ | 0.0478 | 0.0151 | 1.0 |
| 0.0153 | 1.83E-4 | 0.005 | 2.101 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4.00 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 89.63 | 0.69 | 751.18 | 2.0E-4 | 0.0781 | 0.0247 | 1.01 |
| 0.0249 | 2.99E-4 | 0.004 | 2.105 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3.00 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 89.63 | 0.69 | 650.54 | 1.7E-4 | 0.0389 | 0.0123 | 1.01 |
| 0.0124 | 1.49E-4 | 0.004 | 2.108 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.00 | 0.11 | 0.07 | 89.63 | 0.69 | 531.16 | 1.4E-4 | 0.0268 | 0.0085 | 1.01 |
| 0.0086 | 1.03E-4 | 0.002 | 2.111 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.00 | 0.05 | 0.04 | 89.63 | 0.69 | 375.59 | 1.0E-4 | 0.0201 | 0.0063 | 1.01 |
| 0.0064 | 7.68E-5 | 0.002 | 2.113 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Total Settlement of Saturated and Unsaturated Sands=2.113 in. Differential Settlement=1.056 to 1.394 in.

Units: Unit: qc, fs, Stress or Pressure = atm (1.0581tsf); Unit Weight =

```
pcf; Depth = ft; Settlement = in.
```

| $1 \mathrm{~atm}($ atmosphere $)=1.0581 \mathrm{tsf}(1 \mathrm{tsf}=1 \mathrm{ton} / \mathrm{ft2}=2 \mathrm{kip} / \mathrm{ft2})$$1 \mathrm{~atm}($ atmosphere $)=101.325 \mathrm{kPa}(1 \mathrm{kPa}=1 \mathrm{kN} / \mathrm{m} 2=0.001 \mathrm{Mpa})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: |
|  |  |
| SPT | Field data from Standard Penetration Test (SPT) |
| BPT | Field data from Becker Penetration Test (BPT) |
| qC | Field data from Cone Penetration Test (CPT) [atm (tsf)] |
| fs | Friction from CPT testing [atm (tsf)] |
| Rf | Ratio of fs/qc (\%) |
| gamma | Total unit weight of soil |
| gamma' | Effective unit weight of soil |
| Fines | Fines content [\%] |
| D50 | Mean grain size |
| Dr | Relative Density |
| sigma | Total vertical stress [atm] |
| sigma' | Effective vertical stress [atm] |
| sigC' | Effective confining pressure [atm] |
| rd | Acceleration reduction coefficient by Seed |
| $a_{-2}$ max. | Peak Ground Acceleration (PGA) in ground surface |
| mz | Linear acceleration reduction coefficient $X$ depth |
| a_min. | Minimum acceleration under linear reduction, mZ |
| CRRv CRR7. 5 | CRR after overburden stress correction, CRRv=CRR7.5 * Ksig Cyclic resistance ratio ( $M=7.5$ ) |
| Ksig | Overburden stress correction factor for CRR7.5 |
| CRRm | After magnitude scaling correction CRRm=CRRv * MSF |
| MSF | Magnitude scaling factor from M=7.5 to user input M |
| CSR | Cyclic stress ratio induced by earthquake |
| CSRfs | CSRfs=CSR*fs1 (Default fsi=1) |
| fs1 | First CSR curve in graphic defined in \#9 of Advanced page |
| fs2 | 2nd CSR curve in graphic defined in \#9 of Advanced page |
| F.S. | Calculated factor of safety against liquefaction |
| F.S. $=$ CRRm/CSRsf |  |
| Cebs | Energy Ratio, Borehole Dia., and Sampling Method Corrections |
| Cr | Rod Length Corrections |
| Cn | Overburden Pressure Correction |
| (N1)60 | SPT after corrections, (N1)60=SPT * $\mathrm{Cr} * \mathrm{Cn} * \mathrm{Cebs}$ |
| d(N1)60 | Fines correction of SPT |
| (N1)60f | (N1)60 after fines corrections, (N1)60f=(N1) $60+d(N 1) 60$ |
| Cq | Overburden stress correction factor |
| qc1 | CPT after Overburden stress correction |
| dqc1 | Fines correction of CPT |
| qc1f | CPT after Fines and Overburden correction, qc1f=qc1 + dqc1 |
| qcin | CPT after normalization in Robertson's method |
| Kc | Fine correction factor in Robertson's Method |
| qc1f | CPT after Fines correction in Robertson's Method |
| Ic | Soil type index in Suzuki's and Robertson's Methods |
| CSRm | After magnitude scaling correction for Settlement |

calculation CSRm=CSRsf / MSF*

CSRfs
inputed fs MSF*
Page C.

Gmax
g_eff
$\mathrm{g} * \mathrm{Ge} / \mathrm{Gm}$
ec7.5
Cec
ec
NoLiq
ec Volumetric strain for saturated sands
dz Calculation segment, $\mathrm{dz}=0.050 \mathrm{ft}$
dsz Settlement in each segment, dz
dp User defined print interval
dsp Settlement in each print interval, dp
Cyclic stress ratio induced by earthquake with user
Scaling factor from CSR, MSF*=1, based on Item 2 of Shear Modulus at low strain gamma_eff, Effective shear Strain gamma_eff * G_eff/G_max, Strain-modulus ratio Volumetric Strain for magnitude=7.5 Magnitude correction factor for any magnitude Volumetric strain for unsaturated sands, ec=Cec * ec7.5 No-Liquefy Soils

References:

1. NCEER Workshop on Evaluation of Liquefaction Resistance of Soils. Youd, T.L., and Idriss, I.M., eds., Technical Report NCEER 97-0022. SP117. Southern California Earthquake Center. Recommended Procedures for Implementation of DMG Special Publication 117, Guidelines for Analyzing and Mitigating Liquefaction in California. University of Southern California. March 1999.
2. RECENT ADVANCES IN SOIL LIQUEFACTION ENGINEERING AND SEISMIC SITE RESPONSE EVALUATION, Paper No. SPL-2, PROCEEDINGS: Fourth International Conference on Recent Advances in Geotechnical Earthquake Engineering and Soil Dynamics, San Diego, CA, March 2001.
3. RECENT ADVANCES IN SOIL LIQUEFACTION ENGINEERING: A UNIFIED AND CONSISTENT FRAMEWORK, Earthquake Engineering Research Center, Report No. EERC 2003-06 by R.B Seed and etc. April 2003.

Note: Print Interval you selected does not show complete resul.ts. To get complete results, you should select 'Segment' in Print Interval (Item 12, Page C).

## APPENDIX E

## GENERAL EARTHWORK GRADING GUIDELINES

# Proposed Single-Family Residential Development <br> Highland Avenue and Medical Center Drive <br> <br> San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California 

 <br> <br> San Bernardino, San Bernardino County, California} Project No. 2849-CR

## GENERAL GRADING GUIDELINES

Guidelines presented herein are intended to address general construction procedures for earthwork construction. Specific situations and conditions often arise which cannot reasonably be discussed in general guidelines, when anticipated these are discussed in the text of the report. Often unanticipated conditions are encountered which may necessitate modification or changes to these guidelines. It is GeoTek's hope that these will assist the contractor to complete the project more efficiently by providing a reasonable understanding of the procedures that would be expected during earthwork and the testing and observation used to evaluate those procedures.

## General

Grading should be performed to at least the minimum requirements of governing agencies, Chapters 18 and 33 of the Uniform Building Code, CBC (2019) and the guidelines presented below.

## Preconstruction Meeting

A preconstruction meeting should be held prior to site earthwork. Any questions the contractor has regarding GeoTek's recommendations, general site conditions, apparent discrepancies between reported and actual conditions and/or differences in procedures the contractor intends to use should be brought up at that meeting. The contractor (including the main onsite representative) should review GeoTek's report and these guidelines in advance of the meeting. Any comments the contractor may have regarding these guidelines should be brought up at that meeting.

## Grading Observation and Testing

I. Observation of the fill placement should be provided by GeoTek's representative during grading. Verbal communication during the course of each day will be used to inform the contractor of test results. The contractor should receive a copy of the "Daily Field Report" indicating results of field density tests that day. If GeoTek's representative does not provide the contractor with these reports, GeoTek's office should be notified.
2. Testing and observation procedures are, by their nature, specific to the work or area observed and location of the tests taken, variability may occur in other locations. The contractor is responsible for the uniformity of the grading operations; GeoTek's observations and test results are intended to evaluate the contractor's overall level of efforts during grading. The contractor's personnel are the only individuals participating in all aspect of site work. Compaction testing and observation should not be considered as relieving the contractor's responsibility to properly compact the fill.
3. Cleanouts, processed ground to receive fill, key excavations, and subdrains should be observed by GeoTek's representative prior to placing any fill. It will be the contractor's responsibility to notify GeoTek's representative or office when such areas are ready for observation.

4. Density tests may be made on the surface material to receive fill, as considered warranted by this firm.
5. In general, density tests would be made at maximum intervals of two feet of fill height or every I,000 cubic yards of fill placed. Criteria will vary depending on soil conditions and size of the fill. More frequent testing may be performed. In any case, an adequate number of field density tests should be made to evaluate the required compaction and moisture content is generally being obtained.
6. Laboratory testing to support field test procedures will be performed, as considered warranted, based on conditions encountered (e.g., change of material sources, types, etc.) Every effort will be made to process samples in the laboratory as quickly as possible and in progress construction projects are GeoTek's first priority. However, laboratory workloads may cause in delays and some soils may require a minimum of $\mathbf{4 8}$ to $\mathbf{7 2}$ hours to complete test procedures. Whenever possible, GeoTek's representative(s) should be informed in advance of operational changes that might result in different source areas for materials.
7. Procedures for testing of fill slopes are as follows:
a) Density tests should be taken periodically during grading on the flat surface of the fill, three to five feet horizontally from the face of the slope.
b) If a method other than over building and cutting back to the compacted core is to be employed, slope compaction testing during construction should include testing the outer six inches to three feet in the slope face to determine if the required compaction is being achieved.
8. Finish grade testing of slopes and pad surfaces should be performed after construction is complete.

## Site Clearing

I. All vegetation, and other deleterious materials, should be removed from the site. If material is not immediately removed from the site it should be stockpiled in a designated area(s) well outside of all current work areas and delineated with flagging or other means. Site clearing should be performed in advance of any grading in a specific area.
2. Efforts should be made by the contractor to remove all organic or other deleterious material from the fill, as even the most diligent efforts may result in the incorporation of some materials. This is especially important when grading is occurring near the natural grade. All equipment operators should be aware of these efforts. Laborers may be required as root pickers.
3. Nonorganic debris or concrete may be placed in deeper fill areas provided the procedures used are observed and found acceptable by GeoTek's representative.


## Treatment of Existing Ground

I. Following site clearing, all surficial deposits of alluvium and colluvium as well as weathered or creep effected bedrock, should be removed unless otherwise specifically indicated in the text of this report.
2. In some cases, removal may be recommended to a specified depth (e.g., flat sites where partial alluvial removals may be sufficient). The contractor should not exceed these depths unless directed otherwise by GeoTek's representative.
3. Groundwater existing in alluvial areas may make excavation difficult. Deeper removals than indicated in the text of the report may be necessary due to saturation during winter months.
4. Subsequent to removals, the natural ground should be processed to a depth of six inches, moistened to near optimum moisture conditions and compacted to fill standards.
5. Exploratory backhoe or dozer trenches still remaining after site removal should be excavated and filled with compacted fill if they can be located.

## Fill Placement

I. Unless otherwise indicated, all site soil and bedrock may be reused for compacted fill; however, some special processing or handling may be required (see text of report).
2. Material used in the compacting process should be evenly spread, moisture conditioned, processed, and compacted in thin lifts six (6) to eight (8) inches in compacted thickness to obtain a uniformly dense layer. The fill should be placed and compacted on a nearly horizontal plane, unless otherwise found acceptable by GeoTek's representative.
3. If the moisture content or relative density varies from that recommended by this firm, the contractor should rework the fill until it is in accordance with the following:
a) Moisture content of the fill should be at or above optimum moisture. Moisture should be evenly distributed without wet and dry pockets. Pre-watering of cut or removal areas should be considered in addition to watering during fill placement, particularly in clay or dry surficial soils. The ability of the contractor to obtain the proper moisture content will control production rates.
b) Each six-inch layer should be compacted to at least 90 percent of the maximum dry density in compliance with the testing method specified by the controlling governmental agency. In most cases, the testing method is ASTM Test Designation D 1557.
4. Rock fragments less than eight inches in diameter may be utilized in the fill, provided:
a) They are not placed in concentrated pockets;
b) There is a sufficient percentage of fine-grained material to surround the rocks;
c) The distribution of the rocks is observed by, and acceptable to, GeoTek's representative.

5. Rocks exceeding eight (8) inches in diameter should be taken off site, broken into smaller fragments, or placed in accordance with recommendations of this firm in areas designated suitable for rock disposal. On projects where significant large quantities of oversized materials are anticipated, alternate guidelines for placement may be included. If significant oversize materials are encountered during construction, these guidelines should be requested.
6. In clay soil, dry or large chunks or blocks are common. If in excess of eight (8) inches minimum dimension, then they are considered as oversized. Sheepsfoot compactors or other suitable methods should be used to break up blocks. When dry, they should be moisture conditioned to provide a uniform condition with the surrounding fill.

## Slope Construction

I. The contractor should obtain a minimum relative compaction of 90 percent out to the finished slope face of fill slopes. This may be achieved by either overbuilding the slope and cutting back to the compacted core, or by direct compaction of the slope face with suitable equipment.
2. Slopes trimmed to the compacted core should be overbuilt by at least three (3) feet with compaction efforts out to the edge of the false slope. Failure to properly compact the outer edge results in trimming not exposing the compacted core and additional compaction after trimming may be necessary.
3. If fill slopes are built "at grade" using direct compaction methods, then the slope construction should be performed so that a constant gradient is maintained throughout construction. Soil should not be "spilled" over the slope face nor should slopes be "pushed out" to obtain grades. Compaction equipment should compact each lift along the immediate top of slope. Slopes should be back rolled or otherwise compacted at approximately every 4 feet vertically as the slope is built.
4. Corners and bends in slopes should have special attention during construction as these are the most difficult areas to obtain proper compaction.
5. Cut slopes should be cut to the finished surface. Excessive undercutting and smoothing of the face with fill may necessitate stabilization.

## UTILITY TRENCH CONSTRUCTION AND BACKFILL

Utility trench excavation and backfill is the contractor's responsibility. The geotechnical consultant typically provides periodic observation and testing of these operations. While efforts are made to make sufficient observations and tests to verify that the contractors' methods and procedures are adequate to achieve proper compaction, it is typically impractical to observe all backfill procedures. As such, it is critical that the contractor use consistent backfill procedures.


Compaction methods vary for trench compaction and experience indicates many methods can be successful. However, procedures that "worked" on previous projects may or may not prove effective on a given site. The contractor(s) should outline the procedures proposed, so that we may discuss them prior to construction. We will offer comments based on GeoTek's knowledge of site conditions and experience.
I. Utility trench backfill in slopes, structural areas, in streets and beneath flat work or hardscape should be brought to at least optimum moisture and compacted to at least 90 percent of the laboratory standard. Soil should be moisture conditioned prior to placing in the trench.
2. Flooding and jetting are not typically recommended or acceptable for native soils. Flooding or jetting may be used with select sand having a Sand Equivalent (SE) of 30 or higher. This is typically limited to the following uses:
a) shallow (I2 + inches) under slab interior trenches and,
b) as bedding in pipe zone.

The water should be allowed to dissipate prior to pouring slabs or completing trench compaction.
3. Care should be taken not to place soils at high moisture content within the upper three feet of the trench backfill in street areas, as overly wet soils may impact subgrade preparation. Moisture may be reduced to $2 \%$ below optimum moisture in areas to be paved within the upper three feet below sub grade.
4. Sand backfill should not be allowed in exterior trenches adjacent to and within an area extending below a I:I projection from the outside bottom edge of a footing unless it is similar to the surrounding soil.
5. Trench compaction testing is generally at the discretion of the geotechnical consultant. Testing frequency will be based on trench depth and the contractors' procedures. A probing rod would be used to assess the consistency of compaction between tested areas and untested areas. If zones are found that are considered less compact than other areas, this would be brought to the contractor's attention.

## JOB SAFETY

## General

Personnel safety is a primary concern on all job sites. The following summaries are safety considerations for use by all GeoTek employees on multi-employer construction sites. On ground personnel are at highest risk of injury and possible fatality on grading construction projects. The company recognizes that construction activities will vary on each site and that job site safety is the contractor's responsibility. However, it is, imperative that all personnel be safety conscious to avoid accidents and potential injury.


In an effort to minimize risks associated with geotechnical testing and observation, the following precautions are to be implemented for the safety of GeoTek's field personnel on grading and construction projects.
I. Safety Meetings: GeoTek's field personnel are directed to attend the contractor's regularly scheduled safety meetings.
2. Safety Vests: Safety vests are provided for and are to be worn by GeoTek's personnel while on the job site.
3. Safety Flags: Safety flags are provided to GeoTek's field technicians; one is to be affixed to the vehicle when on site, the other is to be placed atop the spoil pile on all test pits.

In the event that the contractor's representative observes any of GeoTek's personnel not following the above, we request that it be brought to the attention of GeoTek's office.

## Test Pits Location, Orientation and Clearance

The technician is responsible for selecting test pit locations. The primary concern is the technician's safety. However, it is necessary to take sufficient tests at various locations to obtain a representative sampling of the fill. As such, efforts will be made to coordinate locations with the grading contractors authorized representatives (e.g., dump man, operator, supervisor, grade checker, etc.), and to select locations following or behind the established traffic pattern, preferably outside of current traffic. The contractors authorized representative should direct excavation of the pit and safety during the test period. Again, safety is the paramount concern.

Test pits should be excavated so that the spoil pile is placed away from oncoming traffic. The technician's vehicle is to be placed next to the test pit, opposite the spoil pile. This necessitates that the fill be maintained in a drivable condition. Alternatively, the contractor may opt to park a piece of equipment in front of test pits, particularly in small fill areas or those with limited access.

A zone of non-encroachment should be established for all test pits (see diagram below). No grading equipment should enter this zone during the test procedure. The zone should extend outward to the sides approximately 50 feet from the center of the test pit and 100 feet in the direction of traffic flow. This zone is established both for safety and to avoid excessive ground vibration, which typically decreases test results.


TEST PIT SAFETY PLAN


## Slope Tests

When taking slope tests, the technician should park their vehicle directly above or below the test location on the slope. The contractor's representative should effectively keep all equipment at a safe operation distance (e.g., 50 feet) away from the slope during testing.

The technician is directed to withdraw from the active portion of the fill as soon as possible following testing. The technician's vehicle should be parked at the perimeter of the fill in a highly visible location.

## Trench Safety

It is the contractor's responsibility to provide safe access into trenches where compaction testing is needed. Trenches for all utilities should be excavated in accordance with CAL-OSHA and any other applicable safety standards. Safe conditions will be required to enable compaction testing of the trench backfill.

All utility trench excavations in excess of 5 feet deep, which a person enters, are to be shored or laid back. Trench access should be provided in accordance with OSHA standards. GeoTek personnel are directed not to enter any trench by being lowered or "riding down" on the equipment.

GeoTek personnel are directed not to enter any excavation which;
I. is 5 feet or deeper unless shored or laid back,
2. exit points or ladders are not provided,
3. displays any evidence of instability, has any loose rock or other debris which could fall into the trench, or
4. displays any other evidence of any unsafe conditions regardless of depth.

If the contractor fails to provide safe access to trenches for compaction testing, GeoTek company policy requires that the soil technician withdraws and notifies their supervisor. The contractor's representative will then be contacted in an effort to affect a solution. All backfill not tested due to safety concerns or other reasons is subject to reprocessing and/or removal.

## Procedures

In the event that the technician's safety is jeopardized or compromised as a result of the contractor's failure to comply with any of the above, the technician is directed to inform both the developer's and contractor's representatives. If the condition is not rectified, the technician is required, by company policy, to immediately withdraw and notify their supervisor. The contractor's representative will then be contacted in an effort to affect a solution. No further testing will be performed until the situation is rectified. Any fill placed in the interim can be considered unacceptable and subject to reprocessing, recompaction or removal.

In the event that the soil technician does not comply with the above or other established safety guidelines, we request that the contractor bring this to technicians' attention and notify GeoTek project manager or office. Effective communication and coordination between the contractors' representative and the field technician(s) is strongly encouraged in order to implement the above safety program and safety in general.

The safety procedures outlined above should be discussed at the contractor's safety meetings. This will serve to inform and remind equipment operators of these safety procedures particularly the zone of non-encroachment.

The safety procedures outlined above should be discussed at the contractor's safety meetings. This will serve to inform and remind equipment operators of these safety procedures particularly the zone of non-encroachment.


## Educational Material



Water-Based Paints
Use water-based paints whenever possible. They are less toxic than oil-based paints and easier to clean un. Look for products labeled "latex" or "lieans with water."

Paints, solvents, adhesives and other toxic chemicals used in painting often make their way into the San Bernardino County storm drain system and do not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This pollutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and wildlife. Follow these simple tips to prevent pollution and protect our health.


Paint Removal
Sweep up paint stripping residue, chips and dust instead of hosing into the street and dispose of them safely at a household hazardous waste collection facility. Call (800) CLEANUP for the facility in your area.

## Exterior Paint

 RemovalWhen stripping or cleaning building exteriors with hightpressure water, block nearby storm drains and divert washwater onto a designated dirt area. Ask your local wastewater treatment authority if you can collect building cleaning water and discharge it to the sewer.


Paintiny Cleanup
Never clean brushes or rinse paint containers in the street, gutter or near a storm drain. Clean waterbased paints in the sink. Clean oil-based paints with thinner, which can be reused by putting it in a jar to settle out the paint particles and then pouring off the clear liquid for future use. Wrap dried paint residue in newspaper and dispose of it in the trash.


Recycling Paint
Recycle leftover paint at a household hazardous waste collection faclity, save it for touch ups or give it to someone who can use it, like a theatre group, school, city or conmmunity organization.

## Pollution Prendention

## HOME \& GARDEN

Yard waste and household toxics like paints and pesticides often make their way into the San Bernardino County storm drain system and do not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This poliutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and wildlife. Follow these simple tips to prevent pollution and protect your health.


Recycle Household Hazanious Waste Household products like paint, pesticites, solvents and cleaners are too dangerous to dump and too toxic to trash. Take them to be recycled at a convenient household hazardous waste



## Disposing of Yard Waste

Recycle leaves, grass clippings and other yard waste, instead of blowing, sweeping or hosing into the street. Try grasscycling, leaving grass clipings on your lawn instead of using a grass catcher. The clippings act as a natural fertilizer, and because grass is mostly water, it also irrigates your lawn, conserving water.

## Planting in the Yard

Produce less yard waste and save water by planting low maintenance, drought-tolerant trees and shrubs. Using drip irritgation, soaker hoses or micro-spray systems for flower beds and vegetation can also help reduce your water bill and prevent runoff.



Use Fertilizers \& Pesticides Safely Fertilizers and pesticides are often carried into the storm drain system by sprinkler runoff. Try using organic or non-toxic alternatives. If you use chemical fertilizers or pestioides, avoid applying near curbs and driveways and never apply before a rain.


## Use Water Wisely

Cult your water costs and prevent runoff by control ing the amount of water and direction of sprinklers. The average lawn needs about an inch of water a week, including rainfall, or 10 to 20 minutes of watering. A half-inch per week is enough for fall and spring. Sprinklers should be on long enough to allow water to soak into the ground but not so long as to cause runoff.

To report illegal dumping or for more information
on stormwater pollution prevention, call:
1 (800) CLEANUP
www. 1800 cleanup.org

# Fertilizer Tips to Prevent Pollution 

Water that runs off your lawn and garden can carry excess fertilizer into the San Bernardino County storm drain system, and it does not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This pollutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and wildlife. Follow these simple tips to prevent pollution and protect your health:

- Read the product label and follow the directions carefully, using only as directed.
- Avoid applying near driveways or gutters.
- Never apply fertilizer before a rain.
- Store fertilizers and chemicals in a covered area and in sealed, waterproof containers.
- Take unwanted lawn or garden chemicals to a household hazardous waste collection facility. Call (800) 253-2687.
- Use non-toxic products for your garden and lawn whenever possible.

To report illegal dumping or for more information on Stormwater pollution prevention, call:


## 1 (800) CLEANUP

www. 1800 cle anup.org

# Pollution Prieveiention AUTO MAINTENANCE <br> Oil, grease, anti-freeze and other toxic automotive fluids often make their way into the San Bernardino County storm drain system, and do not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This pollutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and williffe. Follow these best management pracices toprevent pollution and protect public health. 



Cleaning Auto Parts
Scrape parts with a wire brush or use a bake oven rather than liquid cleaners. Arrange drip pans, drying racks and drain boards so that fluids are directed back into the parts washer or the fluid holding tank. Do not wash parts or equipment in a shop sink, parking lot, driveway or street.


Storing Hazardous Waste
Keep your liquid waste segregated.
Many fluids can be recycled via hazardous waste disposal companies if they are not mixed. Store all materials under cover with spill containment or inside to prevent contamination of rainwater runoff.


Metal Grinding and Polishing
Keep a bin under your lathe or yrinder to capture metal filings. Send uncontaminated filings to a scrap metal recycler for reclamation. Store metal filings in a covered container or indoors.


Cleaning Spills
Use dry methods for spill cleanup [sweeping, absorbent materials), Follow your hazardous materials response plan, as filed with your local fire department or other hazardous materials authority. Be sure that all employees are aware of the plan and are capable of implementing each phase. To report serious toxic spills, call 911.


Preventing Leaks and Spills
Placedrip pans underneath to capture fluids. Use absorbent cleaning agents instear of water to clean work areas.


Proper Disposal of Hazardous Waste
Recycle used motor oil and oil filters, anti-freeze and other hazardous automotive fluids, batteries, tires and metal filings collected from grinding or polishing auto parts. Contact a licensed hazardous waste hauler. For more recycling information, call (909) 386-8401.


## Pick up after your pooch to curb pollurtion.



Maybe you weren't aware, but dog waste left on the ground gets into storm drains, polluting rivers, lakes and beaches.

The bacteria and risk of disease threatens the heath of our kids and communities, Wherever you live in San Bernardino County, this pollution is a problem. The answer? Pick up after your dog, to help prevent pollution and protect our health. Ifs' in your hands.


## Pollution Píevention

FRESH CONCREIE \& MORTAR APPLICATION

Cement wash, sediment, velicle fluids, dust and hazardous debris from construction sites often make their way into the San Bernardino County storm drain system and do not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This pollutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and wildife. Follow these best management practices to prevent pollution and protect public health.


## Storing Materials

Keep construction materials and debris away from the street, gutter and storm drains. Secure apen bags of cement and cover exposed stockplles of soil, sand or gravel and excavated material with plastic sheeting, protected from rain, wind and runoff.



Ordering Materials \& Recycling Waste Reduce waste by ordering only the amounts of materials needed for the job. Ise recycled or recyelable materials whenever possible. When breaking up paving, recycle the pieces at a crusting company. You can also recycle broken asphalt, concrete, wood, and cleared vegetation. Non-recyclable materials should be taken to a landfill or disposed of as hazardous waste. Call [909] 386-8401 for reeyding and disposal information.

## Cleaning Up

Wash concrete dust onto designated dirt areas, not down driveways or into the street or storm drains. Wash oul concrete mixers and equipment in specified washout areas, where water can flow into a containment pond. Cement washwater can be receycled by pumping it back into cement mixers for reuse. Never dispose of cement washout into driveways, streets, gutters, storm drains or drainage ditches.


During Construction
Schedule excavation and grading during dry weather. Prevent mortar and cement from entering the street and storm drains by placing erosion controls. Setup small mixers on tarps or drop cloths, for easy cleanup of debris. Never bury waste material. Recycle or dispose of it as hazardous waste.


# Pollution Pierevention 

HOME REPAIR \& REMODELING


## Construction Projects

Keep construction debris away from the street, gutter and storm drains. Schedule grading and excavation projects for dry weather. Cover excayated material and stockpiles of soil, sand or gravel, protected from rain, wind and runoff. Prevent erosion by planting fast-growing annual and perennial grass, which can sitield and bind soll.

## Recycle Household Hazardous Waste

 Household deaners, paint and other home improvement products like wallpaper and tile adhesives are too toxic to trash. Recycle them instead, at a converient household hazardous waste collection facility. Call (800) CLEANUP for the facility in your area.Paints, solvents, adhesives and other toxic substances used in home repair and remodeling often make their way into the San Bernardino County storm drain system and do not get treated before reaching the Santa Ana River. This pollutes our drinking water and contaminates waterways, making them unsafe for people and wildlife. Follow these simple tips to prevent pollution and protect your health.


Landseaping \& Gardening
Avoid applying fertilizers or pesticide near curbs and driveways, and store covered, protected from rain, wind and runoff. Try using organic or nontoxic alternatives. Redice runoff and lower your water bill by using drip irrigation, soaker hoses or micro-spray systems. Recycle leaves instead of blowing, sweeping or raking them into the street, gutter or storm drain.

## Paint Removal

Paint stripping residue, chips and dust from marine paints and paints containing lead or tributyl tin are hazardous wastes. Sweep them up instead of hosing into the street and dispose of them safely at a household hazardous waste collection facility.

To report illegal dumping or for niore Information on stormwater pollition prevention, call:

Concrete and Masonry
Store bags of cement and plaster away from gutters and storm drains, and cover then to protect against rain, wind and runoff. Sweep or scoop up cement washout or concrete dust instead of hosing into driveways, streets, gutters or storm drains.

BMP Fact Sheets

CALCULATION DETALLS
LOADING $=$ HS20 \& HS25
APPROX. LINEAR FOOTAGE $=174$ If.
STORAGE SUMMARY
STORAGE VOLUME REQUIRED $=7,050$ cf
PIPE STORAGE VOLUME $=4,920 \mathrm{cf}$
BACKFILL STORAGE VOLUME $=2,255$ c.
-TOTAL STORAGE PROVIDED $=7,174$ cf.
PIPE DETALLS
DIAMETER $=72$ IN.
CORRUGATION = 5
GAGE $=16$
COATING $=$ ALI
WALL TYPE $=$ Perforated

- BARRELL SPACING = 36 in .

BACKFILL DETALLS
WIDTH AT ENDS $=12$

- ABOVE PIPE $=6$ IN.

BELOW PIPE = 9 in.


NOTES

- ALL RISER AND StUb dimensions are to centerline. al ALL RISER AND STUB DIMENSIONS ARE TO CENTERLINE. ALL NLETS, SHALL BE VERIIIED BY THE ENGINEER OF RECORD
PRIOR TO RELEASINGOR FABICNTON PRIOR TO RELEASING FOR FABRICATION.
- ALL FITTINGS AND REINFORCEMENT COMPLY WITH ASTM A998, - ALL RISERS AND STUBS ARE $2^{2} / 3^{\prime \prime} \times 1 / 2$ " CORRUGATION AND 16 GAGE UNLESS OTHERWISE NOTED.
RISERS TO BE FIELD TRIMMED TO. GRADE
QUANTITY OF PIPE SHOWN DOES NROT PROVIDE EXTRA PIPE OR CONNECTING THE SYSTEM TO EXISTING PIPE OR DRAINAGE STRUCTURES. OUN SYSTEM AS DETAE LLER PROVIDE
NOMINAL INLET ANDIOR OUTLETPIPE STUB FOR CONNECTION TO EXISTING DRAINAGE FACLITTIES. IF ADDITIONAL PIPE IS NEEDED IT IS THE RESPONSIBLITYY OF THE CONTRACTOR - BAND TYPE TO BE E ETERMINED UPON FINAL DESIGN.
-THE PROUECT SUMARY IS REFLECTIVE OF TH OYODS DESIG QUANTTITES ARE APPROX. AND SHOULD BE VERIFIED UP EXALVATION DOEE NOT COLSIDER ALL VARLIBLLES SUCHAS
SHORING AND ONLYACCOUNTS FOR MATERIAL WITHIN THE ESTIMATED EXCAVATION FOOTPRINT. - THESE DRAWINGS ARE FOR CONCEPTUAL PURPOSES AND DO
NOT REFLECT ANY LOCAL PREFERENCES OR REGULATIONS. PLEASE CONTACT YOUR LOCAL CONTECH REP FOR
MODIFICATIONS



|  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
|  |  |  |
| DATE | REVIION DESCRIPTION | $B Y$ |

## ASSEMBLY

 SCALE: $1^{\prime \prime}=10^{\prime}$
$\ldots 1$ INITAL FILL ENVELOPE $\ldots$

1. MINIMUM WIDTH DEPENDS ON SITE CONDITIONS AND ENGINEERING JUDGEMENT. FOUNDATIONIBEDDING PREPARATION
2) PRIOR TO PLACING THE BEDDING, THE FOUNDATION MUST BE CONSTRUCTED TO A UNIFORM AND STABLE GRADE. IN THE EVENT THAT UNSUITABLE FOUNDATION
MATERIALS ARE ENCOUNTERED DURING EXCAVATION THEY SHALL BE REMOVED MATERIALSARE ANCOUNTERED DURING EXCAVATION, THEY SHALL BE REMOVE
AND BROUGTEBACK TO THE GRADE WITH AFILL MATERIAL AS APPROVED BY
THE ENGINEER.
$5 \begin{aligned} & \text { HAUNCH ZONE MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED AND UNIFORMLY COMPACTED WITHOU } \\ & \text { SOFT SPOTS. }\end{aligned}$ BACKFILL
MATERIAL SHALL BE PLACED IN $88^{\circ}-10^{\prime \prime}$ MAXIMUM LIFTS. INADEQUATE COMPACTION CAN LEAD TO EXCESSIVE DEFLECTIONS WITHIN THE SYSTEM AND SETTLEMENT OF THE
SOILS OVER THE SYSTEM. BACKFIL SHALL BE PLACED SUCH THAT THERE IS NO MOR
 THE LENGTH OF THE SYSTEM AT THE SAME RATE TO AVOID DIFFERENTIAL LOADING ONANY PIPES IN THE SYSTEM.

EQUIPMENT USED TO PLACE AND COMPACT THE BACKFILL SHALL BE OF A SIZE AND
TYPE SO AS NOT TO DISTORT DAMAGE OR DISPLACE THE PIPE ATTENTION MUST BE GIVEN TO PROVIDING ADEQUATE MIIIUM COVER FOR SUCH EQUPMENT BE GIVEN TO PROVIDING ADEQUATE MINIMUM COVER FOR SUCHE EQUIPMEN
MAINTAIN BALANCED LOADING ON ALL PIPES IN THE SYSTEM DURING ALL MAINTAN BALANCE

OTHER ALTERNATE BACKFILL MATERIAL MAY BE ALLOWED DEPENDING ON SITE SPECIFIC CONDITIONS. REFER TO TYPICAL BACKFILL DETALL FOR MATERIAL REQUIRED.

$5^{\circ} \times 1$ " CORRUGATION - STEEL ONLY
EDGE SPACING EQUAL ON BOTH SIDES


NOTES:
PERFORATIONS MEET AASHTO AND ASTM SPECIIFICATIONS PERFORATION OPEN AREA PER ANQUARE FPOOT OF PITIIE IS BASED
THE NOMINAL THE NOMINAL DIAMETER AND LENGTH OF FIPE. HOLES $83 / 8^{" .}$

TYPICAL PERFORATION DETAIL
SCALE: N.t.S.


PLAN
TYPICAL MANWAY DETAIL


## ELEVATION

## END

TYPICAL RISER DETAIL SCALE: N.T.S.
20 MIL HDPE ME
INER OVER TOP
INER OVER TOP OF PIPE


## TYPICAL SECTION VIEW

LINER OVER ROWS
SCALE: N.T.S
NOTE: IF SALTING AGENTS FOR SNOW AND I IE REMOVAL ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE IMPERMEABLE LINER RS INTNNDEDT HELPRROTECTT THE SYSTEM FRTM THE POTENTALADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM ACHANGE INTHE SURROUNDING ENVIRONMENT OVER A PERIOD OF TIME. PLEASE REFER
CORRUATED METALPIPE DETENTION DESIGN GUIDE FOR ADDITIONAL CORRUGATED
INFORMATION.

CONTECH
DYODS
DRAWING
Retention System-Medical Center Dr
Fontana, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

| (lect No.i |  | $\underset{93002021}{\text { ate }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Gen: | ${ }^{\text {DRAWN: }}{ }_{\text {pro }}$ |  |
| oro |  |  |
| CHECKED: |  | ove: |
| No. | D2 |  |

CONSTRUCTION LOADS
OR TEMPORARY CONSTRUCTION VEHICLE LOADS, AN EXTRA AMOUNT OF COMPACTED COVER MAY BE REQUIRED OVER THE TOP OF THE PIPE. THE HEIGHT-OF-COVER SHALL MEET THE MINIMUM REQUIREMENTS SHOWN IN THE TABLE BELOW,
THE USE O HEAY CONSTRUCTIN EQUPMTNT NECESSITATES GREATER PROTECTION FOR THE PIPE THAN FINISHED
GRADE COVER MINIMUMS FOR NORMAL HIGHWAY TRAFFIC.

| PIPE SPAN, <br> INCHES | AXLE LOADS (kips) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $18-50$ | $50-75$ | $75-110$ | $110-150$ |  |
|  | MINIMUM COVER (FT) |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2.0 | 2.5 | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| $12-42$ | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.0 |  |  |
| $48-72$ | 3.0 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| $78-120$ | 3.0 | 3.5 | 4.5 |  |  |
| $126-144$ | 3.5 | 4.0 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |

MINMMUM COVER MAY VARYY DEPENDING ONLOCAL CONDITIONS. THE CONTRACTOR MUST PROVIDE THE ADDITIONAL
COVER REQUIRED TO AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER IS MEASURED FROM THE TOP OF THE PIPE TO COVER REQUIRED TA AVOID DAMAGE TO THE PIPE. MINIMUM COVER
THE TOP OF THE MAITTAINED CONSTRUCTION ROADWAY SURFACE.
CONSTRUCTION LOADING DIAGRAM

## SCALE: N.T.S.

SPECIFICATION FOR DESIGNED DETENTION SYSTEM:

SCOPE
THIS SPECIFICATION COVERS THE MANUFACTURE AND INSTALLATION OF
THE MATERIAL
THE MATERIAL SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS
ALUMINIZED TYPE 2 STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE
THE GALVANIZED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE
REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-218 OR ASTM A-929.
THE POLYMER COATED STEEL COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE
APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-246 OR ASTM A-742.
THE ALUMINUM COILS SHALL CONFORM TO THE APPLICABLE
REQUIREMENTS OF AASHTO M-197 OR ASTM B-744.
CONSTRUCTION LOADS
MANUFACTURER'S OR NCSPA GUIDELINES THAN FINAL LOADS. FOLLOW THE


THESE DRAWINGS ARE FRR CONCEPTUAL
PURPOSES ANO DO NOT REFLLCT ANY LOCAL


PIPE THIPE SHALL BE MANUFACTURED IN ACCORDANCE TO THE APPLICABLE REQUIREMENTS LSTED BELOW:
ALUMIIIZED TYPE 2: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760 GALVANIZED: AASHTO M-36 OR ASTM A-760 POLYMER COATED: AASHTO M-245 ORASTM A-762 ALUMINUM: AASHTO M-196 OR ASTM B-745
HANDLING AND ASSEMBLY PIPE ASSOCIATION) FOR ALUMII NCSP'S (NATIONAL CORRUGATED STEE COATED STEEL SHALL BE INMACCORDANCE WITH THE MANUFACTURER'S
installation
SHALL BE INACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO STANDARD SPECIFICATIONS FOR
 B-788 (FOR ALUMINUM PIPE) AND IN CONFORMANCE WITH THE PROAET PLANS AND SPECIFICATIONS. IF THERE ARE ANY INCONSISTENCIES OR CONFLLCTS THE
SITE ENGINEER.

IT IS ALWAYS THE RESPONSIBLITY OF THE CONTRACTOR TO FOLLOW OSHA
GUIDELINES FOR SAFE PRACTICES.


ROUND OPTION PLAN VIEW
NOTES:

1. DESIGN IN ACCORDANCE WITH AASHTO, 17th EDITION.
2. DESIGN LOAD HS25.
3. EARTH COVER = $1^{\prime}$ MAX
4. CONCRETE STRENGTH $=3,500 \mathrm{psi}$
5. REINFORCING STEEL = ASTM A615, GRADE 60
6. PROVIDE ADDITIONAL REINFORCING AROUND HALF EACH SIDE. ADDITIONAL BARS TO BEIN HALF EACH SIDE. A
THE SAME PLANE.

| REINFORCING TABLE |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \varnothing \text { CMP } \\ & \text { RISER } \end{aligned}$ | A | $\otimes \mathrm{B}$ | REINFORCING | **BEARING PRESSURE (PSF) |
| ${ }^{24 "}$ | $\begin{gathered} 84^{\prime} \\ 4^{\prime} \times 4^{\prime} \end{gathered}$ | ${ }^{26 "}$ | \#5 @ 12" OCEW \#5 @ 12" OCEW | $\begin{aligned} & 2,410 \\ & \hline, 780 \end{aligned}$ |
| 30" | $\begin{gathered} 84^{\prime}-6 " \\ 4^{4}-6 \times 4^{\prime \prime}-6 " \end{gathered}$ | $32^{\prime \prime}$ | \#5 @ 12" OCEW \#5 @ 12" OCEW | $\begin{aligned} & 2,120 \\ & 1,550 \end{aligned}$ |
| 36" | ${ }^{85^{\prime}} \times 5^{\prime} 5^{\prime}$ | $38{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | \#5 @ 10" OCEW \#5 @ 10" OCEW | $\begin{aligned} & 1,890 \\ & 1,350 \end{aligned}$ |
| $42^{\prime \prime}$ | $\begin{gathered} 85^{-1}-65^{\prime \prime}-5^{\prime \prime} \\ 55^{-6 " 6 " ~} \end{gathered}$ | 44" | \#5 @ 10" OCEW \#5 @ 9 OCEW | $\begin{aligned} & 1,720 \\ & 1,210 \end{aligned}$ |
| $48{ }^{\prime \prime}$ | ${ }^{8} 6^{\prime} \times 6^{\prime} 6^{\prime}$ | 50" | \#5 @ 9" OCEW \#5 @ 8" OCEW | $\begin{aligned} & 1,600 \\ & 1,1,00 \end{aligned}$ |

**ASSUMED SOIL BEARING CAPACITY


SQUARE OPTION PLAN VIEW

TRIM OPENING WITH DIAGONAL \#4 BARS EXTEN BARS A MINIMUM OF 12 " BEYOND OPENING, BEND
BARS AS REQUIRED TO MAINTAN BAR COVER.
8. PROTECTION SLAB AND ALL MATERIALS TO BE
PROVIDED AND INSTALED BY CONTRACTOR.
9. Detall design by delta engineering, binghamton, ny.

## MANHOLE CAP DETAIL

sCALE: N.t.s.

CNENTENER Sounons

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS CONTECH
DYONWNG
DRAWN

DYO10714 Warmington
Retention System-Medical Center Dr
Fontana, CA
DETENTION SYSTEM

## CMP DETENTION INSTALLATION GUIDE

PROPER INSTALLATION OF A FLEXIBLE UNDERGROUND DETENTION SYSTEM WIL ENSURE LONG-IERM PERFORMANCE. THE CONFIGURATION OF THESE DIFFER FROM CONVENTIONAL FLEXIBLE PIIE CONSTRUCTION. CONTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS STRONGLY SUGGESTS SCHEDULING A PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING WITH YOUR LOCAL SALES ENGINEER TO DETERMINE IF ADDITIONAL MEASURES, NOT COVERED IN THIS GUIDE, ARE APPROPRIATE FOR YOUR SITE.

## FOUNDATION

CONSTRUCTA FOUNDATION THAT CAN SUPPORT THE DESIGN LOADING APLIED BY THE PIPE AND ADJACENT BACKFILL WEIGHT AS WELL AS MAINTAN dunng construction.
IF SOFT OR UNSUITABLE SOLLS ARE ENCOUNTERED, REMOVE THE POOR
SOILS DOWN TO A SUITABLE DEPTH AND THEN BUILD UP TO THE APPROPRIATE ELEVATION WITH A COMPETENT BACKFILL MATERIAL. THE APPROPRIATE ELEVATION WITH A COMPETENT BACKFILL MATERIAL. THE
STRUCURLFLIMATREIL GRAATTON SOUD NOTALOW TH
MIGRATION FF FINES, WHIICH CAN CAUSE SETTLEMENT OF THE DETENTION

 BE USED AS A SEPARAAOR. IN SOME CASSS, USING
GEOGRID REDUCES OVER ELCAVATION AND REPLAC
BACKHLLL

 GRADE. IF THE SUBGRADE IS CLAY OR RELATIVELY NON-POROUS AND THE
CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE WILL LAST FOR AN EXTENDED PERIOD OF TIME, IT IS BEST TO SLOPE THE GRADE TO ONE END OF THE SYSTEM. THIS WILL
ALLOW EXCESS WATER TO DRAIN QUICKLY, PREVENTING SATURATION OF THE ALLOW EXCESS
SUBGRADE.

## GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER

A SITE'S RESISTVITY MAY CHANGE OVER TIME WHEN VARIOUS TYPES OF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED, SUCH AS ROAD SALTS FOR DEICING AGENTS. IF SALTING AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE, A GEOMEMBRANE BARRIER IS RECOMMENDED WITH THE SYSTEM. THE THE POTENTIAL ADVERSE EFFECTS THAT MAY RESULT FROM THE USE OF SUCH AGENTS INCLUDING PREMATURE CORROSION AND REDUCED ACTUAL SERVICE LIFE. THE PROJECTS ENGINEER OF RECORD IS TO EVALUATE WHETHER SALTING
AGENTS WILL BE USED ONOR NEAR THE PROJECT STE, ANDUSE HAIIITER
BEST UUDGEMENTTO DETERMINE IF ANY ADDTIONALPROTECTIVE BEST JUDGEMENT TO DETERMINE IF ANY ADDITIONAL PROTECTVE
MEASURES ARE REQUIRED. BELOW IS A TYPICAL DETAIL SHOWING TH MEASURES ARE REQUIRED. BELOW ISA TYPICAL DETALIL SHOWING THE
PLACEMENOF AGEOEMBRNE BRRIER FOR RROJCCTS WHERE SALTING
AGENTS ARE USED ON AGENTS ARE USED ON OR NEAR THE PROJECT SITE.


## IN-SITU TRENCH WALL

fexcavation is required, the trench wall needs to be capable of SUPPORTING THE LOAD THAT THE PIPE SHEDL AS THE SYSTEM IS LOADED. IF
SOILS ARE NOT CAPABLE OF SUPPORTING THESE LAADS THE RIPE CANDFLEC PERFORM A SIMPLE SOIL PRESSURE CHECK USING THE APPLIED LOADS TO DETERMINE THE LIMITS OF EXCAVATION BEYOND THE SPRING LINE OF THE UTER MOST PIPES.
IN MOST CASES THE REQUIREMENTS FOR A SAFE WORK ENVIRONMENT AND
PROPER BACKFILL PLACEMENT AND COMPACTION TAKE CARE OF THIS CONCERN.


Beodic. well grade
Granular ano smaler

## BACKFILL PLACEMENT

material shall be worked into the pipe haunches by means of SHOVEL-SLICING, RODDING, AIR TAMPER, VIBRATORY ROD, OR OTHER EFFECTIVE METHODS.


IF AASHTO T99 PROCEDURES ARE DETERMINED INFEASIBLE BY THE ADEQUATE WHEN NO FURTHER YIELDING OF THE MATERIAL IS OBSERVED ADe ENGINEER OF RECORD (OR REPRESENTATIVE THEREOF) IS SATISFIED WITH
THELEVEL OF COMPACTION.

FOR LARGE SYSTEMS, CONVEYOR SYSTEMS, BACKHOES WITH LONG REACHES OR DRAGLINES WITH STONE BUCKETS MAY BE USED TO PLACE
BACKFLL. ONEE MINUM COVER FOR CONSTRUCTION LOADING ACROSS THE ENTIR WIDTH OF THE SYSTEM IS REACHED, ADVANCE THE EQUIPMEN TO THE END OF THE RECENTLY PLACED FLLL, AND BEGIN THE SEQUENCE
AGAIN UNTIL THE SYSTEM IS COMPLETELY BACKFILED. THIS TYPE OF CONSTRUCTION SEQUENCE PROVIDES ROOM FOR STOCKPILED BACKFIL DIRECTLY BEHIND THE BACKHOE, AS WELLAS THE MOVEMENT OF
CONSTRUCTION TRAFFIC. MATERIAL STOCKPILES ON TOP OF THE
 ANDERMINE THE PROPER COVER OVER THE PIPES TO ALOW THE DETERMINE THE PROPER COVER OVER THE PIPES TT ALLOW THE
MOVEMENTOF CONSTRUCTIONEQUPMENT SEE TABLE 1 , OR CONTACT YOUR
LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINERR.


WHEN FLOWABLE FILLIS USED, YOU MUST PREVENT PIPE FLOATATION. TYPICALLY, SMALLLLLFS ARE PLACED BETWEEN THE PIPES AND THEN
 BALANCE BETWEEN THE UPLIFT TORCE OF THE CLSM, THE OPPOSING
WEIGHT OF THE PIPE AND THE EFFECT OF OTHER RESTRAINNG WEIIH OO THE P PPE, AND THE EFFECT OF OTHER RESTRANING
MEASURES. THE PIPE CAN CARR LIMITED FLUD PRESSURE WITHOUT
PIP PIPE DISTORTION OR DISPLACEMENT, WHICH ALSO AFFECTS THE CLSM
LIFT THICKNESS. YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER CAN HELP LIFT THCICNESS. YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SAL
DETERMINE THE PROPER LIFT THICKNESS.


## CONSTRUCTION LOADING

TYPICALLY, THE MINIMUM COVER SPECIFIED FOR A PROJECT ASSUMES H-20 LIVE LOAD. BECAUSE CONSTRUCTION LOADS OFTEN EXCEED DESIGN LIV LOADS, INCREASED TEMPORARY MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS ARE
NECESSARY. SINCE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VARIES FROM JOB TO JOB NECESSARY. SINCE CONSTRUCTION EQUIPMENT VARIES FROM
T IS BEST TO ADDRESS EQUIPMENT SPECIFIC MINIMUM COVER REQUIREMENTS WITH YOUR LOCAL CONTECH SALES ENGINEER DURING YOUR PRE-CONSTRUCTION MEETING,

## ADDITIONAL CONSIDERATIONS

because most systems are constructed below-grade, rainfall CAN RAPIDLY FILL THE EXCAVATION; POTENTIALLY CAUSING FLOATATION AND MOVEMENT OF THE PREVIOUSLY PLACED PIPES. TO HELP MITIGATE POTENTIAL PROBLEMS, IT IS BEST TO START THE INSTALLATION AT THE AROUTE FOR THE WATER TO ESCAPE. TEMPORARY DIVERSION MEASURES MAY BE REQUIRED FOR HIGH FLOWS DUE TO THE RESTRICTED NATURE OF THE OUTLET PIPE.


## CMP DETENTION SYSTEM INSPECTION AND

ND STORMWATER DETENTION AND INFHTRATION SYSTEMS MUST E INSPECTED AND MAINTAINED AT REGULAR INTERVALS FOR PURPOSES O PERFORMANCE AND LONGEVITY.

## INSPECTION

nspection is the key to effective maintenance of cmp detention ANNUAL INSPECTIONL SITES Control orifices may need more frequent inspections. the rate at WHICH THE SYSTEM COLLECTS POLLUTANTS WILL DEPEND MORE ON SITE SPECIIIC ACTVITIES RATHER THAN THE SIZE OR CONFIGURATION OF THE YSTEM.

INSPECTIONS SHOULD BE PERFORMED MORE OFTEN IN EQUIPMENT WASHDOWN AREAS, IN CLIMATES WHERE SANDING ANDIOR SALTING OPERATIONS TAKE PLACE, AND IN OTHER VARIOUS INSTANCES IN WHICH ONE WOULD EXPECT HIGHER ACCUMULATIONS OF SEDIMENT OR ABRASIVE CORROSIVE CONDITIONS. A RECORD OF EAC
MAINTAINED FOR THE LIFE OF THE SYSTEM

## MAINTENANCE

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS SHOULD BE CLEANED WHEN AN INSPECTION REVEALS ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT OR TRASH IS CLOGGING THE DISCHARGE orifice.
ACCUMULATED SEDIMENT AND TRASH CAN TYPICALLY BE EVACUATED
THROUGH THE MANHOLE OVER THE OUTLET ORIFICE IF MANTENANCE
 SEATED FOLLOWING CLEANING ACTIVTITES. CONTECH SUGGESTS THATALL
 GET INSIDE THE SYSTEM TO PERFORM MAINTENANCE ACTIVITIES, ALL REGULATIONS SHOULD BE FOLLOWED.
ANNUAL INSPECTIONS ARE BEST PRACTICE FOR ALL UNDERGROUND SYSTEMS. DURING THIS INSPECTION, IF EVIDENCE OF SALTING/DE--CING AGENTS IS
 RINSED, INCLUDING ABOVE THE SPRING LINE SOON AFTER THE
AS PART OF THE MAINTENANCE PROGRAM FOR THE SYSTEM. MAINTAINING AN UNDERGROUND DETENTION OR INFILTRATION SYSTEM IS
EASTEST WHEN THERE IINO FLOW ENTRRNG THE SSTTM. FRR THIS
REASON, IT IS A GOOD IDEA TO SCHEDULE THE CLEANOUT DURING DRY EASIEST WH
RASON, II
WEATHER.

THE FOREGOING INSPECTION AND MAINTENANCE EFFORTS HELP ENSURE TO FUNCTION AS INTENDED BY IDENTIEYING RECOMMENDED REGULAR IT RELATED TO THE STRUCTURAL INEGRTY OF THE PPE OR THE SOUND
OF PIPE JOINT CONNECTIONS IS BEYOND THE SCOPE OF THIS GUIDE


$\begin{array}{llll}800-338-1122 & 513-645-7000 & 513-645-9933 \text { FAX }\end{array}$

CMP DETENTION SYSTEMS
CONTECH
DYOWNS
DRAN


## C䋇NTECH <br> ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS

## Corrugated Metal Pipe Infiltration System - Solutions - Guide

## Stormwater Solutions from Contech

## Selecting the Right Stormwater Solution Just Got Easier...

It's simple to choose the right stormwater solution to achieve your goals with the Contech Stormwater Solutions Staircase. First, select the runoff reduction practices that are most appropriate for your site, paying particular attention to pretreatment needs. If the entire design storm cannot be retained, select a treatment best management practice (BMP) for the balance. Finally, select a detention system to address any outstanding downstream erosion.


## DYODS Design Your Own Detention or Infiltration System

The Contech Design Your Own Detention System (DYODS ${ }^{\oplus}$ ) tool fully automates the layout process for stormwater detention and infilitration systems and produces CAD and PDF files that can be used for creating plans and specs, and for estimating total installed costs.

To use the Design Your Own Detention $\lambda$

Free, Online Tool Fully Automates the Layout Process or Infiltration System tool, visit: www.ContechES.com/dyods

## Subsurface Infiltration as a Stormwater Management Strategy

The only sure way to eliminate stormwater pollution is to eliminate stormwater runoff. In recognition of this fact, Green Infrastructure and Low Impact Development based stormwater management regulations prioritizing runoff reduction have proliferated throughout the United States.

Where site conditions allow, infiltration is typically the most cost effective and reliable runoff reduction approach. In urban environments where there are competing demands for land, subsurface infiltration can provide many of the benefits of landscape based systems but without requiring dedicated land area. Infiltration systems are commonly comprised of a pretreatment component designed to remove sediment, trash, and oil, followed by plastic, metal or concrete storage units surrounded by permeable stone creating a high voids storage gallery. Infiltration systems are typically designed to support vehicular loading and to withstand lateral pressures from surrounding soil that allows the overlying land to be used for virtually any non-building application.

Subsurface infiltration meets the objectives of LID by reducing runoff with the added benefit of saving land space in urban environments.



## CMP - the "Go To" Material for Subsurface Infiltration

The purpose of the storage vessel is to hold stormwater runoff underground while allowing it to infiltrate the surrounding soil. For the majority of applications, corrugated metal pipe (CMP) is the "go to" material for subsurface infiltration.

- 75+ year service life guidance for certain materials/ coatings in recommended environments.* Please refer to the Corrugated Metal Pipe Detention Design Guide for additional information.
- Various pipe coatings and materials are available to accommodate site-specific needs: Aluminized Steel Type 2 (ALT2), Galvanized, CORLIX ${ }^{\circledR}$ Aluminum, and Polymer Coated.
- Wide range of gages, corrugations, and shapes, in diameters 12" - 144".
- Pipe can be fully or partially perforated for infiltration, retention, or groundwater recharge applications.
- Custom access risers and manifolds provide direct access for maintenance.
- Outlet control devices can be incorporated within the system, eliminating the need for a separate structure.
- Customizable - a variety of fittings allow CMP to match most layout configurations.
- May be designed for heavy loading and high maximum cover.
- Contributes to LEED points.
- Available locally; quick turnaround time.
- The most economical installed solution.


## With its low cost, a wide variety of diameters, layout configurations, and materials, no other material can match CMP's flexibility and versatility.

[^2]

## Addressing the Question of Longevity

Some engineers are hesitant to use corrugated metal pipe (CMP) for infiltration because they have heard about CMP drainage culverts that have corroded due to abrasion. Factors affecting longevity differ between culvert and infiltration applications. Culverts experience high velocity flows carrying abrasive sediment, which can wear off galvanized coatings used in older CMP culverts. Infiltration systems are designed for storage rather than conveyance, so velocity and abrasive forces are minimized. In addition, improved CMP coatings, such as Aluminized Type 2 (ALT2), are more abrasion resistant and have demonstrated superior in-ground performance against abrasion in long-
 term durability studies. Field studies also have indicated that ALT2 coating may extend service life in wider pH and resistivity ranges than galvanized coatings. Confirming and maintaining recommended environmental conditions helps ensure system longevity projected by the long term studies. Finally, properly designed infiltration systems include pretreatment, flow control and a stone backfill envelope that can reduce exposure to abrasion.

- National Corrugated Steel Pipe Association (NCSPA) service life guidance of $75+$ years for certain materials/coatings in recommended environments.
- CMP infiltration systems can be designed to meet HS-20 or greater load requirements with proper depths of cover.
- With low flows, CMP infiltration systems have little susceptibility to abrasion inside the pipe that holds stormwater runoff.
- Various pipe coatings and materials are
 available to accommodate site-specific needs: Aluminized Steel Type 2 (ALT2), Galvanized, CORLIX ${ }^{\circledR}$ Aluminum, and Polymer Coated.
- CMP infiltration systems are to be surrounded by clean crushed rock to provide increased storage capacity and reduce contact with native soils. The entire system may be wrapped with fabric or liner on the sides and top to help further reduce contact with native soils.
- CMP infiltration systems may be used in wide range of recommended environments. AK Steel Corporation's field studies and technical guidance indicate 75 year service life guidance for 16 gage ALT2 for pH of 5-9 and resistivity greater than 1,500 ohm- cm and 100 year service life guidance for 16 gage ALT2 for pH of $6-8$ and resistivity greater than 5,000 ohm- cm .
- Corrosive environments, such as seawater and road/de-icing salt infiltration, acidic minewater, and sanitary sewage, and other environments with pH and resistivity outside of the recommended range may cause premature corrosion and reduce actual service life.
- Infiltration systems are to be inspected and maintained in accordance with Contech's guidelines. See Corrugated Metal Pipe Detention Design Guide for additional information on CMP infiltration systems.


## Maximizing Vertical Space: Every Inch Counts

One of the most overlooked advantages of CMP is its ability to maximize vertical storage space.

Increasing the depth of a CMP infiltration system allows for more water storage in the same footprint. For example, doubling the diameter of pipe yields four times as much storage volume in the pipe. This provides a significant cost savings per cubic foot of storage. In addition, more vertical storage space means a smaller footprint, less excavation, and lower project costs.

Contech's Corrugated Metal Pipe Detention systems maximize vertical storage space.


## Sizing

## Round Pipe - CMP $\rightarrow$ 6-in to 144-in

| Diameter (inches) | Volume (ft $\left.{ }^{3} / \mathrm{ft}\right)$ | Min. Cover Height | Diameter (inches) | Volume (ft3/ft) | Min. Cover Height |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12 | . 78 | 12" | 78 | 33.2 | 12" |
| 15 | 1.22 | 12" | 84 | 38.5 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 18 | 1.76 | 12" | 90 | 44.2 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 21 | 2.40 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 96 | 50.3 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 24 | 3.14 | 12" | 102 | 56.8 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 30 | 4.9 | 12" | 108 | 63.6 | 18" |
| 36 | 7.1 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ | 114 | 70.9 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 42 | 9.6 | 12" | 120 | 78.5 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 48 | 12.6 | 12" | 126 | 86.6 | 18" |
| 54 | 15.9 | 12" | 132 | 95.0 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 60 | 19.6 | 12" | 138 | 103.9 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 66 | 23.8 | 12" | 144 | 113.1 | $18^{\prime \prime}$ |
| 72 | 28.3 | $12^{\prime \prime}$ |  |  |  |

## The Need for Effective Pretreatment

Infiltration systems have multiple components, and one of the most important is pretreatment. The purpose of a pretreatment device is to prolong the life of the infiltration system by removing debris and sediment that can collect on the invert and within the stone backfill voids. Pretreatment will maintain the efficiency of an infiltration system as well as extend the life cycle, therefore preventing a premature replacement. Pretreatment also offers these additional benefits:

- Easier to clean and maintain compared to the infiltration system itself.
- Cost savings due to the extended service life of the system.
- Removing trash and debris protects downstream outlet control structures from clogging.


## Pretreatment Design Considerations

When choosing a pretreatment system, it is important to consider the following:

- Downstream outlet control structures may require protection from a pretreatment device that screens trash and debris.
- Pretreatment system selection depends on pollutant targets. Trash, debris, and larger particles can be removed with hydrodynamic separators. Removing high percentages of fine particles and associated heavy metals and nutrients requires filtration.
- Reduced long term maintenance or replacement cost of the infiltration system can help justify pretreatment construction costs.
- Inlet and pipe layout will influence the number and type of pretreatment systems used. A combination of different systems may be appropriate for the various inlet locations and flows.

Pretreatment systems that are easy to maintain and do not rely on the use of geotextile fabric are preferred.

## Pretreatment Options

Contech offers a number of pretreatment options, all of which will extend the life of subsurface infiltration systems and improve water quality. The type of system chosen will depend on a number of factors including footprint, soil conditions, local regulations, and the desired level of pretreatment.

> CDS provides direct access to cleaning, and the built-in high flow bypass weir eliminates the need for a separate bypass structure.


## Hydrodynamic Separation

Hydrodynamic Separation (HDS) provides a basic level of pretreatment by capturing and retaining trash and debris, sediment, and oil from stormwater runoff.

## CDS ${ }^{\circledR}$

The CDS uses a combination of swirl concentration and indirect screening and is the only non-blocking screening technology available in an HDS system.

## Filtration

Filtration provides a higher level of pretreatment and improved water quality by removing trash and debris, oil, fine solids, and dissolved pollutants such as metals, hydrocarbons, and nutrients.

## Filterra ${ }^{\circledR}$ Bioretention System

Filterra is an engineered bioretention system that has been optimized for high volume/flow treatment and high pollutant removal.

## The Stormwater Management StormFilter ${ }^{\circledR}$

The StormFilter system is comprised of a structure that houses rechargeable, media-filled cartridges. The media can be customized to target site-specific pollutants.

## Jellyfish ${ }^{\circledR}$ Filter

The Jellyfish filter uses membrane filtration in a compact footprint to remove a high level and a wide variety of stormwater pollutants such as fine particulates, oil, trash and debris, metals, and nutrients.

## Alternative Materials for Subsurface Infiltration

There may be instances where alternative materials are needed for subsurface infiltration due to site specific needs

## Plastic Chambers

Plastic chambers are best suited to shallow depth applications; minimum cover is 18 inches, and maximum cover is 96 inches. Some benefits of chambers are:

- Chambers may be beneficial for sites with limited vertical storage.
- Lightweight and installed by hand.
- Heavy equipment is not required to set units into place.
- Centralized stocking locations for short lead times.


## Concrete Structures/Vaults

Some concrete structures and vaults are best suited for high loading applications such as railroads or airports. Concrete units are also ideal in corrosive environments or areas with high salinity. Some benefits of concrete structures are:

- Wide range of spans and heights.
- Greater underground infiltration storage in a smaller footprint.
- Ample and easy maintenance access.
- Fast installation.



## Project Profiles: CMP Infiltration Systems in Action

## Edie and Lew Wasserman Building, UCLA

## Westwood, California

- The new six-story, 100,000 square foot Edie and Lew Wasserman Building was built on a very dense site that needed to meet sustainability requirements.
- The design needed to maximize infiltration volume, match existing inverts, and work around existing utilities.
- The stormwater management systems included a CDS pretreatment system and a CMP infiltration system using $57^{\prime}$ of $72^{\prime \prime}$ perforated CMP.
- Perforated CMP was selected to avoid utilities, minimize excavation, meet the City of LA LID requirements, contribute to the building's LEED certification, and to provide space for the buildings "outdoor room" and gardens.



## City Center Regional Stormwater Facility

## Mountlake Terrace, Washington

- The city of Mountlake Terrace, Washington needed a new stormwater retention facility to provide stormwater treatment and downstream flood control.
- There was limited footprint for 80,000 CF of runoff, and the system was required to be very deep, with about 15' of cover.
- Engineers designed a system consisting of a CDS pretreatment system in front of 800 linear feet of 120" diameter, perforated, aluminized type 2 CMP that allows the runoff to slowly infiltrate the surrounding soil.
- Perforated CMP was selected for its ability to accommodate the deep bury, the relatively small footprint, and cost effectiveness.


## Creative Office Space

## El Segundo, California

- A stormwater infiltration solution was needed for a new group of office buildings.
- The owner wanted to maximize the use of the parking area in the urban setting.
- The site had a tight footprint and multiple utility constraints, requiring the design of five separate systems.
- A total of 860 LF of perforated CMP was installed providing of 25,265 CF of storage.
- Perforated CMP was selected for its design flexibility, cost effectiveness, and ease of installation.


## The Right Partner Can Make All the Difference

Regardless of your project's objectives and constraints, our team of stormwater design engineers, regulatory managers, and local stormwater consultants are here to provide you with expert advice and assistance. If your goal is to eliminate or detain runoff, you can rely on Contech for a wide range of subsurface infiltration, detention, and rainwater harvesting solutions. If treatment is needed, our landscape-based biofiltration or subsurface filtration designs can fit into virtually any site and can be tailored to address specific pollutants.

At every stage of your project, count on Contech to provide engineering services including:

- Regulatory guidance and permitting assistance
- Preliminary standard details and/or site specific final CAD drawings and specifications
- Low Impact Development design assistance
- Engineering calculations for hydraulics/hydrology, rainwater harvesting, and detention/retention
- Online "Design Your Own" tools
- Review of preliminary site design, feasibility screening, and layout assistance
- Value engineering - cost estimates and options analysis
- Pre-construction support, project scheduling, and contractor coordination
- Installation and construction support
- Maintenance support:
» Guidance manuals
» Demonstrations
» Qualified contractor identification
The result: an efficient design process, the right product, greater land space savings, and faster permitting. The entire Contech stormwater team welcomes the opportunity to work with you on your stormwater projects.

To get started, please visit www.conteches.com/localresources or call us at 800-338-1 122 .

## Dig Deeper

Find all the information you need at www. ContechES.com, including field and laboratory test results, approvals, brochures, design guides, standard details, and specifications within the product section of our site.

## Connect with Us

We're here to make your job easier - and that includes being able to get in touch with us when you need to. Go to www. ContechES.com/Connectwithe ontech.

While you're there, be sure to check out our upcoming seminar schedule or request an in-house technical presentation.

## Start a Project

If you are ready to begin a project, contact your local representative to get started. Or you can check out our design toolbox for all our online resources at www. ContechES.com/desightoolbox.

## Links to Stormwater Tools:

To use the Land Value Calculator, visit: www.ContechES.com/lve
(Look under the Stormwater Management section to downlood the Land Valve Calculator)
To use the Design Your Own Detention System tool, visit: www.ContechES.com/dyods

To use the Design Your Own Hydrodynamic Separator tool, visit: www.ContechES.com/dyohds

To use the Rainwater Harvesting Runoff Reduction Calculator tool, visit: www. ContechES.com/rwh-calculator

To use the LID Site Planner, visit: www.ContechES.com/LIDsiteplanuer
C. NTECH ENGINEERED SOLUTIONS

COMPLETE SITE SOLUTIONS


## Stormwater Solutions

Helping to satisfy stormwater management requirements on land development projects

- Stormwater Treatment
- Detention/Infiltration
- Rainwater Harvesting
- Biofiltration/Bioretention


## Pipe Solutions

Meeting project needs for durability, hydraulics, corrosion resistance, and stiffness

- Corrugated Metal Pipe (CMP)
- Steel Reinforced Polyethylene (SRPE)
- High Density Polyethylene (HDPE)
- Polyvinyl Chloride (PVC)


## Structures Solutions

Providing innovative options and support for crossings, culverts, and bridges

- Plate, Precast \& Truss bridges
- Hard Armor
- Retaining Walls
- Tunnel Liner Plate

NOTHING IN THIS CATALOG SHOULD BE CONSTRUED AS A WARRANTY. APPLICATIONS SUGGESTED HEREIN ARE DESCRIBED ONLY TO HELP READERS MAKE THEIR OWN EVALUATIONS AND DECISIONS, AND ARE NEITHER GUARANTEES NOR WARRANTIES OF SUITABILITY FOR ANY APPLICATION. CONTECH MAKES NO WARRANTY WHATSOEVER, EXPRESS OR IMPLIED, RELATED TO THE APPLICATIONS, MATERIALS, COATINGS, OR PRODUCTS DISCUSSED HEREIN. ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF MERCHANTABILITY AND ALL IMPLIED WARRANTIES OF FITNESS FOR ANY PARTICULAR PURPOSE ARE DISCLAIMED BY CONTECH. SEE CONTECH'S CONDITIONS OF SALE (AVAILABLE AT WWW.CONTECHES.COM/COS) FOR MORE INFORMATION.

Get Social With Us!
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## Retention／Irrigation

## Description

Retention／irrigation refers to the capture of stormwater runoff in a holding pond and subsequent use of the captured volume for irrigation of landscape of natural pervious areas．This technology is very effective as a stormwater quality practice in that，for the captured water quality volume，it provides virtually no discharge to receiving waters and high stormwater constituent removal efficiencies．This technology mimics natural undeveloped watershed conditions wherein the vast majority of the rainfall volume during smaller rainfall events is infiltrated through the soil profile．Their main advantage over other infiltration technologies is the use of an irrigation system to spread the runoff over a larger area for infiltration．This allows them to be used in areas with low permeability soils．

Capture of stormwater can be accomplished in almost any kind of runoff storage facility，ranging from dry，concrete－lined ponds to those with vegetated basins and permanent pools．The pump and wet well should be automated with a rainfall sensor to provide irrigation only during periods when required infiltration rates can be realized．Generally，a spray irrigation system is required to provide an adequate flow rate for distributing the water quality volume（LCRA，1998）．Collection of roof runoff for subsequent use（rainwater harvesting）also qualifies as a retention／irrigation practice．

This technology is still in its infancy and there are no published reports on its effectiveness，cost，or operational requirements． The guidelines presented below should be considered tentative until additional data are available．

## California Experience

This BMP has never been implemented in California，only in the Austin，Texas area．The use there is limited to watersheds where no increase in pollutant load is allowed because of the sensitive nature of the watersheds．

## Advantages

－Pollutant removal effectiveness is high，accomplished primarily by：（1）sedimentation in the primary storage facility；（2）physical filtration of particulates through the soil profile；（3）dissolved constituents uptake in the vegetative root zone by the soil－resident microbial community．
－Soil for Infiltration
a Area Required
－Slope
－Environmental Side－effects

## Targeted Constituents

| V | Sediment | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 回 | Nutrients | 家 |
| V | Trash | － |
| V | Metals | 回 |
| 吅 | Bacteria | － |
| V | Oil and Grease | － |
|  | Organics | 回 |
|  | gend（Removal Effectit |  |
|  | Low |  |
|  | Medium |  |

## FLEXSTORM ${ }^{\circ}$ CATCH-IT REUSABLE INLET PROTECTION

## SPECIFY WITH CONFIDENCE

State DOTs and Municipalities across the country now have a universal structural BMP to address the issue of storm sewer inlet protection: FLEXSTORM CATCH-IT Inlet Filters-the temporary and reusable solution.

The FLEXSTORM CATCH-IT system is the preferred choice for temporary inlet protection and storm water runoff control. FLEXSTORM CATCH-IT Inlet Filters will fit any drainage structure and are equipped with highefficiency filter bags. Whether you're the specifier or the user, it's clear to see how FLEXSTORM CATCH-IT Inlet Filters outperform the competition.

## APPLICATIONS:

DOT<br>Commercial<br>Industrial<br>Road Construction<br>Parking Lots<br>Maintenance<br>Residential Developments

## FEATURES:

- Configurable: steel frames configured and guaranteed to fit ANY storm drainage structure
- Adjustable: although shipped to fit your inlet, rectangular framing may be field adjusted in $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ increments if necessary
- Reusable: galvanized framing will last year after year in harsh conditions, while geotextile filter bags are easily replaced after several years of use
- Effective: works below grade; overflow feature allows streets to drain with full bag; third party testing results of the FX filter bag show 82\% Filtration Efficiency
- Affordable: low per-unit cost; installs in seconds; easily maintained with Universal Removal Tool (no machinery required)


ADS Service:
ADS representatives are committed to providing you with the answers to all your questions, including selecting the proper filter, specifications, installation and more. Also try the ADS FLEXSTORIM Online Product Configurator at www.inletfilters.com

## BENEFITS:

- Reduce jobsite flooding and keep projects running
- Minimize residential complaints with cleaner, dryer streets during all construction phases
- Prevent hazardous road icing conditions by eliminating ponding at curb inlets
- Significantly reduce cleanup costs
- Prevent siltation and pollution of rivers, lakes, and ponds
- Helps prevent fines; NPDES PHASE II Compliant
- Lowest cost alternative for the highest level of Inlet Protection
- Available through 5,000 ADS distributors nationwide
- Ships within 48 hours



## FLEXSTORM CATCH-IT INLET FILTERS SPECIFICATION

## identification

The installer shall inspect the plans and/or worksite to determine the quantity of each drainage structure casting type. The foundry casting number, exact grate size and clear opening size, or other information will be necessary to finalize the FLEXSTORM part number and dimensions. The units are shipped to the field configured precisely to fit the identified drainage structure.

## MATERIAL AND PERFORMANCE

The FLEXSTORM Inlet Filter system is comprised of a corrosion resistant steel frame and a replaceable geotextile filter bag attached to the frame with a stainless steel locking band. The filter bag hangs suspended at a distance below the grate that shall allow full water flow into the drainage structure if the bag is completely filled with sediment. The standard Woven Polypropylene FX filter bags are rated for $200 \mathrm{gpm} / \mathrm{sqft}$ with a removal efficiency of $82 \%$ when filtering a USDA Sandy Loam sediment load. The Post Construction PC filter bags are rated for $137 \mathrm{gpm} / \mathrm{sqft}$ and have been 3rd party tested at $99 \%$ TSS removal to 110 micron and $97 \%$ TPH removal of used motor oil hydrocarbon mix.

## INSTALLATION

Remove the grate from the casting or concrete drainage structure. Clean the ledge (lip) of the casting frame or drain- age structure to ensure it is free of stone and dirt. Drop in the FLEXSTORM Inlet Filter through the clear opening and be sure the suspension hangers rest firmly on the inside ledge (lip) of the casting. Replace the grate and confirm it is elevated no more than $1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$, which is the thickness of the steel hangers. For wall mount units, follow instructions for attaching the stainless steel mounting brackets using the provided concrete fasteners.

## INSPECTION FREQUENCY

Construction site inspection should occur following each $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ or more rain event. Post Construction inspections should occur three times per year (every four months) in areas with mild year round rainfall and four times per year (every three months Feb-Nov) in areas with summer rains before and after the winter snowfall season. Industrial application site inspections (loading ramps, wash racks, maintenance facilities) should occur on a regularly scheduled basis no less than three times per year.

## MAINTENANCE GUIDELINES

Empty the filter bag if more than half filled with sediment and debris, or as directed by the Engineer. Remove the grate, engage the lifting bars or handles with the FLEXSTORM Removal Tool, and lift from the drainage structure. Dispose. of the sediment or debris as directed by the Engineer or Maintenance Contract in accordance with EPA guidelines.

As an alternative, an industrial vacuum may be used to collect the accumulated sediment. Remove any caked on silt from the sediment bag and reverse flush the bag with medium spray for optimal filtration. Replace the bag if torn or punctured to $1 / 2^{\prime \prime}$ diameter or greater on the lower half of the bag.

## FILTER BAG REPLACEMENT

Remove the bag by loosening or cutting off the clamping band. Take the new filter bag, which is equipped with a stainless steel worm drive clamping band, and use a screw driver to tighten the bag around the frame channel. Ensure the bag is secure and that there is no slack around the perimeter of the band.

Lift Handles ease installation and maintenance


Replaceable Sediment Bag
$1 / 8^{\prime \prime}$ thick steel hangers\& channels; precision stampings configured to fit each individual casting


CAD drawings, work instructions and test reports on website: www.inletfilters.com


For more information on FLEXSTORM Inlet Filters and other ADS products, please contact our Customer Service Representatives at 1-800-821-6710 Try the ADS FLEXSTORM Online Product Configurator at www.inletfilters.com.

ADS "Terms and Conditions of Sale" are available on the ADS website, www.ads-pipe.com
The ADS logo and the Green Stripe are registered trademarks of Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc.
FLEXSTORM is a registered trademark of Inlet \& Pipe Protection, Inc,
© 2014 Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc. (AD310314) BRO 10891 09/14

Advanced Drainage Systems, Inc.

## Retention／Irrigation

## Description

Retention／irrigation refers to the capture of stormwater runoff in a holding pond and subsequent use of the captured volume for irrigation of landscape of natural pervious areas．This technology is very effective as a stormwater quality practice in that，for the captured water quality volume，it provides virtually no discharge to receiving waters and high stormwater constituent removal efficiencies．This technology mimics natural undeveloped watershed conditions wherein the vast majority of the rainfall volume during smaller rainfall events is infiltrated through the soil profile．Their main advantage over other infiltration technologies is the use of an irrigation system to spread the runoff over a larger area for infiltration．This allows them to be used in areas with low permeability soils．

Capture of stormwater can be accomplished in almost any kind of runoff storage facility，ranging from dry，concrete－lined ponds to those with vegetated basins and permanent pools．The pump and wet well should be automated with a rainfall sensor to provide irrigation only during periods when required infiltration rates can be realized．Generally，a spray irrigation system is required to provide an adequate flow rate for distributing the water quality volume（LCRA，1998）．Collection of roof runoff for subsequent use（rainwater harvesting）also qualifies as a retention／irrigation practice．

This technology is still in its infancy and there are no published reports on its effectiveness，cost，or operational requirements． The guidelines presented below should be considered tentative until additional data are available．

## California Experience

This BMP has never been implemented in California，only in the Austin，Texas area．The use there is limited to watersheds where no increase in pollutant load is allowed because of the sensitive nature of the watersheds．

## Advantages

－Pollutant removal effectiveness is high，accomplished primarily by：（1）sedimentation in the primary storage facility；（2）physical filtration of particulates through the soil profile；（3）dissolved constituents uptake in the vegetative root zone by the soil－resident microbial community．
－Soil for Infiltration
a Area Required
－Slope
－Environmental Side－effects

## Targeted Constituents

| V | Sediment | － |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 回 | Nutrients | 家 |
| V | Trash | － |
| V | Metals | 回 |
| 吅 | Bacteria | － |
| V | Oil and Grease | － |
|  | Organics | 回 |
|  | gend（Removal Effectit |  |
|  | Low |  |
|  | Medium |  |

## Site Design \& Landscape Planning SD-10



Design Objectives
Maximize Infiltration
Provide Retention

- Slow Runoff

V
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials

Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Each project site possesses unique topographic, hydrologic, and vegetative features, some of which are more suitable for development than others. Integrating and incorporating appropriate landscape planning methodologies into the project design is the most effective action that can be done to minimize surface and groundwater contamination from stormwater.

## Approach

Landscape planning should couple consideration of land suitability for urban uses with consideration of community goals and projected growth. Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

## Design Considerations

Design requirements for site design and landscapes planning should conform to applicable standards and specifications of agencies with jurisdiction and be consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies.

CALIFORNIA STORMWATER

## SD-10 Site Design \& Landscape Planning

## Designing New Installations

Begin the development of a plan for the landscape unit with attention to the following general principles:

- Formulate the plan on the basis of clearly articulated community goals. Carefully identify conflicts and choices between retaining and protecting desired resources and community growth.
- Map and assess land suitability for urban uses. Include the following landscape features in the assessment: wooded land, open unwooded land, steep slopes, erosion-prone soils, foundation suitability, soil suitability for waste disposal, aquifers, aquifer recharge areas, wetlands, floodplains, surface waters, agricultural lands, and various categories of urban land use. When appropriate, the assessment can highlight outstanding local or regional resources that the community determines should be protected (e.g., a scenic area, recreational area, threatened species habitat, farmland, fish run). Mapping and assessment should recognize not only these resources but also additional areas needed for their sustenance.

Project plan designs should conserve natural areas to the extent possible, maximize natural water storage and infiltration opportunities, and protect slopes and channels.

## Conserve Natural Areas during Landscape Planning

If applicable, the following items are required and must be implemented in the site layout during the subdivision design and approval process, consistent with applicable General Plan and Local Area Plan policies:

- Cluster development on least-sensitive portions of a site while leaving the remaining land in a natural undisturbed condition.
- Limit clearing and grading of native vegetation at a site to the minimum amount needed to build lots, allow access, and provide fire protection.
- Maximize trees and other vegetation at each site by planting additional vegetation, clustering tree areas, and promoting the use of native and/or drought tolerant plants.
- Promote natural vegetation by using parking lot islands and other landscaped areas.
- Preserve riparian areas and wetlands.


## Maximize Natural Water Storage and Infiltration Opportunities Within the Landscape Unit

- Promote the conservation of forest cover. Building on land that is already deforested affects basin hydrology to a lesser extent than converting forested land. Loss of forest cover reduces interception storage, detention in the organic forest floor layer, and water losses by evapotranspiration, resulting in large peak runoff increases and either their negative effects or the expense of countering them with structural solutions.
- Maintain natural storage reservoirs and drainage corridors, including depressions, areas of permeable soils, swales, and intermittent streams. Develop and implement policies and
regulations to discourage the clearing, filling, and channelization of these features. Utilize them in drainage networks in preference to pipes, culverts, and engineered ditches.
- Evaluating infiltration opportunities by referring to the stormwater management manual for the jurisdiction and pay particular attention to the selection criteria for avoiding groundwater contamination, poor soils, and hydrogeological conditions that cause these facilities to fail. If necessary, locate developments with large amounts of impervious surfaces or a potential to produce relatively contaminated runoff away from groundwater recharge areas.


## Protection of Slopes and Channels during Landscape Design

- Convey runoff safely from the tops of slopes.
- Avoid disturbing steep or unstable slopes.
- Avoid disturbing natural channels.
- Stabilize disturbed slopes as quickly as possible.
- Vegetate slopes with native or drought tolerant vegetation.
- Control and treat flows in landscaping and/or other controls prior to reaching existing natural drainage systems.
- Stabilize temporary and permanent channel crossings as quickly as possible, and ensure that increases in run-off velocity and frequency caused by the project do not erode the channel.
- Install energy dissipaters, such as riprap, at the outlets of new storm drains, culverts, conduits, or channels that enter unlined channels in accordance with applicable specifications to minimize erosion. Energy dissipaters shall be installed in such a way as to minimize impacts to receiving waters.
- Line on-site conveyance channels where appropriate, to reduce erosion caused by increased flow velocity due to increases in tributary impervious area. The first choice for linings should be grass or some other vegetative surface, since these materials not only reduce runoff velocities, but also provide water quality benefits from filtration and infiltration. If velocities in the channel are high enough to erode grass or other vegetative linings, riprap, concrete, soil cement, or geo-grid stabilization are other alternatives.
- Consider other design principles that are comparable and equally effective.


## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## SD-10 Site Design \& Landscape Planning

Redevelopment may present significant opportunity to add features which had not previously been implemented. Examples include incorporation of depressions, areas of permeable soils, and swales in newly redeveloped areas. While some site constraints may exist due to the status of already existing infrastructure, opportunities should not be missed to maximize infiltration, slow runoff, reduce impervious areas, disconnect directly connected impervious areas.

## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Stormwater Management Manual for Western Washington, Washington State Department of Ecology, August 2001.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.


## Design Objectives

■ Maximize Infiltration
$\square$ Provide Retention
V Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials

- Contain Pollutants

Collect and Convey

Rain Garden

## Description

Various roof runoff controls are available to address stormwater that drains off rooftops. The objective is to reduce the total volume and rate of runoff from individual lots, and retain the pollutants on site that may be picked up from roofing materials and atmospheric deposition. Roof runoff controls consist of directing the roof runoff away from paved areas and mitigating flow to the storm drain system through one of several general approaches: cisterns or rain barrels; dry wells or infiltration trenches; pop-up emitters, and foundation planting. The first three approaches require the roof runoff to be contained in a gutter and downspout system. Foundation planting provides a vegetated strip under the drip line of the roof.

## Approach

Design of individual lots for single-family homes as well as lots for higher density residential and commercial structures should consider site design provisions for containing and infiltrating roof runoff or directing roof runoff to vegetative swales or buffer areas. Retained water can be reused for watering gardens, lawns, and trees. Benefits to the environment include reduced demand for potable water used for irrigation, improved stormwater quality, increased groundwater recharge, decreased runoff volume and peak flows, and decreased flooding potential.

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment.

## Design Considerations

## Designing New Installations

## Cisterns or Rain Barrels

One method of addressing roof runoff is to direct roof downspouts to cisterns or rain barrels. A cistern is an above ground storage vessel with either a manually operated valve or a permanently open outlet. Roof runoff is temporarily stored and then released for irrigation or infiltration between storms. The number of rain
barrels needed is a function of the rooftop area. Some low impact developers recommend that every house have at least 2 rain barrels, with a minimum storage capacity of 1000 liters. Roof barrels serve several purposes including mitigating the first flush from the roof which has a high volume, amount of contaminants, and thermal load. Several types of rain barrels are commercially available. Consideration must be given to selecting rain barrels that are vector proof and childproof. In addition, some barrels are designed with a bypass valve that filters out grit and other contaminants and routes overflow to a soak-away pit or rain garden.

If the cistern has an operable valve, the valve can be closed to store stormwater for irrigation or infiltration between storms. This system requires continual monitoring by the resident or grounds crews, but provides greater flexibility in water storage and metering. If a cistern is provided with an operable valve and water is stored inside for long periods, the cistern must be covered to prevent mosquitoes from breeding.

A cistern system with a permanently open outlet can also provide for metering stormwater runoff. If the cistern outlet is significantly smaller than the size of the downspout inlet (say $1 / 4$ to $1 / 2$ inch diameter), runoff will build up inside the cistern during storms, and will empty out slowly after peak intensities subside. This is a feasible way to mitigate the peak flow increases caused by rooftop impervious land coverage, especially for the frequent, small storms.

## Dry wells and Infiltration Trenches

Roof downspouts can be directed to dry wells or infiltration trenches. A dry well is constructed by excavating a hole in the ground and filling it with an open graded aggregate, and allowing the water to fill the dry well and infiltrate after the storm event. An underground connection from the downspout conveys water into the dry well, allowing it to be stored in the voids. To minimize sedimentation from lateral soil movement, the sides and top of the stone storage matrix can be wrapped in a permeable filter fabric, though the bottom may remain open. A perforated observation pipe can be inserted vertically into the dry well to allow for inspection and maintenance.

In practice, dry wells receiving runoff from single roof downspouts have been successful over long periods because they contain very little sediment. They must be sized according to the amount of rooftop runoff received, but are typically 4 to 5 feet square, and 2 to 3 feet deep, with a minimum of 1-foot soil cover over the top (maximum depth of 10 feet).

To protect the foundation, dry wells must be set away from the building at least 10 feet. They must be installed in solids that accommodate infiltration. In poorly drained soils, dry wells have very limited feasibility.

Infiltration trenches function in a similar manner and would be particularly effective for larger roof areas. An infiltration trench is a long, narrow, rock-filled trench with no outlet that receives stormwater runoff. These are described under Treatment Controls.

## Pop-up Drainage Emitter

Roof downspouts can be directed to an underground pipe that daylights some distance from the building foundation, releasing the roof runoff through a pop-up emitter. Similar to a pop-up irrigation head, the emitter only opens when there is flow from the roof. The emitter remains flush to the ground during dry periods, for ease of lawn or landscape maintenance.

## Foundation Planting

Landscape planting can be provided around the base to allow increased opportunities for stormwater infiltration and protect the soil from erosion caused by concentrated sheet flow coming off the roof. Foundation plantings can reduce the physical impact of water on the soil and provide a subsurface matrix of roots that encourage infiltration. These plantings must be sturdy enough to tolerate the heavy runoff sheet flows, and periodic soil saturation.

## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## Supplemental Information

## Examples

- City of Ottawa's Water Links Surface - Water Quality Protection Program
- City of Toronto Downspout Disconnection Program
- City of Boston, MA, Rain Barrel Demonstration Program


## Other Resources

Hager, Marty Catherine, Stormwater, "Low-Impact Development", January/February 2003. www.stormh20.com

Low Impact Urban Design Tools, Low Impact Development Design Center, Beltsville, MD. www.lid-stormwater.net

Start at the Source, Bay Area Stormwater Management Agencies Association, 1999 Edition


## Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration

- Provide Retention
- Slow Runoff

Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Irrigation water provided to landscaped areas may result in excess irrigation water being conveyed into stormwater drainage systems.

## Approach

Project plan designs for development and redevelopment should include application methods of irrigation water that minimize runoff of excess irrigation water into the stormwater conveyance system.

## Suitable Applications

Appropriate applications include residential, commercial and industrial areas planned for development or redevelopment. (Detached residential single-family homes are typically excluded from this requirement.)

## Design Considerations

## Designing New Installations

The following methods to reduce excessive irrigation runoff should be considered, and incorporated and implemented where determined applicable and feasible by the Permittee:

- Employ rain-triggered shutoff devices to prevent irrigation after precipitation.
- Design irrigation systems to each landscape area's specific water requirements.
- Include design featuring flow reducers or shutoff valves triggered by a pressure drop to control water loss in the event of broken sprinkler heads or lines.
- Implement landscape plans consistent with County or City water conservation resolutions, which may include provision of water sensors, programmable irrigation times (for short cycles), etc.
- Design timing and application methods of irrigation water to minimize the runoff of excess irrigation water into the storm water drainage system.
- Group plants with similar water requirements in order to reduce excess irrigation runoff and promote surface filtration. Choose plants with low irrigation requirements (for example, native or drought tolerant species). Consider design features such as:
- Using mulches (such as wood chips or bar) in planter areas without ground cover to minimize sediment in runoff
- Installing appropriate plant materials for the location, in accordance with amount of sunlight and climate, and use native plant materials where possible and/or as recommended by the landscape architect
- Leaving a vegetative barrier along the property boundary and interior watercourses, to act as a pollutant filter, where appropriate and feasible
- Choosing plants that minimize or eliminate the use of fertilizer or pesticides to sustain growth
- Employ other comparable, equally effective methods to reduce irrigation water runoff.


## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. The definition of " redevelopment" must be consulted to determine whether or not the requirements for new development apply to areas intended for redevelopment. If the definition applies, the steps outlined under "designing new installations" above should be followed.

## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.


## Design Objectives

Maximize Infiltration
Provide Retention
Slow Runoff
Minimize Impervious Land Coverage
च Prohibit Dumping of Improper Materials
Contain Pollutants
Collect and Convey

## Description

Waste materials dumped into storm drain inlets can have severe impacts on receiving and ground waters. Posting notices regarding discharge prohibitions at storm drain inlets can prevent waste dumping. Storm drain signs and stencils are highly visible source controls that are typically placed directly adjacent to storm drain inlets.

## Approach

The stencil or affixed sign contains a brief statement that prohibits dumping of improper materials into the urban runoff conveyance system. Storm drain messages have become a popular method of alerting the public about the effects of and the prohibitions against waste disposal.

## Suitable Applications

Stencils and signs alert the public to the destination of pollutants discharged to the storm drain. Signs are appropriate in residential, commercial, and industrial areas, as well as any other area where contributions or dumping to storm drains is likely.

## Design Considerations

Storm drain message markers or placards are recommended at all storm drain inlets within the boundary of a development project. The marker should be placed in clear sight facing toward anyone approaching the inlet from either side. All storm drain inlet locations should be identified on the development site map.

## Designing New Installations

The following methods should be considered for inclusion in the project design and show on project plans:

- Provide stenciling or labeling of all storm drain inlets and catch basins, constructed or modified, within the project area with prohibitive language. Examples include "NO DUMPING
- DRAINS TO OCEAN" and/or other graphical icons to discourage illegal dumping.
- Post signs with prohibitive language and/or graphical icons, which prohibit illegal dumping at public access points along channels and creeks within the project area.

Note - Some local agencies have approved specific signage and/or storm drain message placards for use. Consult local agency stormwater staff to determine specific requirements for placard types and methods of application.

## Redeveloping Existing Installations

Various jurisdictional stormwater management and mitigation plans (SUSMP, WQMP, etc.) define "redevelopment" in terms of amounts of additional impervious area, increases in gross floor area and/or exterior construction, and land disturbing activities with structural or impervious surfaces. If the project meets the definition of "redevelopment", then the requirements stated under " designing new installations" above should be included in all project design plans.

## Additional Information

## Maintenance Considerations

- Legibility of markers and signs should be maintained. If required by the agency with jurisdiction over the project, the owner/operator or homeowner's association should enter into a maintenance agreement with the agency or record a deed restriction upon the property title to maintain the legibility of placards or signs.


## Placement

- Signage on top of curbs tends to weather and fade.
- Signage on face of curbs tends to be worn by contact with vehicle tires and sweeper brooms.


## Supplemental Information <br> Examples

- Most MS4 programs have storm drain signage programs. Some MS4 programs will provide stencils, or arrange for volunteers to stencil storm drains as part of their outreach program.


## Other Resources

A Manual for the Standard Urban Stormwater Mitigation Plan (SUSMP), Los Angeles County Department of Public Works, May 2002.

Model Standard Urban Storm Water Mitigation Plan (SUSMP) for San Diego County, Port of San Diego, and Cities in San Diego County, February 14, 2002.

Model Water Quality Management Plan (WQMP) for County of Orange, Orange County Flood Control District, and the Incorporated Cities of Orange County, Draft February 2003.

Ventura Countywide Technical Guidance Manual for Stormwater Quality Control Measures, July 2002.

Site Plan
(WQMP Exhibit)



[^0]:    Form 4.2-1 LD BM P Performance Criteria for Design Capture Volume (DMA1)
    $\mathbf{1}_{\text {Project area (DMA-1) }}$
    (ft2):
    431,244
    ${ }^{4}$ Determine 1-hour rainfall depth for a 2-year return period $\mathrm{P}_{2 y \mathrm{r}-\mathrm{hhr}}$ (in): $0.614 \mathrm{http}: / / \mathrm{hdsc}$.nws.noaa.gov/hdsc/pfds/sa/sca_pfds.html
    5 Compute $\mathrm{P}_{6}$, M ean 6-hr Precipitation (inches): 0.9093 (Using C1=1.4807)
    $\mathrm{P}_{6}=$ Item $4 * \mathrm{C}_{1}$, where $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ is a function of site climatic region specified in Form 3-1 Item 1 (Valley $=1.4807$; M ountain $=1.909$; Desert $=1.2371$ )

    ## 6 Drawdown Rate

    Use 48 hours as the default condition. Selection and use of the 24 hour drawdown time condition is subject to approval by the local jurisdiction. The necessary BM P footprint is a function of drawdown time. While shorter drawdown times reduce the performance criteria for LID BM P design capture volume, the depth of water that can be stored is also reduced.

    7 Compute design capture volume, DCV (ft3): 31,682 (Using C2=1.963)
    $\mathrm{DCV}=1 / 12$ * [Item 1* Item $3 *$ Item $5 * \mathrm{C}_{2}$ ], where $\mathrm{C}_{2}$ is a function of drawdown rate ( $24-\mathrm{hr}=1.582 ; 48-\mathrm{hr}=1.963$ )
    Compute separate DCV for each outlet from the project site per schematic drawn in Form 3-1 Item 2

[^1]:    Settlement of Unsaturated Sands=2.113 in. dsz is per each segment, $\mathrm{dz}=0.05 \mathrm{ft}$ dsp is per each print interval, $d p=1.00 \mathrm{ft}$ $S$ is cumulated settlement at this depth

[^2]:    Service life guidance provided by National Corrugated Steel Pipe Association (NCSPA) and/or AK Steel Corporation. See NCSPA.org website or consult your engineer of record for additional information on service life, recommended environments and field studies on various materials and coatings. Corrosive environments, such as seawater and road/de-icing salt infiltration, and other environments with pH and resistivity outside of the recommended range may cause premature corrosion and reduce actual service life. Because site conditions vary, Contech does not guaranty or warrant service life guidance for materials and coatings.

